

From: [Federal Voting Assistance Program](#)

Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 6:10:01 AM

To: [Yolanda](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

Sensitivity: Normal



Voting assistance for Service members,
their families and overseas citizens

FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

The Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress confirms that the program's efforts continue to contribute to voter success among absent military members, their families, and U.S. citizens abroad in the 2020 General Election. During the presidential election year, FVAP continued to expand awareness and use of voting assistance resources while reducing voting obstacles for active duty military personnel and overseas citizens covered by the *Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA)*.

After every federal general election, FVAP conducts post-election surveys and analyzes state and federal election data to evaluate the experience of military and overseas voters — and the impact that FVAP and DoD voting resources have on their experience. This research provides key indicators about military voters, U.S. citizens living overseas, Voting Assistance Officers, and election officials during the 2020 General Election.

“The 2020 election cycle represented a unique set of challenges and opportunities for FVAP, just as it did for the community of election administrators across the United States,” said FVAP Director David Beirne. “We were pleased to see that FVAP assistance continued to bolster *UOCAVA* voters’ success and that voters heeded calls to request and return their absentee ballots earlier.”

Highlights from the 2020 Report to Congress

- The 2020 voter registration rate for ADM was 69 percent, a three-point increase from 2016.
- The 2020 voter participation rate for ADM was 47 percent, on par with the 46 percent voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- The 2020 estimated voter participation rate for overseas citizens was 7.8 percent, equivalent to the estimated voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- Active duty military (ADM) who received assistance from a DoD resource (FVAP).

... have any family, and receive assistance from the resources (i.e., Unit Voting Assistance Officers, Installation Voter Assistance Offices) were significantly more likely to submit an absentee ballot than ADM who did not receive DoD assistance. This consistent finding across the last five General Elections (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020) speaks to the importance and effectiveness of efforts by FVAP and the military Services to raise awareness of available resources and provide direct assistance.

- UOCAVA voters requested their ballots earlier than they did in 2016, and general election voted ballots were returned earlier than they were in the 2016 and 2018 General Elections.
- The most frequently reported challenge experienced by U.S. voters living overseas was difficulty with international mail systems.

For 2022, FVAP will continue its work to reduce barriers for UOCAVA voters to successfully vote absentee and increase awareness about voting among the UOCAVA population. Pursuant to Executive Order 14019, FVAP will support expanded opportunities to raise awareness on voting resources for all DoD personnel to include both absentee voting and traditional registration and voting opportunities, including offering assistance materials in alternative languages as appropriate.

View the full 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress [here](#).

###

For more information on FVAP or assistance with the absentee voting process, visit [FVAP.gov](https://fvap.gov), call FVAP at 1-800-438-VOTE or DSN [REDACTED] (CONUS) [REDACTED] (OCONUS), or email [REDACTED]@fvap.gov. Remember, you also can contact your unit or installation voting assistance officers for assistance during any step of the process. Find us on Facebook at Facebook.com/DoDFVAP, on Twitter [@FVAP](https://twitter.com/FVAP), and on Instagram at [fvapgov](https://www.instagram.com/fvapgov)



Questions? [Contact Us](#)



[Manage Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Help](#)

From: [Federal Voting Assistance Program](#)

Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 6:11:13 AM

To: [Outreach](#)

Subject: FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

Response requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal



*Voting assistance for Service members,
their families and overseas citizens*

FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

The Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress confirms that the program's efforts continue to contribute to voter success among absent military members, their families, and U.S. citizens abroad in the 2020 General Election. During the presidential election year, FVAP continued to expand awareness and use of voting assistance resources while reducing voting obstacles for active duty military personnel and overseas citizens covered by the *Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA)*.

After every federal general election, FVAP conducts post-election surveys and analyzes state and federal election data to evaluate the experience of military and overseas voters — and the impact that FVAP and DoD voting resources have on their experience. This research provides key indicators about military voters, U.S. citizens living overseas, Voting Assistance Officers, and election officials during the 2020 General Election.

“The 2020 election cycle represented a unique set of challenges and opportunities for FVAP, just as it did for the community of election administrators across the United States,” said FVAP Director David Beirne. “We were pleased to see that FVAP assistance continued to bolster *UOCAVA* voters’ success and that voters heeded calls to request and return their absentee ballots earlier.”

Highlights from the 2020 Report to Congress

- The 2020 voter registration rate for ADM was 69 percent, a three-point increase from 2016.
- The 2020 voter participation rate for ADM was 47 percent, on par with the 46 percent voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- The 2020 estimated voter participation rate for overseas citizens was 7.8 percent, equivalent to the estimated voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- Active duty military (ADM) who received assistance from a DoD resource (FVAP).

Unit Voting Assistance Officers, Installation Voter Assistance Offices) were significantly more likely to submit an absentee ballot than ADM who did not receive DoD assistance. This consistent finding across the last five General Elections (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020) speaks to the importance and effectiveness of efforts by FVAP and the military Services to raise awareness of available resources and provide direct assistance.

- UOCAVA voters requested their ballots earlier than they did in 2016, and general election voted ballots were returned earlier than they were in the 2016 and 2018 General Elections.
- The most frequently reported challenge experienced by U.S. voters living overseas was difficulty with international mail systems.

For 2022, FVAP will continue its work to reduce barriers for UOCAVA voters to successfully vote absentee and increase awareness about voting among the UOCAVA population. Pursuant to Executive Order 14019, FVAP will support expanded opportunities to raise awareness on voting resources for all DoD personnel to include both absentee voting and traditional registration and voting opportunities, including offering assistance materials in alternative languages as appropriate.

View the full 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress [here](#).

###

For more information on FVAP or assistance with the absentee voting process, visit [FVAP.gov](https://fvap.gov), call FVAP at 1-800-438-VOTE or DSN [REDACTED] (CONUS) [REDACTED] (OCONUS), or email [REDACTED]@fvap.gov. Remember, you also can contact your unit or installation voting assistance officers for assistance during any step of the process. Find us on Facebook at Facebook.com/DoDFVAP, on Twitter [@FVAP](https://twitter.com/FVAP), and on Instagram at [fvapgov](https://www.instagram.com/fvapgov)



Questions? [Contact Us](#)



[Manage Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Help](#)

From: [Outreach Outreach](#)
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 8:45:55 AM
To: [Christine Dyster](#);
Cc: [Outreach Outreach](#)
Subject: FW: FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress
Response requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Just FYI. Thanks. Tanner



Elections Services Division
Arizona Secretary of State

Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]
Toll Free: 1-877-THE-VOTE

1700 W. Washington St., 7th Fl. | Phoenix, AZ | 85007

TR
This message and any messages in response to the sender of this message may be subject to a public records request.

From: Federal Voting Assistance Program <[REDACTED]@updates.fvap.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 6:11 AM
To: Outreach <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress



*Voting assistance for Service members,
their families and overseas citizens*

FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

The Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress confirms that the program's efforts continue to contribute to voter success among absent military members, their families, and U.S. citizens abroad in the 2020 General Election. During the presidential election year, FVAP continued to expand awareness and use of voting assistance resources while reducing voting obstacles for active duty military personnel and overseas citizens covered by the *Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA)*.

After every federal general election, FVAP conducts post-election surveys and analyzes state and federal election data to evaluate the experience of military and overseas voters — and the impact that FVAP and DoD voting resources have on their experience. This research provides key indicators about military voters, U.S. citizens living overseas, Voting Assistance Officers, and election officials during the 2020 General Election.

“The 2020 election cycle represented a unique set of challenges and opportunities for FVAP, just as it did for the community of election administrators across the United States,” said FVAP Director David Beirne. “We were pleased to see that FVAP assistance continued to bolster *UOCAVA* voters’ success and that voters heeded calls to request and return their absentee ballots earlier.”

Highlights from the 2020 Report to Congress

- The 2020 voter registration rate for ADM was 69 percent, a three-point increase from 2016.
- The 2020 voter participation rate for ADM was 47 percent, on par with the 46 percent voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- The 2020 estimated voter participation rate for overseas citizens was 7.8 percent, equivalent to the estimated voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- Active duty military (ADM) who received assistance from a DoD resource (FVAP, Unit Voting Assistance Officers, Installation Voter Assistance Offices) were significantly more likely to submit an absentee ballot than ADM who did not receive DoD assistance. This consistent finding across the last five General Elections (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020) speaks to the importance and effectiveness of efforts by FVAP and the military Services to raise awareness of available resources and provide direct assistance.
- *UOCAVA* voters requested their ballots earlier than they did in 2016, and general election voted ballots were returned earlier than they were in the 2016 and 2018 General Elections.
- The most frequently reported challenge experienced by U.S. voters living overseas was difficulty with international mail systems.

For 2022, FVAP will continue its work to reduce barriers for *UOCAVA* voters to successfully vote absentee and increase awareness about voting among the *UOCAVA* population. Pursuant to Executive Order 14019, FVAP will support expanded opportunities to raise awareness on voting resources for all DoD personnel to include both absentee voting and traditional registration and voting opportunities, including offering assistance materials in alternative languages as appropriate.

View the full 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress [here](#).

###

For more information on FVAP or assistance with the absentee voting process, visit [FVAP.gov](https://fvap.gov), call FVAP at 1-800-438-VOTE or DSN [REDACTED] (CONUS) [REDACTED] (OCONUS), or email [REDACTED]@fvap.gov. Remember, you also can contact your unit or installation voting assistance officers for assistance during any step of the process. Find us on Facebook at Facebook.com/DoDFVAP, on Twitter [@FVAP](https://twitter.com/FVAP), and on Instagram at [fvapgov](https://www.instagram.com/fvapgov)



Questions? [Contact Us](#)



[Manage Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Help](#)

This email was sent to [REDACTED]@azsos.gov, on behalf of: Federal Voting Assistance Program · 4800 Mark Center Drive, Mailbox 10 · Alexandria, VA 22 [REDACTED] · fvap.gov · [REDACTED] · DSN [REDACTED]

From: [Institute of Museum and Library Services](#)

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 11:47:59 AM

To: Mala [REDACTED]

Subject: How Museums and Libraries Are Fostering Civic Engagement Through Voting

Sensitivity: Normal



How Museums and Libraries Are Fostering Civic Engagement Through Voting



Museums and libraries have long been involved in engaging their communities around civic participation by acting as polling places, assisting residents with voter registration, and featuring exhibits and programs related to voting.

March 7, 2022 marks one year since President Biden issued [Executive Order 14019](#), Promoting Access to Voting. Following that order, the White House [called upon IMLS](#) to "promote civic engagement and participation in the voting process," a key goal for the agency over the past year and looking forward.

Some examples of projects IMLS has funded at institutions that engage communities in civic discussions include:

- [The Edward M. Kennedy Institute for the United States Senate](#) in Boston is expanding access to its immersive civics learning experience to educators for

incorporating into their classrooms. The museum is developing and testing digital tools to allow students to participate from their classrooms in a Senate debate on a real-life bill based on its "Today's Vote in the Classroom" program, currently offered on-site in its full-scale reproduction of the United States Senate Chamber.

- **[The Leventhal Map and Education Center at Boston Public Library](#)** is fostering increased critical thinking and visual information literacy skills through an initiative focused on how maps influence ideas, emotions, and opinions. A six-month-long exhibition, *Persuasion*, features maps dating from the 15th century to the present. The initiative also included a series of public talks with scholars, workshops for adults, and K to 12 programs. The exhibition was presented during the 2020 presidential election season when voting and other data maps were an active part of the public discourse.

In recent years, many state libraries across the country have also used funding from IMLS through the Library Services and Technology Act to develop civic engagement programs and voter resources for their communities.

These include the Alaska State Library's [Disability Voter Toolkit](#), California's 2019 [voting workshop series](#) for adult learners including a [Voting Participation Toolkit](#) and an [Easy Voter Guide](#), El Paso Public Library's mobile, multigenerational, bilingual [Pop-Up Civics Lab](#), and South Carolina's Charleston County Public Library [Voting Information Center](#) web page with videos on how to find a polling place, fill out a ballot, and become a poll worker.

More information about IMLS partnerships and how the agency is supporting the work libraries and museums do to involve and educate communities around civic engagement is available on the [IMLS website](#), with more updates coming soon.

Photo: The Lion Statue in front of New York Public Library wore face mask during 2020 United States presidential election. (Shutterstock)

[View this email online.](#)

About the Institute of Museum and Library Services

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the primary source of federal support for the nation's libraries and museums. We advance, support, and empower America's museums, libraries, and related organizations through grantmaking, research, and policy development. Our vision is a nation where museums and libraries work together to transform the lives of individuals and communities. To learn more, visit www.imls.gov and follow us on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#).

Direct comments or errors with your subscription to [REDACTED] [@imls.gov](#).

Connect with IMLS



Institute of Museum and Library Services | 955 L'Enfant Plaza North SW, Suite 4000,
Washington, DC 20024

[Unsubscribe](#) [REDACTED] [@azlibrary.gov](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by [REDACTED] [@imls.gov](#)

From: [Institute of Museum and Library Services](#)

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 11:48:04 AM

To: [Holly Henley](#)

Subject: How Museums and Libraries Are Fostering Civic Engagement Through Voting

Sensitivity: Normal



How Museums and Libraries Are Fostering Civic Engagement Through Voting



Museums and libraries have long been involved in engaging their communities around civic participation by acting as polling places, assisting residents with voter registration, and featuring exhibits and programs related to voting.

March 7, 2022 marks one year since President Biden issued [Executive Order 14019](#), Promoting Access to Voting. Following that order, the White House [called upon IMLS](#) to "promote civic engagement and participation in the voting process," a key goal for the agency over the past year and looking forward.

Some examples of projects IMLS has funded at institutions that engage communities in civic discussions include:

- [The Edward M. Kennedy Institute for the United States Senate](#) in Boston is expanding access to its immersive civics learning experience to educators for

incorporating into their classrooms. The museum is developing and testing digital tools to allow students to participate from their classrooms in a Senate debate on a real-life bill based on its "Today's Vote in the Classroom" program, currently offered on-site in its full-scale reproduction of the United States Senate Chamber.

- **[The Leventhal Map and Education Center at Boston Public Library](#)** is fostering increased critical thinking and visual information literacy skills through an initiative focused on how maps influence ideas, emotions, and opinions. A six-month-long exhibition, *Persuasion*, features maps dating from the 15th century to the present. The initiative also included a series of public talks with scholars, workshops for adults, and K to 12 programs. The exhibition was presented during the 2020 presidential election season when voting and other data maps were an active part of the public discourse.

In recent years, many state libraries across the country have also used funding from IMLS through the Library Services and Technology Act to develop civic engagement programs and voter resources for their communities.

These include the Alaska State Library's [Disability Voter Toolkit](#), California's 2019 [voting workshop series](#) for adult learners including a [Voting Participation Toolkit](#) and an [Easy Voter Guide](#), El Paso Public Library's mobile, multigenerational, bilingual [Pop-Up Civics Lab](#), and South Carolina's Charleston County Public Library [Voting Information Center](#) web page with videos on how to find a polling place, fill out a ballot, and become a poll worker.

More information about IMLS partnerships and how the agency is supporting the work libraries and museums do to involve and educate communities around civic engagement is available on the [IMLS website](#), with more updates coming soon.

Photo: The Lion Statue in front of New York Public Library wore face mask during 2020 United States presidential election. (Shutterstock)

[View this email online.](#)

About the Institute of Museum and Library Services

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the primary source of federal support for the nation's libraries and museums. We advance, support, and empower America's museums, libraries, and related organizations through grantmaking, research, and policy development. Our vision is a nation where museums and libraries work together to transform the lives of individuals and communities. To learn more, visit www.ims.gov and follow us on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#).

Direct comments or errors with your subscription to [REDACTED] [@ims.gov](#).

Connect with IMLS



Institute of Museum and Library Services | 955 L'Enfant Plaza North SW, Suite 4000,
Washington, DC 20024

[Unsubscribe](#) [REDACTED] [@azlibrary.gov](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by [REDACTED] [@ims.gov](#)

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)

Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 10:55:54 AM

To: [Paul Smith-Leonard](#)

Subject: FW: Letter to the department of education

Response requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[7-27-Godlewski-SecCardonaLetter.pdf](#) 

FYI

You may already have this but sending just in case because it's all BCC.

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 10:14 AM

To: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>; Schwantes, Nathan - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>

Subject: Letter to the department of education

Hi all – Hope you had a lovely weekend. Thank you again for your help in getting this letter together and to your respective bosses.

I have attached the final letter to the department of education and will keep you posted as we learn more from the administration. For awareness, we don't plan to do any press on this until there is follow up.

Thank you again,

Hannah



Office of the Secretary of State, Wisconsin

[\[REDACTED\]@wisconsin.gov](#)

www.sos.wi.gov

Phone: [REDACTED]

From: [Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS](#)

Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 10:16:20 AM

To: [Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS](#) [Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS](#);

Subject: Letter to the department of education

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[7-27-Godlewski-SecCardonaLetter.pdf](#) 

Hi all – Hope you had a lovely weekend. Thank you again for your help in getting this letter together and to your respective bosses.

I have attached the final letter to the department of education and will keep you posted as we learn more from the administration. For awareness, we don't plan to do any press on this until there is follow up.

Thank you again,


Hannah




Office of the Secretary of State, Wisconsin

 [@wisconsin.gov](mailto: [redacted]@wisconsin.gov)

www.sos.wi.gov

Phone: 

From: [Keely Varvel](#)
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 11:59:25 AM
To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#);
Cc: [Robert \[REDACTED\]](#);
Subject: Fwd: Letter to the department of education
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:
[7-27-Godlewski-SecCardonaLetter.pdf](#) 

Not sure what the story is behind this letter. They must have asked the Secretary to sign on to it. If anyone on this email has more info, please share. FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[\[REDACTED\]@wisconsin.gov](mailto:[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov)>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 10:14:20 AM
To: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[\[REDACTED\]@wisconsin.gov](mailto:[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov)>; Schwantes, Nathan - SOS <[\[REDACTED\]@wisconsin.gov](mailto:[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov)>
Subject: Letter to the department of education

Hi all – Hope you had a lovely weekend. Thank you again for your help in getting this letter together and to your respective bosses.

I have attached the final letter to the department of education and will keep you posted as we learn more from the administration. For awareness, we don't plan to do any press on this until there is follow up.

Thank you again,

Hannah



Office of the Secretary of State, Wisconsin

[\[REDACTED\]@wisconsin.gov](mailto:[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov)

www.sos.wi.gov

Phone: [\[REDACTED\]](tel:[REDACTED])

From: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 1:30:36 PM

To: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Subject: NASS 2021 Summer Conference - Travel Tips, Reminders & Weather

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[draft-agenda-nass-summer21-08102021.pdf](#) 

Dear NASS Conference Attendee:

We are excited to see everyone at the end of the week in Des Moines. Sec. Pate and his staff have planned a wonderful 2021 NASS Summer Conference in Des Moines, IA. Before you arrive, we wanted to send you some last-minute reminders and information – as you can imagine, even though we are able to meet in person, things will look different this year.

We are following CDC guidelines, that state *“To maximize protection from the [Delta variant](#) and prevent possibly spreading it to others, wear a mask indoors in public if you are in an [area of substantial or high transmission](#).”* We will have masks and hand sanitizer available for attendees. Other precautions in place will include one way lines, social distancing, frequent cleaning, served buffets, etc. The meeting rooms will look a little bit different too. For NASS Committee meetings and sessions in the Iowa Ballroom we will not have a U-Shape or hollow square set-up. Instead, the first few rows of seating will be classroom style with microphones. These rows are **RESERVED for Secretaries of State or their proxy**. Classroom seating (without microphones) and theater seating behind the first few rows will be for general conference attendees. Please do not stand in the back of meeting rooms or block doorways.

The Marriot t Des Moines Downtown is approx 5 miles from [Des Moines International Airport](#) (DSM). [Click here](#) for more information on ground transport at [Des Moines airport](#). Please arrange your own ground transportation unless you are a Secretary of State/ Lt. Governor who has provided your flight information to NASS. Valet parking at the Marri is \$26 daily. Nearby parking available for \$10 daily.

Attire for the conference is business casual. The evening event at the Capitol on Saturday, 8/14 is business attire. The evening event at the zoo on 8/13 and evening event at the State Fair on 8/15 are both casual. Your conference-issued name badge is absolutely necessary. Please **WEAR IT** while in the meeting space at the hotel, to board buses and at evening events. We will have law enforcement at the hotel and boarding buses. They will be looking for your conference badge to identify that you are a part of our group. Large bags, backpacks and totes are not permitted for evening events. The weather forecast includes daytime highs in the low-80's and evening lows in the low-60's.

Everyone who registered for in-person registration will receive the login information for NASS virtual sessions, as well as ACR and NPA. Please be on the lookout for that information. WiFi in your [hotel room](#) at the Marriott if you are booked into the NASS room block is complimentary. The NASS WiFi code in the [meeting space](#) at the Marriott Des Moines is **NASSIA21**. Don't forget to download the NASS Conference app. [MyEvents2Go](#) is a free app available for Android, Apple and Windows. Search for NASS in the app to find info for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference. The password to view the event is **IA2021SOS**.

Safe Travels!

Stacy Dodd

Director of Membership & Administration
National Association of Secretaries of State
444 North Capitol St. NW, Suite 401
Washington, DC 20001

 |  fax

www.nass.org | canivote.org

From: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 1:46:11 PM

To: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Cc: [Reynolds, Leslie](#);

Subject: NASS 2021 Summer Conference - Updated Information

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[agenda-nass-summer21-070921.pdf](#) 

Good Afternoon:

Thank you for registering for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference in Des Moines, IA on Aug. 13-16, 2021. Attached is an updated conference agenda with additional session information and speakers. Please DO NOT share this agenda outside of your office/organization.

Thank you,
Stacy

Stacy Dodd
Director of Membership & Administration
National Association of Secretaries of State
444 North Capitol St. NW, Suite 401
Washington, DC 20001
[REDACTED] | [REDACTED] fax
www.nass.org | canivote.org

[Register for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference](#)

From: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 3:01:33 PM

To: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Subject: NASS 2021 Summer Conference - Updated Information

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[agenda-nass-summer21-072321.pdf](#) 

Good Afternoon:

Thank you for registering for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference in Des Moines, IA on Aug. 13-16, 2021. Attached is an updated conference agenda with additional session information and speakers. Please DO NOT share this agenda outside of your office/organization.

Thank you,
Stacy

Stacy Dodd
Director of Membership & Administration
National Association of Secretaries of State
444 North Capitol St. NW, Suite 401
Washington, DC 20001
[REDACTED] | [REDACTED] fax
www.nass.org | canivote.org

[Register for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference](#)

From: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 6:39:57 AM

To: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Subject: NASS 2021 Summer Conference - Virtual Login for NASS & NPA

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[draft-agenda-nass-summer21-08102021.pdf](#) 

Good Morning:

Thank you for registering for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference. Both the NASS and [NPA \(Notary Public Administrators\)](#) virtual sessions will begin on Saturday, August 14, 2021. Attached is an agenda for NASS meetings. A select number of NASS sessions are being offered virtually and are marked accordingly. NPA's conference agenda is available [here](#). All agenda times are listed in Central Time.

USE THIS LINK TO PARTICIPATE VIRTUALLY - <https://webcast.center/nass/main.php>

YOU MUST USE THE EMAIL ADDRESS THAT YOU REGISTERED WITH IN ORDER TO ACCESS THE VIRTUAL SESSIONS.

If you are having trouble logging in, there is a help button in the upper right corner of the virtual login page. For Q&A during the sessions virtual attendees will utilize the chat feature. Virtual sessions will be recorded and available after the conference concludes.

Save this link on your calendar so you are ready on Saturday!

Thanks,
Stacy

Stacy Dodd
Director of Membership & Administration
National Association of Secretaries of State
444 North Capitol St. NW, Suite 401
Washington, DC 20001
[REDACTED] | [REDACTED] fax
www.nass.org | canivote.org

From: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 6:38:26 AM

To: [Dodd, Stacy](#)

Subject: NASS 2021 Summer Conference - Virtual Login for NASS & NPA

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[draft-agenda-nass-summer21-08102021.pdf](#) 

Good Morning:

Thank you for registering for the NASS 2021 Summer Conference. Both the NASS and [NPA \(Notary Public Administrators\)](#) virtual sessions will begin on Saturday, August 14, 2021. Attached is an agenda for NASS meetings. A select number of NASS sessions are being offered virtually and are marked accordingly. NPA's conference agenda is available [here](#). All agenda times are listed in Central Time.

USE THIS LINK TO PARTICIPATE VIRTUALLY - <https://webcast.center/nass/main.php>

YOU MUST USE THE EMAIL ADDRESS THAT YOU REGISTERED WITH IN ORDER TO ACCESS THE VIRTUAL SESSIONS.

If you are having trouble logging in, there is a help button in the upper right corner of the virtual login page. For Q&A during the sessions virtual attendees will utilize the chat feature. Virtual sessions will be recorded and available after the conference concludes.

Save this link on your calendar so you are ready on Saturday!

Thanks,
Stacy

Stacy Dodd
Director of Membership & Administration
National Association of Secretaries of State
444 North Capitol St. NW, Suite 401
Washington, DC 20001
[REDACTED] | [REDACTED] fax
www.nass.org | canivote.org

From: [United States Access Board](#)

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 9:32:33 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: NIST Seeks Public Comment on Recommendations for Promoting Access to Voting for People with Disabilities

Sensitivity: Normal



News from the U.S. Access Board

October 27, 2021

NIST Seeks Public Comment on Recommendations for Promoting Access to Voting for People with Disabilities

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) requests public comments on the Draft of Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities. Under [Executive Order 14019](#) on Promoting Access to Voting, NIST is directed to identify barriers to private and independent voting for people with disabilities, make recommendations to remove these barriers, and evaluate the steps needed to ensure that the online Federal Voter Registration Form is accessible to people with disabilities. The Draft is available in the [Federal Register](#).

[Learn More](#)

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES:

[Manage Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Help](#)

For more information about the content of this email, contact the [Access Board](#).

 [SHARE](#)

This email was sent to [REDACTED]@azsos.gov using GovDelivery Communications Cloud on behalf of: United States Access Board · 1331 F St NW, Suite 1000 · Washington DC 20004 · [REDACTED] (v) · [REDACTED] (TTY)

From: [Microsoft Outlook Reactions](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 8, 2023 12:06:16 AM

To: [Tonia Tunnell](#)

Subject: Reaction Daily Digest - Tuesday, August 8, 2023

Sensitivity: Normal

Microsoft Outlook



Amy Chan reacted to your message Mon 08/07/2023 14:59

RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

When I get out of classes this evening let me search my notes. I seem to recall this was exactly who we wanted to target and in that meeting I thought we discussed how it worked. Best regards, Tonia From: Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov> Sent: Monday

[Go to message](#)

[Unsubscribe](#) • [Privacy statement](#)

Microsoft Corporation, One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052

From: Alex [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 1:52:09 PM
To: Amy Love;
Cc: Reem [REDACTED];
Subject: Re: Response to Letter Regarding Federal Work-Study Funds and Nonpartisan Civic Engagement Work
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:
7-27-Godlewski-SecCardonaLetter 2024-03-11 20_49_50.pdf [REDACTED]

Here you go, Amy!



Alex [REDACTED]
Executive Assistant to the Secretary of State

Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]

Arizona Secretary of State | <https://azsos.gov>
1700 W. Washington St., 7th Fl. | Phoenix, AZ 85007
This message and any messages in response to the sender of this message may be subject to a public records request.

Would you like the Secretary to attend your event? Fill out a public appearance request [here](#).

From: Amy Love <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 1:46:28 PM
To: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Luis [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Angelek Larkins <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Reem [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Renada [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Lisa Marra <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: Response to Letter Regarding Federal Work-Study Funds and Nonpartisan Civic Engagement Work

Could I get a copy of the original letter Sec. Fontes signed on to that prompted this response ? My apologies if it is in the shared drive somewhere, I did a quick search but wasn't sure where to find it.

From: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 12:15 PM
To: Amy Love <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Luis [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Angelek Larkins <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Reem [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Renada [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Lisa Marra <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: Response to Letter Regarding Federal Work-Study Funds and Nonpartisan Civic Engagement Work

Since we are using our ARPA funds for the fellowships, this is perhaps something we can keep in mind and share with counties in the case that they want to extend the time they have with fellows. This would be done separately from us,

but this is a mechanism they could possibly use.

Best regards,

Tonia

From: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 11:59 AM
To: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Love <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Luis [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Angelek Larkins <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Reem [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Renada [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Lisa Marra <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: Response to Letter Regarding Federal Work-Study Funds and Nonpartisan Civic Engagement Work

FYI – this is a response to a letter that Adrian signed on to with a bunch of other SOSs a long time ago but may be relevant now as we talk about getting students in to the Fellowship or as Temp workers. Please utilize this info as you deem appropriate. Thanks.

From: Juli [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 1:54 PM
To: Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: Response to Letter Regarding Federal Work-Study Funds and Nonpartisan Civic Engagement Work

From: Stevens, Sharon <[REDACTED]@ed.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 1:47 PM
To: Admin SOS <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: Response to Letter Regarding Federal Work-Study Funds and Nonpartisan Civic Engagement Work

Good afternoon. Please find the U.S. Department of Education's response to a letter from the Secretary of State regarding Federal Work-Study funds and their use to support nonpartisan civic engagement work.

Please do not hesitate to reach out if you have any further questions,

Sharon Stevens | Office of the Secretary | Executive Secretariat
U.S. Department of Education
O: [REDACTED] | M: [REDACTED] | [REDACTED]@ed.gov

From: [Adrian Fontes](#)

Sent: Saturday, May 27, 2023 2:44:27 PM

To: [Keely Varvel](#)

Subject: Fwd: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[Godlewski Work Study Letter 5-23_final \(1\).docx](#), [University Letter.docx](#), [Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter.pdf](#)

Can we do this? Do we have time?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Abbey Ford** <[\[REDACTED\]@demsofstate.org](#)>

Date: Thu, May 25, 2023 at 13:20

Subject: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

To: Steve Simon <[\[REDACTED\]@hotmail.com](#)>, Gregg Amore <[\[REDACTED\]@verizon.net](#)>, Jeffrey Bullock <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Jena Griswold <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Tahesha Way <[\[REDACTED\]@aol.com](#)>, Robert <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Adrian Fontes <[\[REDACTED\]@electfontes.com](#)>, Kimberly Bassett <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Jocelyn <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Elaine Marshall <[\[REDACTED\]@nc.rr.com](#)>, Cisco Aguilar <[\[REDACTED\]@barrio.co](#)>, steve <[\[REDACTED\]@electhobbs.com](#)>, Sarah Copeland Hanzas <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Alexi Giannoulis <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Shirley Weber <[\[REDACTED\]@cox.net](#)>, Shenna Bellows <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Maggie Toulouse Oliver <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Stephanie Thomas <[\[REDACTED\]@votestephaniethomas.com](#)>, Steve Hobbs <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>

CC: Sarah Godlewski <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>, Travis Brimm <[\[REDACTED\]@demsofstate.org](#)>, Hannah Menchhoff <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)>

Hi Secretaries,

Thank you to those who were able to join Secretary Godlewski's call today. I wanted to pass along some additional information from her for those who couldn't join. I will forward this to your relevant staff.

If any of you wish to speak with Sec. Godlewski individually, you're welcome to call her cell [\[REDACTED\]](#). **She is hoping to have sign off from other secretaries wishing to participate by Friday, June 2.** Please email [\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#) if you would like to sign on.

Topic: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

Overview: Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds - only universities, colleges, and tech schools.

It would be wonderful if other SoSs joined the effort. Secretary Benson in Michigan has already signed on.

There are two letters - the first, is a letter to the DOE asking to make this change and then the second, is a letter that would be sent to all college, universities, and tech school presidents.

1. Dept of Ed Letter: This is the letter going to the Dept of Ed to ask for an update in the policy to allow work study funds to financially support voter registration efforts led by non-profits and government agencies. Note: This would also be a letter non-profits could sign on to as well

2. College, University, and Tech Schools: This is the letter that is going to college presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.

3. Background Letter: The civics letter is the one that was sent to the Dept of Education on behalf of multiple organizations making the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts.

Please let me know if you have any thoughts and feedback on the Dept of Ed and Colleges letters.

Thanks for all you do!

--

Abbey Ford (she/her)

Associate Director of Operations

[Democratic Association of Secretaries of State](#)



--

Adrian Fontes

Democratic Nominee

Arizona Secretary of State

ElectFontes.com

From: [Keely Varvel](#)

Sent: Saturday, May 27, 2023 4:57:25 PM

To: [Tonia Tunnell](#)

Subject: Fwd: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[Godlewski Work Study Letter 5-23_final \(1\).docx](#) [University Letter.docx](#) [Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter.pdf](#)

Let's talk about this Tuesday

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Adrian Fontes <[REDACTED]@electfontes.com>

Sent: Saturday, May 27, 2023 2:43:02 PM

To: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

Can we do this? Do we have time?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Abbey Ford** <[REDACTED]@demsofstate.org>

Date: Thu, May 25, 2023 at 13:20

Subject: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

To: Steve Simon <[REDACTED]@hotmail.com>, Gregg Amore <[REDACTED]@verizon.net>, Jeffrey Bullock <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Jena Griswold <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Tahesha Way <[REDACTED]@aol.com>, Robert [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Adrian Fontes <[REDACTED]@electfontes.com>, Kimberly Bassett <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Jocelyn [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Elaine Marshall <[REDACTED]@nc.rr.com>, Cisco Aguilar <[REDACTED]@barrio.co>, steve <[REDACTED]@electhobbs.com>, Sarah Copeland Hanzas <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Alexi Giannoulas <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Shirley Weber <[REDACTED]@cox.net>, Shenna Bellows <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Maggie Toulouse Oliver <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Stephanie Thomas <[REDACTED]@votestephaniethomas.com>, Steve Hobbs <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

CC: Sarah Godlewski <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>, Travis Brimm <[REDACTED]@demsofstate.org>, Hannah Menchhoff <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Hi Secretaries,

Thank you to those who were able to join Secretary Godlewski's call today. I wanted to pass along some additional information from her for those who couldn't join. I will forward this to your relevant staff.

If any of you wish to speak with Sec. Godlewski individually, you're welcome to call her cell [REDACTED]. **She is hoping to have sign off from other secretaries wishing to participate by Friday, June 2.** Please email [REDACTED]@gmail.com if you would like to sign on.

Topic: Work Study Voter Registration Funds

Overview: Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds - only universities, colleges, and tech schools.

It would be wonderful if other SoSs joined the effort. Secretary Benson in Michigan has already signed on.

There are two letters - the first, is a letter to the DOE asking to make this change and then the second, is a letter that would be sent to all college, universities, and tech school presidents.

1. Dept of Ed Letter: This is the letter going to the Dept of Ed to ask for an update in the policy to allow work study funds to financially support voter registration efforts led by non-profits and government agencies. Note: This would also be a letter non-profits could sign on to as well

2. College, University, and Tech Schools: This is the letter that is going to college presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.

3. Background Letter: The civics letter is the one that was sent to the Dept of Education on behalf of multiple organizations making the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts.

Please let me know if you have any thoughts and feedback on the Dept of Ed and Colleges letters.

Thanks for all you do!

--

Abbey Ford (she/her)

Associate Director of Operations

[Democratic Association of Secretaries of State](#)



--

Adrian Fontes

Democratic Nominee

Arizona Secretary of State

ElectFontes.com

From: [Arizona Secretary of State Public Records](#)

Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 11:53:24 AM

To: [Amy Chan](#)

Subject: [Opened] Arizona Secretary of State records request #24-44 from Fred Lucas

Sensitivity: Normal

– Reply ABOVE THIS LINE to post an INTERNAL message that will be sent to staff. Attach a non-image file to have it uploaded to the request as a staff-only document. –

Arizona Secretary of State Public Records

Record request #24-44 has been submitted and assigned to you. It currently has a due date of July 22, 2024.

Hello. I am making a public records request on behalf of The Daily Signal.

I would like to make a public records request for the following information.

1. Communications between federal employees and employees with the Arizona Secretary of State's office—including the Secretary—between March 1, 2021 to the present that relates to President Joe Biden's Executive Order 14019 on voting and elections.
2. Communications between employees of the Arizona Secretary of State's office—including the Secretary—between March 1, 2021 to the present that relates to President Joe Biden's Executive Order 14019 on voting and elections.

3. Documents or correspondents from federal employees of federal agencies regarding a "strategic plan" in relation to President Joe Biden's Executive Order 14019 on voting and elections between March 1, 2021 to the present.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.


Thank you.

[View Request 24-44](https://azsos.nextrequest.com/requests/24-44)

<https://azsos.nextrequest.com/requests/24-44>



[Help Pages](#) | [\[REDACTED\]@nextrequest.com](#)

From: [REDACTED] [Lansdale](#)
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 5:53:26 AM
To: [Aaron Nevarez](#);
Cc: [Casandra Hockenberry](#);
Subject: Colorado Springs Meeting Notes
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:
[OVIColoradoSpringNotes.pdf](#) 

OVI Working Group,

Good morning. We are still riding a wave of excitement from our convening a few weeks ago. Thank you again for a great meeting. Please see the attached notes. We're grateful for all of your guidance and will follow up soon regarding action items that you posed to us.

For those who intend on submitting a reimbursement, please get that to our team by Friday COB so that we can begin processing them. If you have any questions about how to fill those out, please reach out to myself or a member of the team.

Respectfully,

Taylor Lansdale

Program Manager

Overseas Voting Initiative/
Shared State Legislation

[REDACTED]

office

mobile

[@csg.org](mailto:[REDACTED]@csg.org)



From: [Keely Varvel](#)

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:48:37 AM

To: [Amy Chan](#);

Subject: Fwd: Criminal Conduct of AZ Attorney General

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[CIREF-1A.pdf](#) [1G_INDICTMENT-2.pdf](#) [Z_1-County_Outside_Analysis.pdf](#)

Not sure why this was sent to me. A "cc" I guess. FYI. Someone please make sure the AG and her security folks get a copy of this (if they didn't receive it directly). Thanks.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Mark Thomas <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:44 AM

To: Mark Thomas <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Subject: Criminal Conduct of AZ Attorney General

<https://www.politico.com/news/2024/03/06/donald-trump-2020-election-probe-arizona-00145377>

To: AZ Attorney General Kris Mayes, Deputy Daniel Barr

Copies To: AZ Supreme Ct; SOS Fontes; Hobbs; Spkr. Toma; Sen. Pres. Peterson; Rep. Biggs; **Attorney General Merrick Garland**; Other lawyers

RE: **Arizona Donald Trump "2020 Election Investigation"**

Priority: Very High

March 12, 2024

11 pages

Introduction

Attorney General Mayes, I give you a very stern warning on the above subject to which you should pay close attention. If you intend to "investigate" President Trump for "overturning the 2020 AZ Election" without investigating and confirming the **massive** ballot and election fraud (by Biden) that occurred in Maricopa (MC), Pima (PC) Counties and elsewhere – **you will end up in serious criminal trouble**. I have studied and analyzed intensely for 3 years the Election, especially **AZ** and GA: **25K** – **50K** – **200K+** fraudulent ballots, ballot stuffing, ballot mules, algorithms, and **\$350M+** Zuckerberg money (at least **\$5.2M** to AZ; **\$45.6M** to GA) all involved. Only a **10,457** Biden vote margin. You and Hobbs don't care about an overturned Election, do you? Only when you can frame and falsely accuse Trump of that in AZ.

Kris Mayes, before you proceed: you, Hobbs, Brnovich, Ducey, Hickman and Bronson have an absolute, indisputable obligation to produce an untainted, independent, **under-oath** certification of:

“All necessary information, documentation, evidence, and proof to clearly and conclusively show that Joe Biden lawfully won the State of Arizona (11 Electoral Votes) 2020 Election without fraud, ballot stuffing, algorithms, human ballot mules, missing, forged data, records or other forms of Election Fraud. The 10,457 Biden vote margin is true and correct.”

In other words, who lawfully, conclusively won the AZ Election? You cannot provide this certification; **it is impossible**, the massive ballot fraud in AZ *against* Trump precludes this. **Trump won AZ**. Thus, your investigation against Trump and others is corrupt and criminal. End your investigation of Trump – or you could likely end up in prison, as others. Go after Ducey, Hobbs, Sellers and Hickman *et al.* You may not persist in this **Fraud-on-the-Court**. [*Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky*](#), 10 F.3d 338, 348-50 (6th Cir. 1993); rehearing *en banc* denied (Feb. 1994); cert. denied, 513 U.S. 914 (1994). [*Herring v. United States*](#), 424 F.3d 384, 386-87 (3rd Cir. 2005) Alito on panel.

Elements of Fraud-on-the-Court

- 1.) “Conduct on the part of an officer of the court;
- 2.) Conduct that is directed to judicial machinery itself;
- 3.) Conduct that is intentionally false, willfully blind to the truth, or in reckless disregard for the truth;
- 4.) Conduct that is a positive averment or is a concealment when one is under a duty to disclose;
- 5.) Conduct that deceives the Court.” [*Demjanjuk*](#) at 348.

You should consider these overwhelming facts *before* you advance this fool’s errand, risk your law license, your Indictment, prison and endeavor to indict Trump under false pretenses:

Criminality of AZ State Officials Stealing the 2020 Election

- To you, as AG, this is a Federal criminal matter because it involves:
 - A massive Conspiracy by **AZ State officials** against the United States, 18 U.S.C. §§[371](#); [372](#); “We unlawfully took what belongs to you, Pres. Trump, and the Nation – and you can’t stop us; we’re Arizona.” §[241](#); the Statute of Limitations

- has not yet begun to run; see, [Smith v. United States](#), 568 U.S. 106, 109-114 (2013).
- An agreement by State and Federal Officials (conspiracy), [Salinas v. United States](#), 522 U.S. 52, 63-65 (1997), to cover up and block an Election Fraud and investigation in AZ, 18 U.S.C. §§[1519](#); [371](#); [3](#)
 - The criminal **obliteration by AZ State Officials** of Art. IV, Section 1, Full Faith and Credit Clause in AZ, Defrauding the United States, by overturning an Election 18 U.S.C. §[371](#). Up to 200K – 300K *fake ballot records*. §4, A Republican Form of Government, with no Presidential Election Fraud: **Treason**, 18 U.S.C. §[2381](#).
 - Perjury of one's Oath to support the U.S. Constitution, 18 U.S.C. §[1621](#); (4 U.S.C. §[101](#); 5 U.S.C. §[3331](#))
 - Mail and Wire Fraud in the processing, rigging and manipulation of the **2.9M±** AZ absentee ballots and on-going digital voting results, 18 U.S.C. §§ [1341](#), [1342](#), [1343](#), [1349](#)
 - False (corrupt) Certification by AZ State Officials of an State Presidential Election to the January 6, 2021 Congress Official Proceeding, 18 U.S.C. §[1512](#) (c)(1)(2)(k); see §[1515](#)
 - Likely false testimony and concealment, under Oath to the J6 Committee **by Rusty Bowers** (and others) that there was no Election fraud, ballot fraud or irregularities in AZ 2020 Election, 18 U.S.C. §§[1001](#), [372](#); Bowers' failure as the chief legislative official to look and ask further to determine if there was decisive, visible fraud against Trump §[241](#), since Trump had won MC and AZ in 2016 by 91K votes. 52 U.S.C. §[20511](#) (2)(A)(B)
 - The critical failure of Brnovich, Fann, Hobbs, (Runbeck), MC and PC to find and provide the missing AZ 100K – 200K ballots' chain of custody tickets proving the massive fraud in MC and PC – against a margin of only 10,457 votes.

Kris Mayes Indictable Criminal Conduct as an LEO

Framing an Innocent Man by Using Lies and Perjured Statements

- Your action is *probable criminal*, Mayes, and a blatant attempt to interfere in the 2024 Presidential Election 18 U.S.C. §§ [372](#), [241](#), [242](#)
- Your joining together and agreeing with others to investigate a “fake crime” against AZ and the United States (by Trump) is a Conspiracy 18 U.S.C. §[371](#), [1621](#)
- You are an Accessory after the fact, Kris Mayes, 18 U.S.C. §[3](#) – at least 5 – 15 years in Federal prison.
- Who is protecting you? Do you have promised protection from Hobbs? The FBI? DOJ? White House? AZ Judiciary? The State Bar Overseers? Tell us who is protecting you, to engage in this criminality?
- **With whom** have you coordinated this “investigation?” The DOJ? White House? Who are your Masters? Who has put you up to this scheme?
- What have you ever done, Mayes, that makes you integrity-qualified as AG to “investigate a stolen or overturned Election?” Nothing! It is either one or the other. You are a corrupt political hack. It was stolen!

*“It’s robust. It’s a serious matter,” **Mayes, a Democrat, said** of her ongoing investigation. “We’re going to make sure that we do it on our timetable, applying the resources that it requires to make sure that justice is done, for not only Arizonans, but for the entire country.” –[Politio](#) Nov. 10, 2023*

- AZ is a very corrupt State, all three branches: Judiciary, Executive and Legislative – all that corruption will be exposed especially Maricopa and Pima counties if you bring any kind of false Indictment against Trump.
- Many of the corrupt actors who stole and/or covered up the 2020 and 2022 Elections will be exposed and called to the witness stand and interrogated regarding their deceit and dishonesty: you, Hobbs, Ducey, Brnovich, Bowers, Fontes, MC, Hickman, Sellers, Gates, Richer, Jarrett, Lorick, Dul, Liddy, Valenzuela, staff, Runbeck, Fann, the McCain cabal. **And the Feds, too**. A massive conspiracy, where no one has come clean. Stunning!
- Are you condoning and suborning Perjury by these officials? Anyone you give immunity

to, will be impeached as to their untruthfulness.

- It is highly likely you and Hobbs hold Office illegally, winning only by 280 votes and 17,000 votes. Both rigged AZ 2022 Elections. And you're going to investigate Trump, who won clean and clear?

The Evidence of a Stolen Election (attached PDF)

ARIZONA 2020 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION - MARICOPA COUNTY & OUTSIDE *PIMA=OMC					
STATISTICS	USA	Maricopa Cty	OMC	Pima Cty*	AZ Totals
Population-2020	331,449,281	4,438,342	2,713,160	1,061,000	7,151,502
Population-2016	323,740,000	4,258,019	2,650,601	1,017,000	6,908,620
Reg Voters-2020	214,863,264	2,595,272	1,686,029	639,223	4,281,301
Reg Voters-2016	198,598,827	2,161,716	1,426,750	543,509	3,588,466
Biden 2020 Votes	81,282,916	1,040,774	631,369	304,981	1,672,143
Clinton 2016 Votes	65,853,514	702,907	458,260	224,661	1,161,167
Difference (New votes)	15,429,402	337,867	173,109	80,320	510,976
Trump 2020 Votes	74,223,369	995,665	666,021	207,758	1,661,686
Trump 2016 Votes	62,984,828	747,361	505,040	167,428	1,252,401
Difference (New votes)	11,238,541	248,304	160,981	40,330	409,285
B-T 2020 #Diff	7,059,547	45,109	-34,652	97,223	10,457
B-T 2020 % Margin	4.54%	2.22%	-2.67%	18.96%	0.31%
2020 Biden vote %	52.27%	51.11%	48.66%	59.48%	50.16%
2020 Trump vote %	47.73%	48.89%	51.34%	40.52%	49.84%
B-T 2020 Votes	155,506,285	2,036,439	1,297,390	512,739	3,333,829
C-T 2016 Votes	128,838,342	1,450,268	963,300	392,089	2,413,568
C-T 2016 #Diff	2,868,686	-44,454	-46,780	57,233	-91,234
C-T 2016 % Margin	2.23%	-3.07%	-4.86%	14.60%	-3.78%
% Incr. B-T Total Vote	20.70%	40.42%	34.68%	30.77%	38.13%
New B-T 2020 Votes	26,667,943	586,171	334,090	120,650	920,261
As % of Total Vote	17.15%	28.78%	25.75%	23.53%	27.60%
Biden's % on New Votes	57.86%	57.64%	51.82%	66.57%	55.53%
2016 Clinton Vote %	51.11%	48.47%	47.57%	57.30%	48.11%
2016 Trump Vote %	48.89%	51.53%	52.43%	42.70%	51.89%
Biden 16-20 vote increas	23.43%	48.07%	37.78%	35.75%	44.01%
Trump 16-20 vote increa	17.84%	33.22%	31.87%	24.09%	32.68%
				Pima very suspect	
Zuckerberg Money	\$400,000,000±	\$1,840,345	\$3,342,350	\$950,446	\$5,182,695
Zuckerberg paid per vote		\$0.90	\$2.58	\$1.85	\$1.55
Biden min. not-to-lose		46.58%	35.40%	31.00%	43.15%

There is powerful irrefutable evidence that AZ officials and Dem operatives rigged, manipulated and stole the 2020 Election and now Mayes thinks she will corruptly frame Trump for that injustice? Think again Mayes.

- In 2016, Trump won MC, Outside MC, and all AZ by 91K votes or 3.78%. In 2020, Biden, AZ Dems and RINOs were under enormous pressure to cheat. **And they did.** But they could only win by a *paltry* 10,457 votes.

➤ In 2020, Trump overwhelmed AZ with his voters, a 32.68% increase – that’s why Biden and the Dems had to cheat – they were swamped with Trump votes. Statistics prove Biden did not do well in AZ; he had to cheat.

➤ On November 3, 2020 at 8:05, 8:06pm, there were (2) Biden-Trump dumps executed of 2,071,548 AZ ballots (Bi. 363K, 798K; Tr. 254K, 655K), where Biden netted 251.6K votes. They “claimed” 1.262M ballots were left to count. That was criminal because there is no place or possibility anywhere in AZ (either MC or PC) where Biden could have achieved that kind of result. As proof, there are 100K – 200K AZ “ballots” with missing chain of custody tickets; so says AG Brnovich and Cyber Ninjas. This appears to be stuffed ballots and digital algorithms applied. I am sure you fully investigated this huge scam, Mayes. Hah!

➤ The AZ SOS, Maricopa and Pima Counties have failed to tell AZ and the Nation where this composite 2.071M ballot dump came from geographically. That is fraud to withhold and conceal that critical information, yet hold it out to be authentic without any valid proof. Where did the remaining 1.262M ballots come from?

➤ Pima County (PC) claimed a 35.75% increase in Biden votes and took a 66.57% share of new votes coming into PC – all unbelievable, unexplainable and certain cheating – indicating at least 25K± excess, fake Biden ballots. All this far above national and BGS averages.

➤ MC claimed a 48.07% (337K) increase in Biden votes in 2020 after having lost MC by nearly 45K votes to Trump in 2016. That increase is unbelievable, unexplained and pure fraud. The highest increase in the Nation in any County; far above national and BGS increases. See Orange, San Diego, Harris and King Counties – not even close. AZ claimed a 44.01% increase in Biden votes – cheating. And if AZ and MC had not “recorded” 43%+ and 46%+ Biden vote increases over 2016, Biden easily loses all Arizona. Biden clearly cheated to win and avoid losing.

➤ In OMC, Biden had to claim a 37.78% increase in new votes just to criminally counter Trump’s 31.87% increase. And if Biden gets less than a 35.4% increase in OMC – he

would have lost all AZ. **All this to cheat!**

➤ MC claimed 53,124 “other votes.” All of AZ claimed just 53,497. For MC that represents 2.5% of total votes; 1.2% of population; and 2.1% of registered voters. All those MC “other votes” are far above State, BGS and National averages. There is something **extremely suspicious** about MC “other votes.” This analysis indicates **15K – 20K** excess “other votes” in MC with no plausible explanation for their existence.

➤ PC provides **14.83%** of the AZ population; they supplied **15.38%** of the AZ total votes. That is a certain indicator of some **18K** excess votes.

➤ The corruption and criminality in MC and PC is unbridled. A national disgrace. But go ahead, Mayes, and make your outrageous, criminal avowal that, “Trump and Giuliani tried to corruptly overturn an Election, and Rusty Bowers is a trusted public official.”

➤ AZ claimed **920K** new votes coming into all AZ for 2020; Biden claimed **511K**, Trump **409K**. As a % of the total Election result of 3.334M votes - that represents **27.20%**, far over national and Battleground States’ (BGS) norms of **17.15%** and **17.91%**. Biden’s claim of **511K** new votes (**30.5%** of his 1.672M vote total) is simply not true, as Biden’s national average is **18.98%**, (still too high). Biden-AZ new votes is at least 10-11% too high as a component % or composite % of the total votes. Biden deliberately cheated in AZ clearly indicating at least **225K fake ballots** – far over the Biden margin of 10,457. That is criminal fraud.

➤ There is massive cheating and documented excess ballots in AZ and fake Biden ballot increases of 40% that were needed to win. Without these mammoth, **unexplainable increases** all over AZ, Biden loses AZ!

➤ There is powerful statistical proof that Biden did not do well in AZ versus nationally....so poorly Biden had to stuff 200K – 300K fake ballots, credibly explaining the **251K** net ballots from the **2.071M** 11/3/20 dump!

AG Kris Mayes, the Election fraud in AZ is massive, criminal and easily provable. The fact that you and Brnovich did absolutely nothing to expose it, and now you are attempting to indict Trump for “overturning an Election” is a criminal outrage and should put **you in prison for the rest of your life**.

Moreover, the fact you refuse to go after any of the corrupt, dirtbag State Officials, who perpetrated this felony Election swindle on AZ and the Nation, is further atrocity as a lawyer. The Full Faith and Credit of Arizona has been destroyed. No one believes the results or the Biden increases. You, Hobbs, Ducey, Bowers and Lorick are a scandalous disgrace.

On November 4, 2020 President Donald Trump should have been awarded the Presidential Election and 11 Electoral votes from AZ. They refused. We will hold you, Mayes, Biden, Garland, Wray, numerous AZ State officials, actors and operatives criminally responsible for Defrauding the United States and your Oaths, 18 U.S.C. §371, 1621. This is a vast swindle to which the Statute of Limitations has not yet begun.

I am requesting you deliver the attached (2) **Criminal Indictment Reports**, prepared by this writer, and this email to any and all AZ Grand Jury members and prosecutors on this Trump matter. Thus, they will see first-hand the massive chicanery in which AZ and other Government officials engage. There is massive proof the 2020 Election was stolen.

And you, Supreme Court of AZ (and CJ Brutinel), you witnessed two stolen AZ Elections in 2020 and 2022 right under your nose. You blocked Kari Lake; unlawfully, wrongly upheld Hobbs; kept thousands, of corrupt ballots hidden from forensic, signature review and disposition in both cases. Who trusts AZ Elections? No one! What is the purpose and effect of your existence to 7.1 million AZ citizens, when you allow this criminality? Do you think, merely because (many, or all of) you have uncontrollable animus for President Trump, (like Biden, Garland, Smith, Willis and Mayes), that if you bury and trample his constitutional rights – that will solve the problem. See, Canons 1, 2, 3; 18 U.S.C. §§ 372, 241. This massive, 100% certain stolen Presidential Election in AZ is an everlasting scandal and blight on the AZ Supreme Court, *et al*. You defrauded the Nation and AZ Full Faith and Credit. You did nothing to correct the stolen Election: read the public results and statistics herein. It is fully clear! No Judges may act this way. Our Country has been destroyed by AZ and its Public Officials (and by 5 other States). And according to my intensive review of 2022, the Governor's and AG's Office was highly likely stolen and sabotaged as well – to powerfully block (with these Offices) any investigation or reversal of the stolen 2020 Election. Outrageous! CJ Brutinel, per all the foregoing,

why do all these lawyers still have licenses?

ACTION DEMANDED

There needs to be **an immediate investigation** by the **AZ Legislature** of: **1.)** How and why the **AZ Supreme Court** allowed **two** major, stolen elections to occur in Phoenix, Maricopa County right under their noses, without consequence; **2.)** Why AG Kris Mayes is seeking to indict an innocent man, Trump, while she lets the guilty parties, AZ, MC and PC go free and untouched; and is she using perjured testimony? **3.)** Did Mayes coordinate this with the WH and DOJ? **4.)** Why the DOJ/FBI, Garland and Wray allowed these absolute stolen elections to occur in AZ with Garland blustering away that he loaded up the DOJ with “voting civil-rights attorneys;” and why FBI agent Kori Lorick was placed in Hobbs’ SOS’s Office while two stolen elections obliterated AZ and the Nation; **5.)** What exactly was **Mark Brnovich** doing? **6.)** Is AZ utterly overwhelmed with massive public corruption that two major Elections were stolen, and Hobbs and Mayes should never have been placed or left in Office? I saw a MC election official get on a witness stand under oath and lie about the 19” – 20” ballot image problem. **Shocking!** Moreover, an Independent AZ Grand Jury must be convened to investigate this out-of-control AZ public corruption. AZ is malfunctioning. Unlawful, you protest? AZ is fully corrupt and unlawful!

Fani Willis has done the same thing in GA: she indicted an innocent man, Trump, who won GA overwhelmingly by **75K – 300K** votes, with an 11,779 “Biden margin.” Is Kris Mayes in collaboration and conspiracy with Willis to do the same?

Kris Mayes, **we demand** you publicly answer **now**: the **AZ Supreme Court**; the **Legislature**; and the **Governor’s Office**: are you going to indict the AZ officials who rigged, manipulated and stole the 2020/2022 Elections? And tell the DOJ, WH and Fani Willis to go pound sand? **Answer us!** The next step is a petition to SCOTUS.

• This letter is neither a threat, nor obstructing an “investigation.” This is an American citizen calling out the **massive 2020 criminal Election corruption** in Arizona, and in the WH, DOJ and FBI. Please note 18 U.S.C. §§ [4](#), [1513\(e\)](#), [1512\(e\)](#).

Respectfully, firmly, truthfully,
/s/ Mark A. Thomas – Summit, NJ

From: [Amy Chan](#)
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:51:02 AM
To: [Barr, Daniel](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#);
Subject: FW: Criminal Conduct of AZ Attorney General
Response requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:
[CIREF-1A.pdf](#) [AG_INDICTMENT-2.pdf](#) [AZ_1-County_Outside_Analysis.pdf](#)

Dan, Josh, and Alex,

We wanted to be sure your office was aware of the email below.

Sincerely,
Amy

From: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:49 AM
To: Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Michael Moore <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Angie [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Criminal Conduct of AZ Attorney General

Not sure why this was sent to me. A "cc" I guess. FYI. Someone please make sure the AG and her security folks get a copy of this (if they didn't receive it directly). Thanks.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Mark Thomas <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:44 AM
To: Mark Thomas <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Subject: Criminal Conduct of AZ Attorney General

<https://www.politico.com/news/2024/03/06/donald-trump-2020-election-probe-arizona-00145377>

To: AZ Attorney General Kris Mayes, Deputy Daniel Barr

Copies To: AZ Supreme Ct; SOS Fontes; Hobbs; Spkr. Toma; Sen. Pres. Peterson; Rep.

Biggs; Attorney General Merrick Garland; Other lawyers

RE: Arizona Donald Trump "2020 Election Investigation"

Priority: Very High

March 12, 2024

11 pages

Introduction

Attorney General Mayes, I give you a very stern warning on the above subject to which you should pay close attention. If you intend to "investigate" President Trump for "overturning the 2020

AZ Election” without investigating and confirming the massive ballot and election fraud (by Biden) that occurred in Maricopa (MC), Pima (PC) Counties and elsewhere – you will end up in serious criminal trouble. I have studied and analyzed intensely for 3 years the Election, especially AZ and GA: 25K – 50K – 200K+ fraudulent ballots, ballot stuffing, ballot mules, algorithms, and \$350M+ Zuckerberg money (at least \$5.2M to AZ; \$45.6M to GA) all involved. Only a 10,457 Biden vote margin. You and Hobbs don’t care about an overturned Election, do you? Only when you can frame and falsely accuse Trump of that in AZ.

Kris Mayes, before you proceed: you, Hobbs, Brnovich, Ducey, Hickman and Bronson have an absolute, indisputable obligation to produce an untainted, independent, under-oath certification of:

“All necessary information, documentation, evidence, and proof to clearly and conclusively show that Joe Biden lawfully won the State of Arizona (11 Electoral Votes) 2020 Election without fraud, ballot stuffing, algorithms, human ballot mules, missing, forged data, records or other forms of Election Fraud. The 10,457 Biden vote margin is true and correct.”

In other words, who lawfully, conclusively won the AZ Election? You cannot provide this certification; it is impossible, the massive ballot fraud in AZ against Trump precludes this. Trump won AZ. Thus, your investigation against Trump and others is corrupt and criminal. End your investigation of Trump – or you could likely end up in prison, as others. Go after Ducey, Hobbs, Sellers and Hickman *et al.* You may not persist in this Fraud-on-the-Court. [Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky](#), 10 F.3d 338, 348-50 (6th Cir. 1993); rehearing *en banc* denied (Feb. 1994); cert. denied, 513 U.S. 914 (1994). [Herring v. United States](#), 424 F.3d 384, 386-87 (3rd Cir. 2005) Alito on panel.

Elements of Fraud-on-the-Court

- 1.) “Conduct on the part of an officer of the court;
- 2.) Conduct that is directed to judicial machinery itself;
- 3.) Conduct that is intentionally false, willfully blind to the truth, or in reckless disregard for the truth;
- 4.) Conduct that is a positive averment or is a concealment when one is under a duty to disclose;

5.) Conduct that deceives the Court.” *Demjanjuk* at 348.

You should consider these overwhelming facts *before* you advance this fool’s errand, risk your law license, your Indictment, prison and endeavor to indict Trump under false pretenses:

Criminality of AZ State Officials Stealing the 2020 Election

- To you, as AG, this is a Federal criminal matter because it involves:
 - A massive Conspiracy by **AZ State officials** against the United States, 18 U.S.C. §§[371](#); [372](#); “We unlawfully took what belongs to you, Pres. Trump, and the Nation – and you can’t stop us; we’re Arizona.” §[241](#); the Statute of Limitations has not yet begun to run; *see*, [Smith v. United States](#), 568 U.S. 106, 109-114 (2013).
 - An agreement by State and Federal Officials (conspiracy), [Salinas v. United States](#), 522 U.S. 52, 63-65 (1997), to cover up and block an Election Fraud and investigation in AZ, 18 U.S.C. §§[1519](#); [371](#); [3](#)
 - The criminal **obliteration by AZ State Officials** of Art. IV, Section 1, Full Faith and Credit Clause in AZ, Defrauding the United States, by overturning an Election 18 U.S.C. §[371](#). Up to 200K – 300K *fake ballot records*. §4, *A Republican Form of Government*, with no Presidential Election Fraud: **Treason**, 18 U.S.C. §[2381](#).
 - Perjury of one’s Oath to support the *U.S. Constitution*, 18 U.S.C. §[1621](#); (4 U.S.C. §[101](#); 5 U.S.C. §[3331](#))
 - Mail and Wire Fraud in the processing, rigging and manipulation of the **2.9M±** AZ absentee ballots and on-going digital voting results, 18 U.S.C. §§ [1341](#), [1342](#), [1343](#), [1349](#)
 - False (corrupt) Certification by AZ State Officials of an State Presidential Election to the January 6, 2021 Congress Official Proceeding, 18 U.S.C. §[1512](#) (c)(1)

(2)(k); see §[1515](#)

- Likely false testimony and concealment, under Oath to the J6 Committee **by Rusty Bowers** (and others) that there was no Election fraud, ballot fraud or irregularities in AZ 2020 Election, 18 U.S.C. §§[1001](#), [372](#); Bowers' failure as the chief legislative official to look and ask further to determine if there was decisive, visible fraud against Trump §[241](#), since Trump had won MC and AZ in 2016 by 91K votes. 52 U.S.C. §[20511](#) (2)(A)(B)
- The critical failure of Brnovich, Fann, Hobbs, (Runbeck), MC and PC to find and provide the missing AZ 100K – 200K ballots' chain of custody tickets proving the massive fraud in MC and PC – against a margin of only 10,457 votes.

Kris Mayes Indictable Criminal Conduct as an LEO

Framing an Innocent Man by Using Lies and Perjured Statements

- Your action is *probable criminal*, Mayes, and a blatant attempt to interfere in the 2024 Presidential Election 18 U.S.C. §§ [372](#), [241](#), [242](#)
- Your joining together and agreeing with others to investigate a “fake crime” against AZ and the United States (by Trump) is a Conspiracy 18 U.S.C. §[371](#), [1621](#)
- You are an Accessory after the fact, Kris Mayes, 18 U.S.C. §[3](#) – at least 5 – 15 years in Federal prison.
- Who is protecting you? Do you have promised protection from Hobbs? The FBI? DOJ? White House? AZ Judiciary? The State Bar Overseers? Tell us who is protecting you, to engage in this criminality?
- **With whom** have you coordinated this “investigation?” The DOJ? White House? Who

are your Masters? Who has put you up to this scheme?

- What have you ever done, Mayes, that makes you integrity-qualified as AG to “investigate a stolen or overturned Election?” Nothing! It is either one or the other. You are a corrupt political hack. It was stolen!

*“It’s robust. It’s a serious matter,” **Mayes, a Democrat, said** of her ongoing investigation. “We’re going to make sure that we do it on our timetable, applying the resources that it requires to make sure that justice is done, for not only Arizonans, but for the entire country.” –[Politio](#) Nov. 10, 2023*

- AZ is a very corrupt State, all three branches: Judiciary, Executive and Legislative – all that corruption will be exposed especially Maricopa and Pima counties if you bring any kind of false Indictment against Trump.
- Many of the corrupt actors who stole and/or covered up the 2020 and 2022 Elections will be exposed and called to the witness stand and interrogated regarding their deceit and dishonesty: you, Hobbs, Ducey, Brnovich, Bowers, Fontes, MC, Hickman, Sellers, Gates, Richer, Jarrett, Lorick, Dul, Liddy, Valenzuela, staff, Runbeck, Fann, the McCain cabal. **And the Feds, too.** A massive conspiracy, where no one has come clean. Stunning!
- Are you condoning and suborning Perjury by these officials? Anyone you give immunity to, will be impeached as to their untruthfulness.
- It is highly likely you and Hobbs hold Office illegally, winning only by 280 votes and 17,000 votes. Both rigged AZ 2022 Elections. And you’re going to investigate Trump, who won clean and clear?

The Evidence of a Stolen Election (attached PDF)

ARIZONA 2020 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION - MARICOPA COUNTY & OUTSIDE *PIMA=OMC					
STATISTICS	USA	Maricopa Cty	OMC	Pima Cty*	AZ Totals
Population-2020	331,449,281	4,438,342	2,713,160	1,061,000	7,151,502
Population-2016	323,740,000	4,258,019	2,650,601	1,017,000	6,908,620
Reg Voters-2020	214,863,264	2,595,272	1,686,029	639,223	4,281,301
Reg Voters-2016	198,598,827	2,161,716	1,426,750	543,509	3,588,466
Biden 2020 Votes	81,282,916	1,040,774	631,369	304,981	1,672,143
Clinton 2016 Votes	65,853,514	702,907	458,260	224,661	1,161,167
Difference (New votes)	15,429,402	337,867	173,109	80,320	510,976
Trump 2020 Votes	74,223,369	995,665	666,021	207,758	1,661,686
Trump 2016 Votes	62,984,828	747,361	505,040	167,428	1,252,401
Difference (New votes)	11,238,541	248,304	160,981	40,330	409,285
B-T 2020 #Diff	7,059,547	45,109	-34,652	97,223	10,457
B-T 2020 % Margin	4.54%	2.22%	-2.67%	18.96%	0.31%
2020 Biden vote %	52.27%	51.11%	48.66%	59.48%	50.16%
2020 Trump vote %	47.73%	48.89%	51.34%	40.52%	49.84%
B-T 2020 Votes	155,506,285	2,036,439	1,297,390	512,739	3,333,829
C-T 2016 Votes	128,838,342	1,450,268	963,300	392,089	2,413,568
C-T 2016 #Diff	2,868,686	-44,454	-46,780	57,233	-91,234
C-T 2016 % Margin	2.23%	-3.07%	-4.86%	14.60%	-3.78%
% Incr. B-T Total Vote	20.70%	40.42%	34.68%	30.77%	38.13%
New B-T 2020 Votes	26,667,943	586,171	334,090	120,650	920,261
As % of Total Vote	17.15%	28.78%	25.75%	23.53%	27.60%
Biden's % on New Votes	57.86%	57.64%	51.82%	66.57%	55.53%
2016 Clinton Vote %	51.11%	48.47%	47.57%	57.30%	48.11%
2016 Trump Vote %	48.89%	51.53%	52.43%	42.70%	51.89%
Biden 16-20 vote increas	23.43%	48.07%	37.78%	35.75%	44.01%
Trump 16-20 vote increa	17.84%	33.22%	31.87%	24.09%	32.68%
				Pima very suspect	
Zuckerberg Money	\$400,000,000±	\$1,840,345	\$3,342,350	\$950,446	\$5,182,695
Zuckerberg paid per vote		\$0.90	\$2.58	\$1.85	\$1.55
Biden min. not-to-lose		46.58%	35.40%	31.00%	43.15%

There is powerful irrefutable evidence that AZ officials and Dem operatives rigged, manipulated and stole the 2020 Election and now Mayes thinks she will corruptly frame Trump for that injustice? Think again Mayes.

- In 2016, Trump won MC, Outside MC, and all AZ by 91K votes or 3.78%. In 2020, Biden, AZ Dems and RINOs were under enormous pressure to cheat. **And they did.** But they could only win by a *paltry* 10,457 votes.
- In 2020, Trump overwhelmed AZ with his voters, a **32.68%** increase – that’s why Biden and the Dems had to cheat – they were swamped with Trump votes. **Statistics prove Biden did not do well in AZ;** he had to cheat.
- On November 3, 2020 at 8:05, 8:06pm, there were (2) Biden-Trump dumps executed of **2,071,548** AZ ballots (Bi. 363K, 798K; Tr. 254K, 655K), where **Biden netted 251.6K**

votes. They “claimed” 1.262M ballots were left to count. That was criminal because there is no place or possibility anywhere in AZ (either MC or PC) where Biden could have achieved that kind of result. As proof, there are **100K – 200K AZ “ballots”** with missing chain of custody tickets; so says AG Brnovich and Cyber Ninjas. This appears to be stuffed ballots and digital algorithms applied. I am sure you fully investigated this huge scam, Mayes. Hah!

➤ The AZ SOS, Maricopa and Pima Counties have failed to tell AZ and the Nation where this composite **2.071M** ballot dump came from geographically. That is fraud to withhold and conceal that critical information, yet hold it out to be authentic without any valid proof. Where did the remaining **1.262M ballots** come from?

➤ Pima County (PC) claimed a **35.75%** increase in Biden votes and took a **66.57%** share of new votes coming into PC – all unbelievable, unexplainable and certain cheating – indicating at least **25K± excess, fake Biden ballots**. All this far above national and BGS averages.

➤ MC claimed a **48.07%** (337K) increase in Biden votes in 2020 after having lost MC by nearly 45K votes to Trump in 2016. That increase is unbelievable, unexplained and **pure fraud**. The highest increase in the Nation in any County; far above national and BGS increases. See Orange, San Diego, Harris and King Counties – not even close. AZ claimed a **44.01%** increase in Biden votes – cheating. And if AZ and MC had not “recorded” **43%+** and **46%+** Biden vote increases over 2016, Biden easily loses all Arizona. Biden clearly cheated to win and avoid losing.

➤ In OMC, Biden *had to* claim a **37.78%** increase in new votes just to criminally counter Trump’s **31.87%** increase. And if Biden gets less than a **35.4%** increase in OMC – he would have lost all AZ. **All this to cheat!**

➤ MC claimed 53,124 “other votes.” All of AZ claimed just 53,497. For MC that represents 2.5% of total votes; 1.2% of population; and 2.1% of registered voters. All those MC “other

votes” are far above State, BGS and National averages. There is something extremely suspicious about MC “other votes.” This analysis indicates 15K – 20K excess “other votes” in MC with no plausible explanation for their existence.

➤ PC provides 14.83% of the AZ population; they supplied 15.38% of the AZ total votes. That is a certain indicator of some 18K excess votes.

➤ The corruption and criminality in MC and PC is unbridled. A national disgrace. But go ahead, Mayes, and make your outrageous, criminal avowal that, “Trump and Giuliani tried to corruptly overturn an Election, and Rusty Bowers is a trusted public official.”

➤ AZ claimed 920K new votes coming into all AZ for 2020; Biden claimed 511K, Trump 409K. As a % of the total Election result of 3.334M votes - that represents 27.20%, far over national and Battleground States’ (BGS) norms of 17.15% and 17.91%. Biden’s claim of 511K new votes (30.5% of his 1.672M vote total) is simply not true, as Biden’s national average is 18.98%, (still too high). Biden-AZ new votes is at least 10-11% too high as a component % or composite % of the total votes. Biden deliberately cheated in AZ clearly indicating at least 225K fake ballots – far over the Biden margin of 10,457. That is criminal fraud.

➤ There is massive cheating and documented excess ballots in AZ and fake Biden ballot increases of 40% that were needed to win. Without these mammoth, unexplainable increases all over AZ, Biden loses AZ!

➤ There is powerful statistical proof that Biden did not do well in AZ versus nationally....so poorly Biden had to stuff 200K – 300K fake ballots, credibly explaining the 251K net ballots from the 2.071M 11/3/20 dump!

AG Kris Mayes, the Election fraud in AZ is massive, criminal and easily provable. The fact that you and Brnovich did absolutely nothing to expose it, and now you are attempting to indict Trump for “overturning an Election” is a criminal outrage and should put you in prison for the rest of your life.

Moreover, the fact you refuse to go after any of the corrupt, dirtbag State Officials, who perpetrated this felony Election swindle on AZ and the Nation, is further atrocity as a lawyer. The Full Faith and Credit of Arizona has been destroyed. No one believes the results or the Biden increases. You, [Hobbs](#), Ducey, Bowers and Lorick are a scandalous disgrace.

On November 4, 2020 President Donald Trump should have been awarded the Presidential Election and 11 Electoral votes from AZ. **They refused**. We will hold you, Mayes, Biden, Garland, Wray, numerous AZ State officials, actors and operatives criminally responsible for Defrauding the United States and your Oaths, 18 U.S.C. §[371](#), [1621](#). This is a vast swindle to which the Statute of Limitations has not yet begun.

I am requesting you deliver the attached (2) **Criminal Indictment Reports**, prepared by this writer, and **this email to any and all AZ Grand Jury members and prosecutors** on this Trump matter. Thus, they will see first-hand the massive chicanery in which AZ and other Government officials engage. **There is massive proof the 2020 Election was stolen**.

And you, **Supreme Court of AZ** (and CJ Brutinel), you witnessed two stolen AZ Elections in 2020 and 2022 right under your nose. You blocked Kari Lake; unlawfully, wrongly upheld Hobbs; kept **thousands**, of corrupt ballots hidden from forensic, signature review and disposition in both cases. Who trusts AZ Elections? **No one!** What is the purpose and effect of your existence to 7.1 million AZ citizens, when you allow this criminality? Do you think, merely because (many, or all of) you have uncontrollable animus for President Trump, (like Biden, Garland, Smith, Willis and Mayes), that if you bury and trample his constitutional rights – that will solve the problem. See, Canons 1, 2, 3; 18 U.S.C. §§ [372](#), [241](#). This massive, 100% certain stolen Presidential Election in AZ is an **everlasting scandal and blight** on the **AZ Supreme Court**, *et al*. You defrauded the Nation and AZ Full Faith and Credit. You did nothing to correct the stolen Election: read the public results and statistics herein. It is fully clear! No Judges may act this way. **Our Country has been destroyed** by AZ and its Public Officials (and by 5 other States). And according to my intensive review of 2022, the Governor's and AG's Office was highly likely stolen and sabotaged as well – to powerfully block (with these Offices) any investigation or reversal of the stolen 2020 Election. Outrageous! CJ Brutinel, per all the foregoing,

why do all these lawyers still have licenses?

ACTION DEMANDED

There needs to be **an immediate investigation** by the **AZ Legislature** of: **1.)** How and why the **AZ Supreme Court** allowed **two** major, stolen elections to occur in Phoenix, Maricopa County right under their noses, without consequence; **2.)** Why AG Kris Mayes is seeking to indict an innocent man, Trump, while she lets the guilty parties, AZ, MC and PC go free and untouched; and is she using perjured testimony? **3.)** Did Mayes coordinate this with the WH and DOJ? **4.)** Why the DOJ/FBI, Garland and Wray allowed these absolute stolen elections to occur in AZ with Garland blustering away that he loaded up the DOJ with “voting civil-rights attorneys;” and why FBI agent Kori Lorick was placed in Hobbs’ SOS’s Office while two stolen elections obliterated AZ and the Nation; **5.)** What exactly was **Mark Brnovich** doing? **6.)** Is AZ utterly overwhelmed with massive public corruption that two major Elections were stolen, and Hobbs and Mayes should never have been placed or left in Office? I saw a MC election official get on a witness stand under oath and lie about the 19” – 20” ballot image problem. **Shocking!** Moreover, an Independent AZ Grand Jury must be convened to investigate this out-of-control AZ public corruption. AZ is malfunctioning. Unlawful, you protest? AZ is fully corrupt and unlawful!

Fani Willis has done the same thing in GA: she indicted an innocent man, Trump, who won GA overwhelmingly by **75K – 300K** votes, with an 11,779 “Biden margin.” Is Kris Mayes in collaboration and conspiracy with Willis to do the same?

Kris Mayes, **we demand** you publicly answer **now**: the **AZ Supreme Court**; the **Legislature**; and the **Governor’s Office**: are you going to indict the AZ officials who rigged, manipulated and stole the 2020/2022 Elections? And tell the DOJ, WH and Fani Willis to go pound sand? **Answer us!** The next step is a petition to SCOTUS.

• This letter is neither a threat, nor obstructing an “investigation.” This is an American citizen calling out the **massive 2020 criminal Election corruption** in Arizona, and in the WH, DOJ and FBI. Please note 18 U.S.C. §§ [4](#), [1513\(e\)](#), [1512\(e\)](#).

Respectfully, firmly, truthfully,

/s/ Mark A. Thomas – Summit, NJ

From: [Mark Thomas](#)

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 10:47:46 AM

To: [mark thomas](#)

Subject: Criminal Conduct of AZ Attorney General

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[CIREF-1A.pdf](#) [1G_INDICTMENT-2.pdf](#) [Z_1-County_Outside_Analysis.pdf](#)

<https://www.politico.com/news/2024/03/06/donald-trump-2020-election-probe-arizona-00145377>

To: AZ Attorney General Kris Mayes, Deputy Daniel Barr

Copies To: AZ Supreme Ct; SOS Fontes; Hobbs; Spkr. Toma; Sen. Pres. Peterson; Rep.

Biggs; Attorney General Merrick Garland; Other lawyers

RE: Arizona Donald Trump “2020 Election Investigation”

Priority: Very High

March 12, 2024

11 pages

Introduction

Attorney General Mayes, I give you a very stern warning on the above subject to which you should pay close attention. If you intend to “investigate” President Trump for “overturning the 2020 AZ Election” without investigating and confirming the **massive** ballot and election fraud (by Biden) that occurred in Maricopa (MC), Pima (PC) Counties and elsewhere – **you will end up in serious criminal trouble**. I have studied and analyzed intensely for 3 years the Election, especially **AZ** and **GA: 25K – 50K – 200K+** fraudulent ballots, ballot stuffing, ballot mules, algorithms, and **\$350M+** Zuckerberg money (at least **\$5.2M** to AZ; **\$45.6M** to GA) all involved. Only a **10,457** Biden vote margin. You and Hobbs don’t care about an overturned Election, do you? Only when you can frame and falsely accuse Trump of that in AZ.

Kris Mayes, before you proceed: you, Hobbs, Brnovich, Ducey, Hickman and Bronson have an absolute, indisputable obligation to produce an untainted, independent, **under-oath** certification of:

“All necessary information, documentation, evidence, and proof to clearly and conclusively show that Joe Biden lawfully won the State of Arizona (11 Electoral Votes) 2020 Election without fraud, ballot stuffing, algorithms, human ballot mules, missing, forged data, records or other forms of Election Fraud. The 10,457 Biden vote margin is true and correct.”

In other words, who lawfully, conclusively won the AZ Election? You cannot provide this certification; **it is impossible**, the massive ballot fraud in AZ *against* Trump precludes this. **Trump won AZ**. Thus, your investigation against Trump and others is corrupt and criminal. End your investigation of Trump – or you could likely end up in prison, as others. Go after Ducey, Hobbs, Sellers and Hickman *et al.* You may not persist in this **Fraud-on-the-Court**. [Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky](#), 10 F.3d 338, 348-50 (6th Cir. 1993); rehearing *en banc* denied (Feb. 1994); cert. denied, 513 U.S. 914 (1994). [Herring v. United States](#), 424 F.3d 384, 386-87 (3rd Cir. 2005) Alito on panel.

Elements of Fraud-on-the-Court

- 1.) “Conduct on the part of an officer of the court;
- 2.) Conduct that is directed to judicial machinery itself;
- 3.) Conduct that is intentionally false, willfully blind to the truth, or in reckless disregard for the truth;
- 4.) Conduct that is a positive averment or is a concealment when one is under a duty to disclose;
- 5.) Conduct that deceives the Court.” [Demjanjuk](#) at 348.

You should consider these overwhelming facts *before* you advance this fool’s errand, risk your law license, your Indictment, prison and endeavor to indict Trump under false pretenses:

Criminality of AZ State Officials Stealing the 2020 Election

- To you, as AG, this is a Federal criminal matter because it involves:
 - A massive Conspiracy by **AZ State officials** against the United States, 18 U.S.C. §§[371](#); [372](#); “*We unlawfully took what belongs to you, Pres. Trump, and the Nation – and you can’t stop us; we’re Arizona.*” §[241](#); the Statute of Limitations has not yet begun to run; *see*, [Smith v. United States](#), 568 U.S. 106, 109-114 (2013).
 - An agreement by State and Federal Officials (conspiracy), [Salinas v. United States](#), 522 U.S. 52, 63-65 (1997), to cover up and block an Election Fraud and investigation in AZ, 18 U.S.C. §§[1519](#); [371](#); [3](#)
 - The criminal **obliteration by AZ State Officials** of Art. IV, Section 1, Full Faith and Credit Clause in AZ, Defrauding the United States, by overturning an Election

18 U.S.C. §[371](#). Up to 200K – 300K *fake ballot records*. §4, *A Republican Form of Government*, with no Presidential Election Fraud: **Treason**, 18 U.S.C. §[2381](#).

○ Perjury of one's Oath to support the *U.S. Constitution*, 18 U.S.C. §[1621](#); (4 U.S.C. §[101](#); 5 U.S.C. §[3331](#))

○ Mail and Wire Fraud in the processing, rigging and manipulation of the **2.9M±** AZ absentee ballots and on-going digital voting results, 18 U.S.C. §§ [1341](#), [1342](#), [1343](#), [1349](#)

○ False (corrupt) Certification by AZ State Officials of an State Presidential Election to the January 6, 2021 Congress *Official Proceeding*, 18 U.S.C. §[1512](#) (c)(1)(2)(k); see §[1515](#)

○ Likely false testimony and concealment, under Oath to the J6 Committee **by Rusty Bowers** (and others) that there was no Election fraud, ballot fraud or irregularities in AZ 2020 Election, 18 U.S.C. §§[1001](#), [372](#); Bowers' failure as the chief legislative official to look and ask further to determine if there was decisive, visible fraud against Trump §[241](#), since Trump had won MC and AZ in 2016 by 91K votes. 52 U.S.C. §[20511](#) (2)(A)(B)

○ The critical failure of Brnovich, Fann, Hobbs, (Runbeck), MC and PC to find and provide the missing AZ 100K – 200K ballots' chain of custody tickets proving the massive fraud in MC and PC – against a margin of only 10,457 votes.

Kris Mayes Indictable Criminal Conduct as an LEO

Framing an Innocent Man by Using Lies and Perjured Statements

- Your action is *probable criminal*, Mayes, and a blatant attempt to interfere in the 2024 Presidential Election 18 U.S.C. §§ [372](#), [241](#), [242](#)
- Your joining together and agreeing with others to investigate a “fake crime” against AZ and the United States (by Trump) is a Conspiracy 18 U.S.C. §[371](#), [1621](#)
- You are an Accessory after the fact, Kris Mayes, 18 U.S.C. §[3](#) – at least 5 – 15 years in

Federal prison.

- Who is protecting you? Do you have promised protection from Hobbs? The FBI? DOJ? White House? AZ Judiciary? The State Bar Overseers? Tell us who is protecting you, to engage in this criminality?
- **With whom** have you coordinated this “investigation?” The DOJ? White House? Who are your Masters? Who has put you up to this scheme?
- What have you ever done, Mayes, that makes you integrity-qualified as AG to “investigate a stolen or overturned Election?” Nothing! It is either one or the other. You are a corrupt political hack. It was stolen!

*“It’s robust. It’s a serious matter,” **Mayes, a Democrat, said** of her ongoing investigation. “We’re going to make sure that we do it on our timetable, applying the resources that it requires to make sure that justice is done, for not only Arizonans, but for the entire country.” –[Politio](#) Nov. 10, 2023*

- AZ is a very corrupt State, all three branches: Judiciary, Executive and Legislative – all that corruption will be exposed especially Maricopa and Pima counties if you bring any kind of false Indictment against Trump.
- Many of the corrupt actors who stole and/or covered up the 2020 and 2022 Elections will be exposed and called to the witness stand and interrogated regarding their deceit and dishonesty: you, Hobbs, Ducey, Brnovich, Bowers, Fontes, MC, Hickman, Sellers, Gates, Richer, Jarrett, Lorick, Dul, Liddy, Valenzuela, staff, Runbeck, Fann, the McCain cabal. **And the Feds, too.** A massive conspiracy, where no one has come clean. Stunning!
- Are you condoning and suborning Perjury by these officials? Anyone you give immunity to, will be impeached as to their untruthfulness.
- It is highly likely you and Hobbs hold Office illegally, winning only by 280 votes and 17,000 votes. Both rigged AZ 2022 Elections. And you’re going to investigate Trump, who won clean and clear?

The Evidence of a Stolen Election (attached PDF)

ARIZONA 2020 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION - MARICOPA COUNTY & OUTSIDE *PIMA=OMC					
STATISTICS	USA	Maricopa Cty	OMC	Pima Cty*	AZ Totals
Population-2020	331,449,281	4,438,342	2,713,160	1,061,000	7,151,502
Population-2016	323,740,000	4,258,019	2,650,601	1,017,000	6,908,620
Reg Voters-2020	214,863,264	2,595,272	1,686,029	639,223	4,281,301
Reg Voters-2016	198,598,827	2,161,716	1,426,750	543,509	3,588,466
Biden 2020 Votes	81,282,916	1,040,774	631,369	304,981	1,672,143
Clinton 2016 Votes	65,853,514	702,907	458,260	224,661	1,161,167
Difference (New votes)	15,429,402	337,867	173,109	80,320	510,976
Trump 2020 Votes	74,223,369	995,665	666,021	207,758	1,661,686
Trump 2016 Votes	62,984,828	747,361	505,040	167,428	1,252,401
Difference (New votes)	11,238,541	248,304	160,981	40,330	409,285
B-T 2020 #Diff	7,059,547	45,109	-34,652	97,223	10,457
B-T 2020 % Margin	4.54%	2.22%	-2.67%	18.96%	0.31%
2020 Biden vote %	52.27%	51.11%	48.66%	59.48%	50.16%
2020 Trump vote %	47.73%	48.89%	51.34%	40.52%	49.84%
B-T 2020 Votes	155,506,285	2,036,439	1,297,390	512,739	3,333,829
C-T 2016 Votes	128,838,342	1,450,268	963,300	392,089	2,413,568
C-T 2016 #Diff	2,868,686	-44,454	-46,780	57,233	-91,234
C-T 2016 % Margin	2.23%	-3.07%	-4.86%	14.60%	-3.78%
% Incr. B-T Total Vote	20.70%	40.42%	34.68%	30.77%	38.13%
New B-T 2020 Votes	26,667,943	586,171	334,090	120,650	920,261
As % of Total Vote	17.15%	28.78%	25.75%	23.53%	27.60%
Biden's % on New Votes	57.86%	57.64%	51.82%	66.57%	55.53%
2016 Clinton Vote %	51.11%	48.47%	47.57%	57.30%	48.11%
2016 Trump Vote %	48.89%	51.53%	52.43%	42.70%	51.89%
Biden 16-20 vote increas	23.43%	48.07%	37.78%	35.75%	44.01%
Trump 16-20 vote increa	17.84%	33.22%	31.87%	24.09%	32.68%
				Pima very suspect	
Zuckerberg Money	\$400,000,000±	\$1,840,345	\$3,342,350	\$950,446	\$5,182,695
Zuckerberg paid per vote		\$0.90	\$2.58	\$1.85	\$1.55
Biden min. not-to-lose		46.58%	35.40%	31.00%	43.15%

There is powerful irrefutable evidence that AZ officials and Dem operatives rigged, manipulated and stole the 2020 Election and now Mayes thinks she will corruptly frame Trump for that injustice? Think again Mayes.

- In 2016, Trump won MC, Outside MC, and all AZ by 91K votes or 3.78%. In 2020, Biden, AZ Dems and RINOs were under enormous pressure to cheat. **And they did.** But they could only win by a *paltry* 10,457 votes.
- In 2020, Trump overwhelmed AZ with his voters, a **32.68%** increase – that’s why Biden and the Dems had to cheat – they were swamped with Trump votes. **Statistics prove Biden did not do well in AZ;** he had to cheat.
- On November 3, 2020 at 8:05, 8:06pm, there were (2) Biden-Trump dumps executed of **2,071,548** AZ ballots (Bi. 363K, 798K; Tr. 254K, 655K), where **Biden netted 251.6K votes.** They “claimed” 1.262M ballots were left to count. That was criminal because there

is no place or possibility anywhere in AZ (either MC or PC) where Biden could have achieved that kind of result. As proof, there are 100K – 200K AZ “ballots” with missing chain of custody tickets; so says AG Brnovich and Cyber Ninjas. This appears to be stuffed ballots and digital algorithms applied. I am sure you fully investigated this huge scam, Mayes. Hah!

➤ The AZ SOS, Maricopa and Pima Counties have failed to tell AZ and the Nation where this composite 2.071M ballot dump came from geographically. That is fraud to withhold and conceal that critical information, yet hold it out to be authentic without any valid proof. Where did the remaining 1.262M ballots come from?

➤ Pima County (PC) claimed a 35.75% increase in Biden votes and took a 66.57% share of new votes coming into PC – all unbelievable, unexplainable and certain cheating – indicating at least 25K± excess, fake Biden ballots. All this far above national and BGS averages.

➤ MC claimed a 48.07% (337K) increase in Biden votes in 2020 after having lost MC by nearly 45K votes to Trump in 2016. That increase is unbelievable, unexplained and pure fraud. The highest increase in the Nation in any County; far above national and BGS increases. See Orange, San Diego, Harris and King Counties – not even close. AZ claimed a 44.01% increase in Biden votes – cheating. And if AZ and MC had not “recorded” 43%+ and 46%+ Biden vote increases over 2016, Biden easily loses all Arizona. Biden clearly cheated to win and avoid losing.

➤ In OMC, Biden *had to* claim a 37.78% increase in new votes just to criminally counter Trump’s 31.87% increase. And if Biden gets less than a 35.4% increase in OMC – he would have lost all AZ. All this to cheat!

➤ MC claimed 53,124 “other votes.” All of AZ claimed just 53,497. For MC that represents 2.5% of total votes; 1.2% of population; and 2.1% of registered voters. All those MC “other votes” are far above State, BGS and National averages. There is something extremely suspicious about MC “other votes.” This analysis indicates 15K – 20K excess “other votes” in MC with no plausible explanation for their existence.

- PC provides 14.83% of the AZ population; they supplied 15.38% of the AZ total votes. That is a certain indicator of some 18K excess votes.
- The corruption and criminality in MC and PC is unbridled. A national disgrace. But go ahead, Mayes, and make your outrageous, criminal avowal that, “Trump and Giuliani tried to corruptly overturn an Election, and Rusty Bowers is a trusted public official.”
- AZ claimed 920K new votes coming into all AZ for 2020; Biden claimed 511K, Trump 409K. As a % of the total Election result of 3.334M votes - that represents 27.20%, far over national and Battleground States’ (BGS) norms of 17.15% and 17.91%. Biden’s claim of 511K new votes (30.5% of his 1.672M vote total) is simply not true, as Biden’s national average is 18.98%, (still too high). Biden-AZ new votes is at least 10-11% too high as a component % or composite % of the total votes. Biden deliberately cheated in AZ clearly indicating at least 225K fake ballots – far over the Biden margin of 10,457. That is criminal fraud.
- There is massive cheating and documented excess ballots in AZ and fake Biden ballot increases of 40% that were needed to win. Without these mammoth, unexplainable increases all over AZ, Biden loses AZ!
- There is powerful statistical proof that Biden did not do well in AZ versus nationally....so poorly Biden had to stuff 200K – 300K fake ballots, credibly explaining the 251K net ballots from the 2.071M 11/3/20 dump!

AG Kris Mayes, the Election fraud in AZ is massive, criminal and easily provable. The fact that you and Brnovich did absolutely nothing to expose it, and now you are attempting to indict Trump for “overturning an Election” is a criminal outrage and should put you in prison for the rest of your life. Moreover, the fact you refuse to go after any of the corrupt, dirtbag State Officials, who perpetrated this felony Election swindle on AZ and the Nation, is further atrocity as a lawyer. The Full Faith and Credit of Arizona has been destroyed. No one believes the results or the Biden increases. You, Hobbs, Ducey, Bowers and Lorick are a scandalous disgrace.

On November 4, 2020 President Donald Trump should have been awarded the Presidential

Election and 11 Electoral votes from AZ. **They refused**. We will hold you, Mayes, Biden, Garland, Wray, numerous AZ State officials, actors and operatives criminally responsible for Defrauding the United States and your Oaths, 18 U.S.C. §[371](#), [1621](#). This is a vast swindle to which the Statute of Limitations has not yet begun.

I am requesting you deliver the attached (2) **Criminal Indictment Reports**, prepared by this writer, and **this email to any and all AZ Grand Jury members and prosecutors** on this Trump matter. Thus, they will see first-hand the massive chicanery in which AZ and other Government officials engage. **There is massive proof the 2020 Election was stolen**.

And you, **Supreme Court of AZ** (and CJ Brutinel), you witnessed two stolen AZ Elections in 2020 and 2022 right under your nose. You blocked Kari Lake; unlawfully, wrongly upheld Hobbs; kept **thousands**, of corrupt ballots hidden from forensic, signature review and disposition in both cases. Who trusts AZ Elections? **No one!** What is the purpose and effect of your existence to 7.1 million AZ citizens, when you allow this criminality? Do you think, merely because (many, or all of) you have uncontrollable animus for President Trump, (like Biden, Garland, Smith, Willis and Mayes), that if you bury and trample his constitutional rights – that will solve the problem. See, Canons 1, 2, 3; 18 U.S.C. §§ [372](#), [241](#). This massive, 100% certain stolen Presidential Election in AZ is an **everlasting scandal and blight** on the **AZ Supreme Court**, *et al.* You defrauded the Nation and AZ Full Faith and Credit. You did nothing to correct the stolen Election: read the public results and statistics herein. It is fully clear! No Judges may act this way. **Our Country has been destroyed** by AZ and its Public Officials (and by 5 other States). And according to my intensive review of 2022, the Governor's and AG's Office was highly likely stolen and sabotaged as well – to powerfully block (with these Offices) any investigation or reversal of the stolen 2020 Election. Outrageous! CJ Brutinel, per all the foregoing, why do all these lawyers still have licenses?

ACTION DEMANDED

There needs to be **an immediate investigation** by the **AZ Legislature** of: **1.)** How and why the **AZ Supreme Court** allowed **two** major, stolen elections to occur in Phoenix, Maricopa County right under their noses, without consequence; **2.)** Why AG Kris Mayes is seeking to indict an innocent man,

Trump, while she lets the guilty parties, AZ, MC and PC go free and untouched; and is she using perjured testimony? **3.)** Did Mayes coordinate this with the WH and DOJ? **4.)** Why the DOJ/FBI, Garland and Wray allowed these absolute stolen elections to occur in AZ with Garland blustering away that he loaded up the DOJ with “voting civil-rights attorneys;” and why FBI agent Kori Lorick was placed in Hobbs’ SOS’s Office while two stolen elections obliterated AZ and the Nation; **5.)** What exactly was **Mark Brnovich** doing? **6.)** Is AZ utterly overwhelmed with massive public corruption that two major Elections were stolen, and Hobbs and Mayes should never have been placed or left in Office? I saw a MC election official get on a witness stand under oath and lie about the 19” – 20” ballot image problem. **Shocking!** Moreover, an Independent AZ Grand Jury must be convened to investigate this out-of-control AZ public corruption. AZ is malfunctioning. Unlawful, you protest? AZ is fully corrupt and unlawful!

Fani Willis has done the same thing in GA: she indicted an innocent man, Trump, who won GA overwhelmingly by **75K – 300K** votes, with an 11,779 “Biden margin.” Is Kris Mayes in collaboration and conspiracy with Willis to do the same?

Kris Mayes, **we demand** you publicly answer **now**: the **AZ Supreme Court**; the **Legislature**; and the **Governor’s Office**: are you going to indict the AZ officials who rigged, manipulated and stole the 2020/2022 Elections? And tell the DOJ, WH and Fani Willis to go pound sand? **Answer us!** The next step is a petition to SCOTUS.

• This letter is neither a threat, nor obstructing an “investigation.” This is an American citizen calling out the **massive 2020 criminal Election corruption** in Arizona, and in the WH, DOJ and FBI. Please note 18 U.S.C. §§ [4](#), [1513\(e\)](#), [1512\(e\)](#).

Respectfully, firmly, truthfully,

/s/ *Mark A. Thomas* – Summit, NJ

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2021 9:00:52 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--June 24, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

June 24, 2021

In Focus This Week

NIST releases RIF on accessibility

RIF focuses on 20 specific types of information

By M. Mindy Moretti

electionline

Following the 2020 election, the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) and Rutgers University conducted a [survey of accessibility for voters with disabilities](#).

The study found that the difficulties voting among people with disabilities declined markedly from 2012 to 2020, the last time the survey was conducted. However despite the improvement, one in nine voters with disabilities encountered difficulties voting in 2020 which is double the rate of people without disabilities.

Changes to state election policies have many advocates in the disability community worried that some of those changes may have [negative impacts on voters with disabilities](#) and erase the advances made since 2012.

In response to some of the state-level election policies, in President Joe Biden issued [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

Among other things, the EO tasked the [National Institutes of Standards and Technology](#) (NIST) with

evaluating the steps needed to ensure that the online Federal Voter Registration Form — also called the National Mail Voter Registration Form — is accessible to people with disabilities. It also states that NIST will work with U.S. agencies including the Department of Justice and the EAC to analyze barriers to private and independent voting for people with disabilities, including access to voter registration, voting technology, voting by mail, polling locations and poll worker training.

[Read More](#)

In Their Own Words

Military and overseas civilian voters have lost a great champion and hero.

Former Senator John Warner (R-Virginia) died on May 25 in northern Virginia at the age of 94. President Biden lauded his “principled stances” on important issues facing our nation during his five terms in the US Senate from 1979 until 2009. Former Senator Warner also served as Secretary of the Navy between 1972 and 1974.

In 1988, Senator Warner led the effort to approve the Uniformed and Overseas Civilian Absentee Voter Act P.L.99-4120 (UOCAVA), which was signed into law by President Reagan on August 28 of that year. UOCAVA assures the rights of covered citizens to register to vote and to vote by absentee ballot in all federal elections for the following classes of American citizens:

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What’s the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you’ll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day’s news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you’ll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don’t have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

Ex-Felon Voting Rights: Analysis by the Marshall Project found that [only a small number of thousands of formerly incarcerated people](#) whose voting rights were restored in time for the 2020 election made it back on to the voter rolls in four key states – Nevada, Kentucky, Iowa and New Jersey. According to the analysis, At least [13 states have expanded voting rights](#) for people with felony convictions between 2016 and 2020. As a result, [millions of formerly incarcerated people across the country are now eligible to vote](#). Yet none of the states analyzed registered more than 1 in 4 eligible voters who were formerly incarcerated. That's significantly lower than the registration rate among the general public, where almost 3 in 4 eligible voters registered in each state. According to USA Today, many people working to register newly eligible voters said the low registration numbers for formerly incarcerated people reflect more than apathy and political alienation. Most don't even know they now have the right to vote. None of the states in the analysis required corrections departments or boards of elections to notify newly eligible voters of their rights. The task was left to political organizers, already stretched thin by get-out-the-vote efforts amid a pandemic. To coax the newly enfranchised back onto the voter rolls, they've had to dispel the widely held fear that voting could mean going back to prison.

[Read More](#)

Research and Report Summaries

[Michigan's Senate Oversight Committee](#) released a [report](#) on the state's 2020 elections this week. The report, Report on the November 2020 Election in Michigan, summarizes findings from the committee's investigation into claims of election fraud, impropriety, mismanagement, and error in the elections. The report concludes that "while there are glaring issues that must be addressed in current Michigan election law, election security, and certain procedures, there is no evidence presented at this time to prove either significant acts of fraud or that an organized, wide-scale effort to commit fraudulent activity was perpetrated." The report presents the investigation's findings regarding claims of voting by deceased individuals and non-residents, implausible turnout, results manipulation in Antrim County, as well as alleged irregularities surrounding absentee ballots and applications, signature verification, the functioning of tabulators and precinct computers, and the use of private funds for election administration.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Illinois: Gov. J.B. Pritzker signed into law an [election package](#) that moves next year's March 15 primary to June 28, makes the general election day in November a state holiday and allows voters to permanently cast ballots by mail. In signing the elections bill, Pritzker painted the new law as a sharp contrast to efforts in other states to curtail voting rights. "With attacks on voting rights on the rise in states across the nation, Illinois is proud to stand up for a strong, secure, and accessible democracy," Pritzker said in a statement. The new law builds off election changes imposed during the pandemic. It makes curbside voting permanent and sets up voting centers on Election Day where anyone within the election's jurisdiction can vote, regardless of the precinct of their residence. Election authorities will send out a notice of the availability of vote-by-mail applications as well as a new registry allowing people to permanently vote by mail. People who move or die would be removed from lists based on address data and death certificates. The measure also allows county sheriffs statewide to set up polling places in their jails for people who are awaiting trial and have not been convicted, similar to what Cook County already does. In addition, due to a previous hacking of the Illinois State Board of Elections, the law requires the state's 108 election authorities — primarily county clerks and boards of election — to conduct monthly vulnerability risk scanning.

Vermont: The House of Representatives voted to override Gov. Phil Scott's vetoes of two bills that would [allow noncitizens in Montpelier and Winooski to vote in local elections](#). By a vote of 103 to 47, representatives mustered the two-thirds vote necessary to force the bills into law over the governor's objections. The 30-member Senate is expected to follow suit later this week. The residents of the two cities had already voted overwhelmingly to change their charters to allow noncitizens to vote in local — but not statewide or national — elections. The Vermont legislature must approve all proposed local charter changes, and it did so in these cases. But Scott vetoed both measures earlier this month. "This is the local control that Vermont champions," Rep. Hal Colston (D-Winooski) said. "This is the local democracy that other states covet." In his veto message, Scott argued that a "highly variable town-by-town approach" to local voting effectively creates "separate and unequal classes."

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Indiana: The U.S. Supreme Court [declined to hear an appeal](#) of a lawsuit seeking to require Indiana to offer mail-in voting to all residents. The lawsuit argued Indiana's requirement that absentee voters be at least 65 years old violates the 26th Amendment: "The right of citizens of the United States, who are

eighteen years of age or older, to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of age.” By declining to hear the case, the Supreme Court allows a federal appeals court’s ruling in favor of the state to stand and keeps the absentee voting requirements in place. The appeals court ruled the requirements for mail-in voting do not violate the 26th Amendment because they don’t prevent anyone from exercising their right to vote. The legal battle will be remitted back to the Southern District court where it started.

Tennessee: A split 6th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals panel reinstated a Tennessee law requiring [first-time voters](#) in the state to appear in person to vote. The panel overturned a lower court’s ruling that blocked the restriction on absentee voting ahead of the 2020 general election. Judge Julia Smith Gibbons reasoned in part that the COVID-19 pandemic is “unlikely to pose a serious threat during the next election cycle.” In dissent, Judge Karen Nelson Moore wrote that it’s hard to tell how the pandemic will evolve over months and years. The law requires first-time voters to vote in person or show ID at the local election office to vote by mail.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Election officials, II](#) | [Voting rights, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX](#) | [Democracy, II, III](#) | [Voter ID](#) | [Voting, II](#) | [Ranked choice voting](#) | [Election security](#) | [Voting reform, II](#) | [Election Day holiday](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Ballot Battle: How much do you really know about elections?:](#) Georgia, Arizona, and Texas are among the states passing bills that will restrict local voting laws. These laws will make changes to registration polls, early voting, absentee voting, and voter ID requirements. Michigan, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina are positioned to follow suit — but what does this actually mean for voters? Join Votebeat for Ballot Battle to test your knowledge about how voting and elections work. There will be a quiz, an expert panel, and you might even win a prize! Hosted by Votebeat’s editorial director, Jessica Huseman. Our panelists are Paul Gronke, Professor of Political Science at Reed College and the Director of the Early Voting Information Center, Maurice Turner, the Cybersecurity Fellow at the Alliance for Securing Democracy (ASD) at the German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF), and Michael Miller, Assistant Professor of Political

Science at Barnard College. Please RSVP for this event so we're able to provide streaming information. This virtual event is free to attend, but we do offer the option for a donation to Votebeat to support our nonprofit journalism and events like this. Votebeat is a nonpartisan news organization covering voting access and election integrity year-round. **When:** June 29 from 7 to 7:45 p.m. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Chief Deputy County Clerk](#), Summit County, located in Utah, is seeking candidates with administrative professional experience for Chief Deputy Clerk. The Chief Deputy Clerk performs a variety of professional administrative and supervisory duties related to organizing, directing, and coordinating the various legally required functions of the office of the County Clerk. In the absence of the County Clerk, assumes all statutory authority and responsibility of the department. Works in close relationship with the Clerk. Appointments to this position are politically exempt from protection under county personnel policies and procedures; as such employee works at the will and pleasure of the clerk. May provide close to general supervision to Deputy Clerk(s) and Elections Clerk. We are a drug free workplace conducting pre-employment drug testing. We are an equal opportunity employer and encourage women, minorities, and the disabled to apply. **Salary:** \$75,000. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Chief Deputy Registrar of Voters](#), San Bernardino County— The San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters seeks a dynamic, innovative administrator, who can lead and thrive in a fast-paced environment, to manage our elections programs, processes and team. The Chief Deputy Registrar of Voters is a key member of the Department's senior management team, participating in organizational strategic planning and administering election programs. The position serves as a Chief over a division of the Registrar of Voters (ROV) office and has primary responsibility for assisting the ROV in planning, conducting and certifying all Primary, General, and Special elections. **Deadline:** July 6. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2021 9:01:00 AM

To: [Janine Petty](#)

Subject: electionline Weekly--June 24, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

June 24, 2021

In Focus This Week

NIST releases RIF on accessibility

RIF focuses on 20 specific types of information

By M. Mindy Moretti

electionline

Following the 2020 election, the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) and Rutgers University conducted a [survey of accessibility for voters with disabilities](#).

The study found that the difficulties voting among people with disabilities declined markedly from 2012 to 2020, the last time the survey was conducted. However despite the improvement, one in nine voters with disabilities encountered difficulties voting in 2020 which is double the rate of people without disabilities.

Changes to state election policies have many advocates in the disability community worried that some of those changes may have [negative impacts on voters with disabilities](#) and erase the advances made since 2012.

In response to some of the state-level election policies, in President Joe Biden issued [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

Among other things, the EO tasked the [National Institutes of Standards and Technology](#) (NIST) with

evaluating the steps needed to ensure that the online Federal Voter Registration Form — also called the National Mail Voter Registration Form — is accessible to people with disabilities. It also states that NIST will work with U.S. agencies including the Department of Justice and the EAC to analyze barriers to private and independent voting for people with disabilities, including access to voter registration, voting technology, voting by mail, polling locations and poll worker training.

[Read More](#)

In Their Own Words

Military and overseas civilian voters have lost a great champion and hero.

Former Senator John Warner (R-Virginia) died on May 25 in northern Virginia at the age of 94. President Biden lauded his “principled stances” on important issues facing our nation during his five terms in the US Senate from 1979 until 2009. Former Senator Warner also served as Secretary of the Navy between 1972 and 1974.

In 1988, Senator Warner led the effort to approve the Uniformed and Overseas Civilian Absentee Voter Act P.L.99-4120 (UOCAVA), which was signed into law by President Reagan on August 28 of that year. UOCAVA assures the rights of covered citizens to register to vote and to vote by absentee ballot in all federal elections for the following classes of American citizens:

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What’s the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you’ll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day’s news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you’ll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don’t have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

Ex-Felon Voting Rights: Analysis by the Marshall Project found that [only a small number of thousands of formerly incarcerated people](#) whose voting rights were restored in time for the 2020 election made it back on to the voter rolls in four key states – Nevada, Kentucky, Iowa and New Jersey. According to the analysis, At least [13 states have expanded voting rights](#) for people with felony convictions between 2016 and 2020. As a result, [millions of formerly incarcerated people across the country are now eligible to vote](#). Yet none of the states analyzed registered more than 1 in 4 eligible voters who were formerly incarcerated. That's significantly lower than the registration rate among the general public, where almost 3 in 4 eligible voters registered in each state. According to USA Today, many people working to register newly eligible voters said the low registration numbers for formerly incarcerated people reflect more than apathy and political alienation. Most don't even know they now have the right to vote. None of the states in the analysis required corrections departments or boards of elections to notify newly eligible voters of their rights. The task was left to political organizers, already stretched thin by get-out-the-vote efforts amid a pandemic. To coax the newly enfranchised back onto the voter rolls, they've had to dispel the widely held fear that voting could mean going back to prison.

[Read More](#)

Research and Report Summaries

[Michigan's Senate Oversight Committee](#) released a [report](#) on the state's 2020 elections this week. The report, Report on the November 2020 Election in Michigan, summarizes findings from the committee's investigation into claims of election fraud, impropriety, mismanagement, and error in the elections. The report concludes that "while there are glaring issues that must be addressed in current Michigan election law, election security, and certain procedures, there is no evidence presented at this time to prove either significant acts of fraud or that an organized, wide-scale effort to commit fraudulent activity was perpetrated." The report presents the investigation's findings regarding claims of voting by deceased individuals and non-residents, implausible turnout, results manipulation in Antrim County, as well as alleged irregularities surrounding absentee ballots and applications, signature verification, the functioning of tabulators and precinct computers, and the use of private funds for election administration.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Illinois: Gov. J.B. Pritzker signed into law an [election package](#) that moves next year's March 15 primary to June 28, makes the general election day in November a state holiday and allows voters to permanently cast ballots by mail. In signing the elections bill, Pritzker painted the new law as a sharp contrast to efforts in other states to curtail voting rights. "With attacks on voting rights on the rise in states across the nation, Illinois is proud to stand up for a strong, secure, and accessible democracy," Pritzker said in a statement. The new law builds off election changes imposed during the pandemic. It makes curbside voting permanent and sets up voting centers on Election Day where anyone within the election's jurisdiction can vote, regardless of the precinct of their residence. Election authorities will send out a notice of the availability of vote-by-mail applications as well as a new registry allowing people to permanently vote by mail. People who move or die would be removed from lists based on address data and death certificates. The measure also allows county sheriffs statewide to set up polling places in their jails for people who are awaiting trial and have not been convicted, similar to what Cook County already does. In addition, due to a previous hacking of the Illinois State Board of Elections, the law requires the state's 108 election authorities — primarily county clerks and boards of election — to conduct monthly vulnerability risk scanning.

Vermont: The House of Representatives voted to override Gov. Phil Scott's vetoes of two bills that would [allow noncitizens in Montpelier and Winooski to vote in local elections](#). By a vote of 103 to 47, representatives mustered the two-thirds vote necessary to force the bills into law over the governor's objections. The 30-member Senate is expected to follow suit later this week. The residents of the two cities had already voted overwhelmingly to change their charters to allow noncitizens to vote in local — but not statewide or national — elections. The Vermont legislature must approve all proposed local charter changes, and it did so in these cases. But Scott vetoed both measures earlier this month. "This is the local control that Vermont champions," Rep. Hal Colston (D-Winooski) said. "This is the local democracy that other states covet." In his veto message, Scott argued that a "highly variable town-by-town approach" to local voting effectively creates "separate and unequal classes."

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Indiana: The U.S. Supreme Court [declined to hear an appeal](#) of a lawsuit seeking to require Indiana to offer mail-in voting to all residents. The lawsuit argued Indiana's requirement that absentee voters be at least 65 years old violates the 26th Amendment: "The right of citizens of the United States, who are

eighteen years of age or older, to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of age.” By declining to hear the case, the Supreme Court allows a federal appeals court’s ruling in favor of the state to stand and keeps the absentee voting requirements in place. The appeals court ruled the requirements for mail-in voting do not violate the 26th Amendment because they don’t prevent anyone from exercising their right to vote. The legal battle will be remitted back to the Southern District court where it started.

Tennessee: A split 6th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals panel reinstated a Tennessee law requiring [first-time voters](#) in the state to appear in person to vote. The panel overturned a lower court’s ruling that blocked the restriction on absentee voting ahead of the 2020 general election. Judge Julia Smith Gibbons reasoned in part that the COVID-19 pandemic is “unlikely to pose a serious threat during the next election cycle.” In dissent, Judge Karen Nelson Moore wrote that it’s hard to tell how the pandemic will evolve over months and years. The law requires first-time voters to vote in person or show ID at the local election office to vote by mail.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Election officials, II](#) | [Voting rights, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX](#) | [Democracy, II, III](#) | [Voter ID](#) | [Voting, II](#) | [Ranked choice voting](#) | [Election security](#) | [Voting reform, II](#) | [Election Day holiday](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Ballot Battle: How much do you really know about elections?:](#) Georgia, Arizona, and Texas are among the states passing bills that will restrict local voting laws. These laws will make changes to registration polls, early voting, absentee voting, and voter ID requirements. Michigan, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina are positioned to follow suit — but what does this actually mean for voters? Join Votebeat for Ballot Battle to test your knowledge about how voting and elections work. There will be a quiz, an expert panel, and you might even win a prize! Hosted by Votebeat’s editorial director, Jessica Huseman. Our panelists are Paul Gronke, Professor of Political Science at Reed College and the Director of the Early Voting Information Center, Maurice Turner, the Cybersecurity Fellow at the Alliance for Securing Democracy (ASD) at the German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF), and Michael Miller, Assistant Professor of Political

Science at Barnard College. Please RSVP for this event so we're able to provide streaming information. This virtual event is free to attend, but we do offer the option for a donation to Votebeat to support our nonprofit journalism and events like this. Votebeat is a nonpartisan news organization covering voting access and election integrity year-round. **When:** June 29 from 7 to 7:45 p.m. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Chief Deputy County Clerk](#), Summit County, located in Utah, is seeking candidates with administrative professional experience for Chief Deputy Clerk. The Chief Deputy Clerk performs a variety of professional administrative and supervisory duties related to organizing, directing, and coordinating the various legally required functions of the office of the County Clerk. In the absence of the County Clerk, assumes all statutory authority and responsibility of the department. Works in close relationship with the Clerk. Appointments to this position are politically exempt from protection under county personnel policies and procedures; as such employee works at the will and pleasure of the clerk. May provide close to general supervision to Deputy Clerk(s) and Elections Clerk. We are a drug free workplace conducting pre-employment drug testing. We are an equal opportunity employer and encourage women, minorities, and the disabled to apply. **Salary:** \$75,000. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Chief Deputy Registrar of Voters](#), San Bernardino County— The San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters seeks a dynamic, innovative administrator, who can lead and thrive in a fast-paced environment, to manage our elections programs, processes and team. The Chief Deputy Registrar of Voters is a key member of the Department's senior management team, participating in organizational strategic planning and administering election programs. The position serves as a Chief over a division of the Registrar of Voters (ROV) office and has primary responsibility for assisting the ROV in planning, conducting and certifying all Primary, General, and Special elections. **Deadline:** July 6. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2021 9:00:50 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--June 24, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

June 24, 2021

In Focus This Week

NIST releases RIF on accessibility

RIF focuses on 20 specific types of information

By M. Mindy Moretti

electionline

Following the 2020 election, the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) and Rutgers University conducted a [survey of accessibility for voters with disabilities](#).

The study found that the difficulties voting among people with disabilities declined markedly from 2012 to 2020, the last time the survey was conducted. However despite the improvement, one in nine voters with disabilities encountered difficulties voting in 2020 which is double the rate of people without disabilities.

Changes to state election policies have many advocates in the disability community worried that some of those changes may have [negative impacts on voters with disabilities](#) and erase the advances made since 2012.

In response to some of the state-level election policies, in President Joe Biden issued [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

Among other things, the EO tasked the [National Institutes of Standards and Technology](#) (NIST) with

evaluating the steps needed to ensure that the online Federal Voter Registration Form — also called the National Mail Voter Registration Form — is accessible to people with disabilities. It also states that NIST will work with U.S. agencies including the Department of Justice and the EAC to analyze barriers to private and independent voting for people with disabilities, including access to voter registration, voting technology, voting by mail, polling locations and poll worker training.

[Read More](#)

In Their Own Words

Military and overseas civilian voters have lost a great champion and hero.

Former Senator John Warner (R-Virginia) died on May 25 in northern Virginia at the age of 94. President Biden lauded his “principled stances” on important issues facing our nation during his five terms in the US Senate from 1979 until 2009. Former Senator Warner also served as Secretary of the Navy between 1972 and 1974.

In 1988, Senator Warner led the effort to approve the Uniformed and Overseas Civilian Absentee Voter Act P.L.99-4120 (UOCAVA), which was signed into law by President Reagan on August 28 of that year. UOCAVA assures the rights of covered citizens to register to vote and to vote by absentee ballot in all federal elections for the following classes of American citizens:

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What’s the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you’ll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day’s news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you’ll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don’t have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

Ex-Felon Voting Rights: Analysis by the Marshall Project found that [only a small number of thousands of formerly incarcerated people](#) whose voting rights were restored in time for the 2020 election made it back on to the voter rolls in four key states – Nevada, Kentucky, Iowa and New Jersey. According to the analysis, At least [13 states have expanded voting rights](#) for people with felony convictions between 2016 and 2020. As a result, [millions of formerly incarcerated people across the country are now eligible to vote](#). Yet none of the states analyzed registered more than 1 in 4 eligible voters who were formerly incarcerated. That's significantly lower than the registration rate among the general public, where almost 3 in 4 eligible voters registered in each state. According to USA Today, many people working to register newly eligible voters said the low registration numbers for formerly incarcerated people reflect more than apathy and political alienation. Most don't even know they now have the right to vote. None of the states in the analysis required corrections departments or boards of elections to notify newly eligible voters of their rights. The task was left to political organizers, already stretched thin by get-out-the-vote efforts amid a pandemic. To coax the newly enfranchised back onto the voter rolls, they've had to dispel the widely held fear that voting could mean going back to prison.

[Read More](#)

Research and Report Summaries

[Michigan's Senate Oversight Committee](#) released a [report](#) on the state's 2020 elections this week. The report, Report on the November 2020 Election in Michigan, summarizes findings from the committee's investigation into claims of election fraud, impropriety, mismanagement, and error in the elections. The report concludes that "while there are glaring issues that must be addressed in current Michigan election law, election security, and certain procedures, there is no evidence presented at this time to prove either significant acts of fraud or that an organized, wide-scale effort to commit fraudulent activity was perpetrated." The report presents the investigation's findings regarding claims of voting by deceased individuals and non-residents, implausible turnout, results manipulation in Antrim County, as well as alleged irregularities surrounding absentee ballots and applications, signature verification, the functioning of tabulators and precinct computers, and the use of private funds for election administration.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Illinois: Gov. J.B. Pritzker signed into law an [election package](#) that moves next year's March 15 primary to June 28, makes the general election day in November a state holiday and allows voters to permanently cast ballots by mail. In signing the elections bill, Pritzker painted the new law as a sharp contrast to efforts in other states to curtail voting rights. "With attacks on voting rights on the rise in states across the nation, Illinois is proud to stand up for a strong, secure, and accessible democracy," Pritzker said in a statement. The new law builds off election changes imposed during the pandemic. It makes curbside voting permanent and sets up voting centers on Election Day where anyone within the election's jurisdiction can vote, regardless of the precinct of their residence. Election authorities will send out a notice of the availability of vote-by-mail applications as well as a new registry allowing people to permanently vote by mail. People who move or die would be removed from lists based on address data and death certificates. The measure also allows county sheriffs statewide to set up polling places in their jails for people who are awaiting trial and have not been convicted, similar to what Cook County already does. In addition, due to a previous hacking of the Illinois State Board of Elections, the law requires the state's 108 election authorities — primarily county clerks and boards of election — to conduct monthly vulnerability risk scanning.

Vermont: The House of Representatives voted to override Gov. Phil Scott's vetoes of two bills that would [allow noncitizens in Montpelier and Winooski to vote in local elections](#). By a vote of 103 to 47, representatives mustered the two-thirds vote necessary to force the bills into law over the governor's objections. The 30-member Senate is expected to follow suit later this week. The residents of the two cities had already voted overwhelmingly to change their charters to allow noncitizens to vote in local — but not statewide or national — elections. The Vermont legislature must approve all proposed local charter changes, and it did so in these cases. But Scott vetoed both measures earlier this month. "This is the local control that Vermont champions," Rep. Hal Colston (D-Winooski) said. "This is the local democracy that other states covet." In his veto message, Scott argued that a "highly variable town-by-town approach" to local voting effectively creates "separate and unequal classes."

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Indiana: The U.S. Supreme Court [declined to hear an appeal](#) of a lawsuit seeking to require Indiana to offer mail-in voting to all residents. The lawsuit argued Indiana's requirement that absentee voters be at least 65 years old violates the 26th Amendment: "The right of citizens of the United States, who are

eighteen years of age or older, to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of age.” By declining to hear the case, the Supreme Court allows a federal appeals court’s ruling in favor of the state to stand and keeps the absentee voting requirements in place. The appeals court ruled the requirements for mail-in voting do not violate the 26th Amendment because they don’t prevent anyone from exercising their right to vote. The legal battle will be remitted back to the Southern District court where it started.

Tennessee: A split 6th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals panel reinstated a Tennessee law requiring [first-time voters](#) in the state to appear in person to vote. The panel overturned a lower court’s ruling that blocked the restriction on absentee voting ahead of the 2020 general election. Judge Julia Smith Gibbons reasoned in part that the COVID-19 pandemic is “unlikely to pose a serious threat during the next election cycle.” In dissent, Judge Karen Nelson Moore wrote that it’s hard to tell how the pandemic will evolve over months and years. The law requires first-time voters to vote in person or show ID at the local election office to vote by mail.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Election officials, II](#) | [Voting rights, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX](#) | [Democracy, II, III](#) | [Voter ID](#) | [Voting, II](#) | [Ranked choice voting](#) | [Election security](#) | [Voting reform, II](#) | [Election Day holiday](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Ballot Battle: How much do you really know about elections?:](#) Georgia, Arizona, and Texas are among the states passing bills that will restrict local voting laws. These laws will make changes to registration polls, early voting, absentee voting, and voter ID requirements. Michigan, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina are positioned to follow suit — but what does this actually mean for voters? Join Votebeat for Ballot Battle to test your knowledge about how voting and elections work. There will be a quiz, an expert panel, and you might even win a prize! Hosted by Votebeat’s editorial director, Jessica Huseman. Our panelists are Paul Gronke, Professor of Political Science at Reed College and the Director of the Early Voting Information Center, Maurice Turner, the Cybersecurity Fellow at the Alliance for Securing Democracy (ASD) at the German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF), and Michael Miller, Assistant Professor of Political

Science at Barnard College. Please RSVP for this event so we're able to provide streaming information. This virtual event is free to attend, but we do offer the option for a donation to Votebeat to support our nonprofit journalism and events like this. Votebeat is a nonpartisan news organization covering voting access and election integrity year-round. **When:** June 29 from 7 to 7:45 p.m. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Chief Deputy County Clerk](#), Summit County, located in Utah, is seeking candidates with administrative professional experience for Chief Deputy Clerk. The Chief Deputy Clerk performs a variety of professional administrative and supervisory duties related to organizing, directing, and coordinating the various legally required functions of the office of the County Clerk. In the absence of the County Clerk, assumes all statutory authority and responsibility of the department. Works in close relationship with the Clerk. Appointments to this position are politically exempt from protection under county personnel policies and procedures; as such employee works at the will and pleasure of the clerk. May provide close to general supervision to Deputy Clerk(s) and Elections Clerk. We are a drug free workplace conducting pre-employment drug testing. We are an equal opportunity employer and encourage women, minorities, and the disabled to apply. **Salary:** \$75,000. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Chief Deputy Registrar of Voters](#), San Bernardino County— The San Bernardino County Registrar of Voters seeks a dynamic, innovative administrator, who can lead and thrive in a fast-paced environment, to manage our elections programs, processes and team. The Chief Deputy Registrar of Voters is a key member of the Department's senior management team, participating in organizational strategic planning and administering election programs. The position serves as a Chief over a division of the Registrar of Voters (ROV) office and has primary responsibility for assisting the ROV in planning, conducting and certifying all Primary, General, and Special elections. **Deadline:** July 6. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2022 9:00:31 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--March 24, 2022

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

March 24, 2022

In Focus This Week

Learning by Listening

How Qualitative Approaches Helps Research Understand Local Election Administration

By [Paul Gronke](#)

Professor of Political Science, Reed College

Director, Elections & Voting Information Center

Welcome to this second article in this three-part series from the [Elections & Voting Information Center \(EVIC\) at Reed College](#) highlighting developments in election science and the individuals conducting this critical work helps us to understand, improve, and advance the work of local election officials (LEOs).

Researchers [Grace Gordon](#), policy analyst with the [Elections Project at the Bipartisan Policy Center \(BPC\)](#), and [Paul Manson](#), visiting assistant professor of political science at Reed College and research director at EVIC, are the subjects of this week's article which recaps our recent chat about how they got involved in elections work, policy lessons from their recent research, and the value of "qualitative data" (non-numerical information drawn from first-hand observation, personal interviews, focus groups, and text responses from surveys) for helping us learn more about the people who conduct elections in the United States.

[Read More](#)

Daily News and Weekly Temporary Schedule Changes

OK, we're going to give this a try once more...

From March 25-April 5, the Daily News will continue to post every weekday, but times may (will) vary due to international travel. The Weekly will post on March 31, but it may post later than normal.

Thanks for your patience. It's been 885 days since electionline has been posted from an undisclosed foreign location let's hope this never happens again.

[Read More](#)

NIST Voting Accessibility Publication

NIST finalizes recommendations on removing barriers for voters with disabilities

Response to White House EO, provides insights to improve accessibility for voters.

To help improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has finalized its publication outlining barriers that limit the ability of people with disabilities to vote privately and independently — as well as recommending approaches for addressing them.

The publication, titled [Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities \(NIST Special Publication 1273\)](#), constitutes NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#). NIST developed the report following an initial [request for information](#) and a subsequent request for comments on the [draft version](#), both of which drew many responses from the public.

“We received 387 relevant comments from 64 different respondents to the draft,” said Kerriane Buchanan, a NIST social scientist and one of the report's authors. “It was a diverse group ranging from disability advocacy groups to voting technology vendors. We also heard from about 20 individuals who shared their experiences with the voting process.”

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

Congressional Probe: The House Oversight Committee has [launched an investigation into the partisan "audit" in Otero County, New Mexico](#) of the 2020 election results. The committee issued a letter to the head of EchoMail, one of the contractors involved in Arizona's partisan ballot review, requesting the private company produce documents and information regarding its forensic "audit" of by the end of March. The committee said it is looking into potential intimidation by volunteers from a conspiracist group who are going door to door canvassing voters in Otero County and asking intrusive questions. The canvass is already underway, according to lawmakers, and more than 60 county residents have contacted state and local officials expressing concerns about interactions with the canvassers. The committee's letter was penned to EchoMail founder V.A. Shiva Ayyadurai, who has previously participated in advancing conspiracy theories about the 2020 election as well as his own loss in a Massachusetts state Senate race. The House Oversight Committee said EchoMail has until March 31 to respond to its letter and hand over the documents requested in the New Mexico probe. "The Committee is investigating whether your company's audit and canvass in New Mexico illegally interferes with Americans' right to vote by spreading disinformation about elections and intimidating voters," the letter said.

[Read More](#)

Election Security Updates

News from CISA: In partnership with the FBI, CISA released the [Security Resources for the Election](#)

[Infrastructure Subsector](#): “Everyday election security officials face far-ranging risks – from protecting their networks and systems from bad actors to maintaining physical security of election facilities and workers, and debunking false information. As part of our mission to enhance the security and resilience of election infrastructure, CISA offers services, guidance, and support to election security officials across the country. The fact sheet released today by CISA and our partners at the Federal Bureau of Investigation is an interagency catalog of physical security guidance documents, tools, services, and other resources to help election officials secure their physical safety particularly focusing on tools to help them protect their offices and staff. It’s one of many resources we make available to election security officials and can be found at [CISA.gov/election-security](https://www.cisa.gov/election-security).” For this product and additional election resources, visit: [CISA.gov/election-security-library](https://www.cisa.gov/election-security-library)

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Hawaii: The Hawaii Senate Committee on Judiciary amended a bill that would have required state elections officials to [compile a voter’s guide](#) that could cost up to \$2.5 million. [House Bill 124](#) would have required the guide to be published for this year’s elections. The committee moved the bill’s effective date to Jan. 1, 2023, in time for the 2024 elections. The guide would consist of 150-word statements from candidates running for statewide or federal offices. The candidate statements would be translated into languages covered by the Voting Rights Act, according to written testimony from Scott Nago, the state’s chief election officer. The committee agreed to add a Hawaiian translation. The legislation allows the state elections office to distribute the guide by “whatever means is practical.” Publishing the guide, translating it and mailing it to voters would cost more than \$2.5 million for both the primary and general election, according to Nago’s testimony. The cost for publishing the guide online would be more than \$119,000 for both elections. The committee removed the references to appropriations from the bill since its effective date would not be until 2023.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Arkansas: Pulaski County Circuit Judge Wendell Griffen [struck down four new voting restrictions](#) passed by Republican lawmakers, finding the measures unconstitutional. Griffen issued a permanent injunction against the new voting laws at the end of a four-day trial in a challenge brought by the League of Women

Voters of Arkansas, Arkansas United and five voters over the restrictions. The measures struck down include a change to the state's voter ID law that removes the option for someone to sign an affidavit affirming their identity if they don't present a photo identification at the polls. The other measures would prevent anyone other than voters from being within 100 feet of a polling place, require an absentee voter's signature on a ballot to match the signature on their voter registration application, and move up the deadline for voters to return absentee ballots in person. Griffen, who ruled from the bench, said he planned to issue a more detailed order later. Attorney General Leslie Rutledge said the [state will appeal](#).

[Read More](#)

Tech Thursday

CISA on Social Media: CISA has launched a new MDM social media series, Optical Illusions, to raise public awareness of MDM and more specifically, on malinformation. Malinformation is based on fact, but used out of context to mislead, harm, or manipulate. Through a series of images and animations, this engaging communications campaign, will help the audience learn how to build long-term resilience to MDM. "CISA strives to develop innovative methods – from graphic novels to Rumor Control and now optical illusions – to help Americans recognize and avoid mis-, dis-, and malinformation (MDM) operations targeting our democracy. Online information consumption can be understood through illusions: often there is more than meets the eye. Through a series of images and animations, the optical illusions series will help Americans identify and combat MDM," said National Risk Management Center Acting Assistant Director Mona Harrington Check it out at [@CISAgov](#) and retweet to spread awareness on the actions we can all take to reduce the risks of MDM.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Turnout](#) | [Standardized elections](#) | [Election officials](#) | [Democracy](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Social Media's Free Speech Problem](#): The problem of misinformation on social media has ballooned over the last few years, especially in relation to elections. The result has been further polarization of our already divided country. There has been a boisterous debate about the de-platforming of former president Donald Trump, but how else are social media companies able to combat those deliberately spreading false information? How do we control this false speech while protecting the First Amendment — and our democracy? Join us for a live discussion with one of the country's leading experts on election law, Richard L. Hasen, author of the upcoming book [Cheap Speech: How Disinformation Poisons Our Politics — and How to Cure It](#), for a look into how social media companies can solve this problem without shutting down the essential free flow of ideas and opinions. When: March 24, 6pm. Where: Online

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Director of Elections](#), Cumberland County, North Carolina— The Elections Director works under the administrative direction of the County Board of Elections and Executive Director of the State Board of Election. The Elections Director performs professional, managerial, and administrative work for the Board of Elections and carries out all duties or responsibilities as assigned by Chapter 163 of the General Statutes of the State of North Carolina and as delegated by members of the County Board in accordance with the laws of the State of North Carolina, GS 163-35 (d) and 163-33. Reports to the Chairman of the Cumberland County Board of Elections. **Salary:** \$78,784.40 – \$132,425.23. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2022 9:00:32 AM

To: [Janine Petty](#)

Subject: electionline Weekly--March 24, 2022

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

March 24, 2022

In Focus This Week

Learning by Listening

How Qualitative Approaches Helps Research Understand Local Election Administration

By [Paul Gronke](#)

Professor of Political Science, Reed College

Director, Elections & Voting Information Center

Welcome to this second article in this three-part series from the [Elections & Voting Information Center \(EVIC\) at Reed College](#) highlighting developments in election science and the individuals conducting this critical work helps us to understand, improve, and advance the work of local election officials (LEOs).

Researchers [Grace Gordon](#), policy analyst with the [Elections Project at the Bipartisan Policy Center \(BPC\)](#), and [Paul Manson](#), visiting assistant professor of political science at Reed College and research director at EVIC, are the subjects of this week's article which recaps our recent chat about how they got involved in elections work, policy lessons from their recent research, and the value of "qualitative data" (non-numerical information drawn from first-hand observation, personal interviews, focus groups, and text responses from surveys) for helping us learn more about the people who conduct elections in the United States.

[Read More](#)

Daily News and Weekly Temporary Schedule Changes

OK, we're going to give this a try once more...

From March 25-April 5, the Daily News will continue to post every weekday, but times may (will) vary due to international travel. The Weekly will post on March 31, but it may post later than normal.

Thanks for your patience. It's been 885 days since electionline has been posted from an undisclosed foreign location let's hope this never happens again.

[Read More](#)

NIST Voting Accessibility Publication

NIST finalizes recommendations on removing barriers for voters with disabilities

Response to White House EO, provides insights to improve accessibility for voters.

To help improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has finalized its publication outlining barriers that limit the ability of people with disabilities to vote privately and independently — as well as recommending approaches for addressing them.

The publication, titled [Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities \(NIST Special Publication 1273\)](#), constitutes NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#). NIST developed the report following an initial [request for information](#) and a subsequent request for comments on the [draft version](#), both of which drew many responses from the public.

“We received 387 relevant comments from 64 different respondents to the draft,” said Kerriane Buchanan, a NIST social scientist and one of the report's authors. “It was a diverse group ranging from disability advocacy groups to voting technology vendors. We also heard from about 20 individuals who shared their experiences with the voting process.”

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

Congressional Probe: The House Oversight Committee has [launched an investigation into the partisan "audit" in Otero County, New Mexico](#) of the 2020 election results. The committee issued a letter to the head of EchoMail, one of the contractors involved in Arizona's partisan ballot review, requesting the private company produce documents and information regarding its forensic "audit" of by the end of March. The committee said it is looking into potential intimidation by volunteers from a conspiracist group who are going door to door canvassing voters in Otero County and asking intrusive questions. The canvass is already underway, according to lawmakers, and more than 60 county residents have contacted state and local officials expressing concerns about interactions with the canvassers. The committee's letter was penned to EchoMail founder V.A. Shiva Ayyadurai, who has previously participated in advancing conspiracy theories about the 2020 election as well as his own loss in a Massachusetts state Senate race. The House Oversight Committee said EchoMail has until March 31 to respond to its letter and hand over the documents requested in the New Mexico probe. "The Committee is investigating whether your company's audit and canvass in New Mexico illegally interferes with Americans' right to vote by spreading disinformation about elections and intimidating voters," the letter said.

[Read More](#)

Election Security Updates

News from CISA: In partnership with the FBI, CISA released the [Security Resources for the Election](#)

[Infrastructure Subsector](#): “Everyday election security officials face far-ranging risks – from protecting their networks and systems from bad actors to maintaining physical security of election facilities and workers, and debunking false information. As part of our mission to enhance the security and resilience of election infrastructure, CISA offers services, guidance, and support to election security officials across the country. The fact sheet released today by CISA and our partners at the Federal Bureau of Investigation is an interagency catalog of physical security guidance documents, tools, services, and other resources to help election officials secure their physical safety particularly focusing on tools to help them protect their offices and staff. It’s one of many resources we make available to election security officials and can be found at [CISA.gov/election-security](https://www.cisa.gov/election-security).” For this product and additional election resources, visit: [CISA.gov/election-security-library](https://www.cisa.gov/election-security-library)

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Hawaii: The Hawaii Senate Committee on Judiciary amended a bill that would have required state elections officials to [compile a voter’s guide](#) that could cost up to \$2.5 million. [House Bill 124](#) would have required the guide to be published for this year’s elections. The committee moved the bill’s effective date to Jan. 1, 2023, in time for the 2024 elections. The guide would consist of 150-word statements from candidates running for statewide or federal offices. The candidate statements would be translated into languages covered by the Voting Rights Act, according to written testimony from Scott Nago, the state’s chief election officer. The committee agreed to add a Hawaiian translation. The legislation allows the state elections office to distribute the guide by “whatever means is practical.” Publishing the guide, translating it and mailing it to voters would cost more than \$2.5 million for both the primary and general election, according to Nago’s testimony. The cost for publishing the guide online would be more than \$119,000 for both elections. The committee removed the references to appropriations from the bill since its effective date would not be until 2023.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Arkansas: Pulaski County Circuit Judge Wendell Griffen [struck down four new voting restrictions](#) passed by Republican lawmakers, finding the measures unconstitutional. Griffen issued a permanent injunction against the new voting laws at the end of a four-day trial in a challenge brought by the League of Women

Voters of Arkansas, Arkansas United and five voters over the restrictions. The measures struck down include a change to the state's voter ID law that removes the option for someone to sign an affidavit affirming their identity if they don't present a photo identification at the polls. The other measures would prevent anyone other than voters from being within 100 feet of a polling place, require an absentee voter's signature on a ballot to match the signature on their voter registration application, and move up the deadline for voters to return absentee ballots in person. Griffen, who ruled from the bench, said he planned to issue a more detailed order later. Attorney General Leslie Rutledge said the [state will appeal](#).

[Read More](#)

Tech Thursday

CISA on Social Media: CISA has launched a new MDM social media series, Optical Illusions, to raise public awareness of MDM and more specifically, on malinformation. Malinformation is based on fact, but used out of context to mislead, harm, or manipulate. Through a series of images and animations, this engaging communications campaign, will help the audience learn how to build long-term resilience to MDM. "CISA strives to develop innovative methods – from graphic novels to Rumor Control and now optical illusions – to help Americans recognize and avoid mis-, dis-, and malinformation (MDM) operations targeting our democracy. Online information consumption can be understood through illusions: often there is more than meets the eye. Through a series of images and animations, the optical illusions series will help Americans identify and combat MDM," said National Risk Management Center Acting Assistant Director Mona Harrington Check it out at [@CISAgov](#) and retweet to spread awareness on the actions we can all take to reduce the risks of MDM.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Turnout](#) | [Standardized elections](#) | [Election officials](#) | [Democracy](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Social Media's Free Speech Problem](#): The problem of misinformation on social media has ballooned over the last few years, especially in relation to elections. The result has been further polarization of our already divided country. There has been a boisterous debate about the de-platforming of former president Donald Trump, but how else are social media companies able to combat those deliberately spreading false information? How do we control this false speech while protecting the First Amendment — and our democracy? Join us for a live discussion with one of the country's leading experts on election law, Richard L. Hasen, author of the upcoming book [Cheap Speech: How Disinformation Poisons Our Politics — and How to Cure It](#), for a look into how social media companies can solve this problem without shutting down the essential free flow of ideas and opinions. When: March 24, 6pm. Where: Online

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Director of Elections](#), Cumberland County, North Carolina— The Elections Director works under the administrative direction of the County Board of Elections and Executive Director of the State Board of Election. The Elections Director performs professional, managerial, and administrative work for the Board of Elections and carries out all duties or responsibilities as assigned by Chapter 163 of the General Statutes of the State of North Carolina and as delegated by members of the County Board in accordance with the laws of the State of North Carolina, GS 163-35 (d) and 163-33. Reports to the Chairman of the Cumberland County Board of Elections. **Salary:** \$78,784.40 – \$132,425.23. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2022 9:00:31 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--March 24, 2022

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

March 24, 2022

In Focus This Week

Learning by Listening

How Qualitative Approaches Helps Research Understand Local Election Administration

By [Paul Gronke](#)

Professor of Political Science, Reed College

Director, Elections & Voting Information Center

Welcome to this second article in this three-part series from the [Elections & Voting Information Center \(EVIC\) at Reed College](#) highlighting developments in election science and the individuals conducting this critical work helps us to understand, improve, and advance the work of local election officials (LEOs).

Researchers [Grace Gordon](#), policy analyst with the [Elections Project at the Bipartisan Policy Center \(BPC\)](#), and [Paul Manson](#), visiting assistant professor of political science at Reed College and research director at EVIC, are the subjects of this week's article which recaps our recent chat about how they got involved in elections work, policy lessons from their recent research, and the value of "qualitative data" (non-numerical information drawn from first-hand observation, personal interviews, focus groups, and text responses from surveys) for helping us learn more about the people who conduct elections in the United States.

[Read More](#)

Daily News and Weekly Temporary Schedule Changes

OK, we're going to give this a try once more...

From March 25-April 5, the Daily News will continue to post every weekday, but times may (will) vary due to international travel. The Weekly will post on March 31, but it may post later than normal.

Thanks for your patience. It's been 885 days since electionline has been posted from an undisclosed foreign location let's hope this never happens again.

[Read More](#)

NIST Voting Accessibility Publication

NIST finalizes recommendations on removing barriers for voters with disabilities

Response to White House EO, provides insights to improve accessibility for voters.

To help improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has finalized its publication outlining barriers that limit the ability of people with disabilities to vote privately and independently — as well as recommending approaches for addressing them.

The publication, titled [Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities \(NIST Special Publication 1273\)](#), constitutes NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#). NIST developed the report following an initial [request for information](#) and a subsequent request for comments on the [draft version](#), both of which drew many responses from the public.

"We received 387 relevant comments from 64 different respondents to the draft," said Kerriane Buchanan, a NIST social scientist and one of the report's authors. "It was a diverse group ranging from disability advocacy groups to voting technology vendors. We also heard from about 20 individuals who shared their experiences with the voting process."

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

Congressional Probe: The House Oversight Committee has [launched an investigation into the partisan "audit" in Otero County, New Mexico](#) of the 2020 election results. The committee issued a letter to the head of EchoMail, one of the contractors involved in Arizona's partisan ballot review, requesting the private company produce documents and information regarding its forensic "audit" of by the end of March. The committee said it is looking into potential intimidation by volunteers from a conspiracist group who are going door to door canvassing voters in Otero County and asking intrusive questions. The canvass is already underway, according to lawmakers, and more than 60 county residents have contacted state and local officials expressing concerns about interactions with the canvassers. The committee's letter was penned to EchoMail founder V.A. Shiva Ayyadurai, who has previously participated in advancing conspiracy theories about the 2020 election as well as his own loss in a Massachusetts state Senate race. The House Oversight Committee said EchoMail has until March 31 to respond to its letter and hand over the documents requested in the New Mexico probe. "The Committee is investigating whether your company's audit and canvass in New Mexico illegally interferes with Americans' right to vote by spreading disinformation about elections and intimidating voters," the letter said.

[Read More](#)

Election Security Updates

News from CISA: In partnership with the FBI, CISA released the [Security Resources for the Election](#)

[Infrastructure Subsector](#): “Everyday election security officials face far-ranging risks – from protecting their networks and systems from bad actors to maintaining physical security of election facilities and workers, and debunking false information. As part of our mission to enhance the security and resilience of election infrastructure, CISA offers services, guidance, and support to election security officials across the country. The fact sheet released today by CISA and our partners at the Federal Bureau of Investigation is an interagency catalog of physical security guidance documents, tools, services, and other resources to help election officials secure their physical safety particularly focusing on tools to help them protect their offices and staff. It’s one of many resources we make available to election security officials and can be found at [CISA.gov/election-security](https://www.cisa.gov/election-security).” For this product and additional election resources, visit: [CISA.gov/election-security-library](https://www.cisa.gov/election-security-library)

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Hawaii: The Hawaii Senate Committee on Judiciary amended a bill that would have required state elections officials to [compile a voter’s guide](#) that could cost up to \$2.5 million. [House Bill 124](#) would have required the guide to be published for this year’s elections. The committee moved the bill’s effective date to Jan. 1, 2023, in time for the 2024 elections. The guide would consist of 150-word statements from candidates running for statewide or federal offices. The candidate statements would be translated into languages covered by the Voting Rights Act, according to written testimony from Scott Nago, the state’s chief election officer. The committee agreed to add a Hawaiian translation. The legislation allows the state elections office to distribute the guide by “whatever means is practical.” Publishing the guide, translating it and mailing it to voters would cost more than \$2.5 million for both the primary and general election, according to Nago’s testimony. The cost for publishing the guide online would be more than \$119,000 for both elections. The committee removed the references to appropriations from the bill since its effective date would not be until 2023.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Arkansas: Pulaski County Circuit Judge Wendell Griffen [struck down four new voting restrictions](#) passed by Republican lawmakers, finding the measures unconstitutional. Griffen issued a permanent injunction against the new voting laws at the end of a four-day trial in a challenge brought by the League of Women

Voters of Arkansas, Arkansas United and five voters over the restrictions. The measures struck down include a change to the state's voter ID law that removes the option for someone to sign an affidavit affirming their identity if they don't present a photo identification at the polls. The other measures would prevent anyone other than voters from being within 100 feet of a polling place, require an absentee voter's signature on a ballot to match the signature on their voter registration application, and move up the deadline for voters to return absentee ballots in person. Griffen, who ruled from the bench, said he planned to issue a more detailed order later. Attorney General Leslie Rutledge said the [state will appeal](#).

[Read More](#)

Tech Thursday

CISA on Social Media: CISA has launched a new MDM social media series, Optical Illusions, to raise public awareness of MDM and more specifically, on malinformation. Malinformation is based on fact, but used out of context to mislead, harm, or manipulate. Through a series of images and animations, this engaging communications campaign, will help the audience learn how to build long-term resilience to MDM. "CISA strives to develop innovative methods – from graphic novels to Rumor Control and now optical illusions – to help Americans recognize and avoid mis-, dis-, and malinformation (MDM) operations targeting our democracy. Online information consumption can be understood through illusions: often there is more than meets the eye. Through a series of images and animations, the optical illusions series will help Americans identify and combat MDM," said National Risk Management Center Acting Assistant Director Mona Harrington Check it out at [@CISAgov](#) and retweet to spread awareness on the actions we can all take to reduce the risks of MDM.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Turnout](#) | [Standardized elections](#) | [Election officials](#) | [Democracy](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Social Media's Free Speech Problem](#): The problem of misinformation on social media has ballooned over the last few years, especially in relation to elections. The result has been further polarization of our already divided country. There has been a boisterous debate about the de-platforming of former president Donald Trump, but how else are social media companies able to combat those deliberately spreading false information? How do we control this false speech while protecting the First Amendment — and our democracy? Join us for a live discussion with one of the country's leading experts on election law, Richard L. Hasen, author of the upcoming book [Cheap Speech: How Disinformation Poisons Our Politics — and How to Cure It](#), for a look into how social media companies can solve this problem without shutting down the essential free flow of ideas and opinions. When: March 24, 6pm. Where: Online

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Director of Elections](#), Cumberland County, North Carolina— The Elections Director works under the administrative direction of the County Board of Elections and Executive Director of the State Board of Election. The Elections Director performs professional, managerial, and administrative work for the Board of Elections and carries out all duties or responsibilities as assigned by Chapter 163 of the General Statutes of the State of North Carolina and as delegated by members of the County Board in accordance with the laws of the State of North Carolina, GS 163-35 (d) and 163-33. Reports to the Chairman of the Cumberland County Board of Elections. **Salary:** \$78,784.40 – \$132,425.23. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 9:00:18 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 4, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 4, 2021

In Focus This Week

Election Officials Made Democracy Happen in 2020

A new report from the Center for Tech and Civic Life

By [Keegan Hughes](#), senior impact & learning manager

[Center for Tech and Civic Life](#)

It's been one year since the November 2020 elections, which also means it's been one year since the Center for Tech and Civic Life's [COVID-19 Response Grant program](#). You may recall the grant program — it's the one that distributed approximately \$350 million to [nearly 2,500 jurisdictions](#) across 49 states, in order to provide emergency funding during a pandemic.

CTCL wasn't a grantmaking organization before 2020, so we had to learn fast. Luckily, the grant process was straightforward: we awarded funding to every local election office that applied and was verified as legitimate, and they could spend the money on election-related costs that helped them administer the 2020 elections in a safe and secure way.

Awarding grants to 2,500 jurisdictions means we heard stories from 2,500 perspectives. Stories about what grantees were most proud of accomplishing with the funding, what 2020 would have looked like without it, and what grantees could accomplish if their election budgets permanently doubled. The stories were sometimes hopeful, sometimes heartbreaking, and always wildly passionate about serving voters

and keeping everyone safe.

Here at CTCL, we believe that these stories belong to the people who shared them. Each individual provided a tiny glimpse into their world, but collectively their stories burst with common themes, goals, challenges, frustrations, hopes, and dreams. We brainstormed ways to “close the loop” between the individual stories and the collective story of the grant program. We decided to curate the stories, memorialize them in [a designed report](#), and mail a physical copy to every grantee.

[Read More](#)

Election Day 2021

Few snags for Election Day 2021

Voters and officials test out new policies and procedures

By M. Mindy Moretti
Electionline.org

Voters in 30 states [headed to the polls](#) on Tuesday and faced a variety of new laws, new equipment and greater scrutiny fomented by 2020. What they found, was a fairly typical Election Day. Of course there were some technical issues—especially in New Jersey where new equipment was being used for the first time—but there were [no major issues](#) and so far (although it’s still early), losing candidates and their supporters seem to be accepting the fact that they lost.

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government’s effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

There's an App for That: This week, the West Virginia secretary of state's office launched a new election security campaign. "[See Something, Text Something](#)," leverages the technology of common communication devices to quickly and securely report allegations of wrongdoing directly into the WVSOS Investigations Division. Warner said the text to report technology makes it easier than ever to report possible violations. Warner sees a double benefit of this program: on one hand the easy-to-use text to report technology will encourage people to report possible election fraud, while on the other, the accessibility and speed of the ability to report will deter offenders from engaging in errant behavior. Possible violations of election law can be confidentially reported from any common texting device in three easy steps: Text WV to 45995; Click on the incoming text link; and Submit the Confidential Complaint. With investigators situated around the state, the immediate transfer of information will allow them to get to work quickly. Once submitted, the complaint is received immediately by the WVSOS Investigations Division for action with a notice of receipt upon submission. Complaints made to the WV Secretary of State's Office are kept confidential. By state law, employees of the WVSOS Office are not permitted to

discuss any election investigation or complaint. “In West Virginia, we’re continuing our effort in making it easy to vote and hard to cheat,” said Secretary Warner. “The general public plays a vital role in helping my office and our county clerks keep elections fair and secure.”

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Federal Legislation: Republicans on Wednesday blocked the Senate from starting debate on a [voting rights bill](#) named after the late Rep. John Lewis (D-Ga.), marking the latest setback for Democrats in their push for new elections legislation. Senators voted 50-49 on whether to bring up the bill, falling short of the 60 votes needed to move forward. Vice President Harris presided over part of the vote. Senate Majority Leader Charles Schumer (D-N.Y.) voted no, a procedural step that lets him bring the bill back up in the future for another vote. Unlike this year’s previous failed election reform votes, which were on bills that stretched well beyond bolstering the Voting Rights Act, Democrats picked up a GOP supporter: Sen. Lisa Murkowski (Alaska). All Senate Democrats except Manchin introduced the bill in October to update the 1965 Voting Rights Act (VRA) to strengthen sections that were gutted by the Supreme Court’s 2013 *Shelby County v. Holder* decision, which focused on Section 5 of the VRA and its required Justice Department preclearance before some states could change voting laws, and this year’s *Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee* decision, which advocates believe weakened Section 2, which is focused on racially targeted voting policies. The revised bill that Republicans blocked on Wednesday includes changes such as what factors courts can consider when determining if Section 2 of the VRA has been violated. The bill also drops a requirement for localities with growing minority populations to get preclearance for changes on offering food or drinks to people waiting in line to vote. The change has been included under the earlier version of the bill’s new requirement for “practice-based” preclearance.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Federal Lawsuits: Voting technology vendor [Smartmatic is suing conservative news outlets](#) One American News Network (OAN) and Newsmax for alleged slander and libel about its voting systems. The case is in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. “The first time it happened could be a mistake,” the new suit says of claims of election fraud. “The second, third, fourth and fiftieth times it happened were intentional choices.” The suit says OANN had “every opportunity” to do the right thing: “It

could have reported the truth. Instead, OANN chose to do the wrong thing every time. It reported a lie.” Before the 2020 election, Smartmatic’s business was valued in excess of \$3 billion based on a modest multiplier, the suit says. “Now ... Smartmatic’s business is valued at less than \$1 billion. The general and widespread publication and distribution of OANN’s defamatory statements about Smartmatic were a substantial cause of a portion of this business valuation decline.” Smartmatic had previously [filed a \\$2.7 billion lawsuit](#) against Fox News, Fox News hosts, as well as attorneys Rudy Giuliani and Sidney Powell, accusing them of harming the company’s brand with their accusations of the voting machines’ role in alleged widespread election fraud.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [2020 election](#) | [Voter registration](#) | [Voting rights](#) | [Democracy reforms, II](#) | [Accessibility](#) | [Federal election legislation](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Disinformation in American Elections Part III](#): This three-part online lunch series hosted by the Fair Elections and Free Speech Center at UCI Law explores the risk of disinformation in American elections, spread through social media and otherwise, and how to counter it. This session, Part III of the series, features a conversation among leading social scientists studying disinformation in American elections and our evolving understanding of how disinformation spreads and may be limited. Speakers include: Joan Donovan (Harvard), Brendan Nyhan (Dartmouth) and Renee DiResta (Stanford). The event will be moderated by former NPR correspondent Pam Fessler. **When:** November 10; 3:15pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Elections Manager](#), Clackamas County, Oregon— Our Election Manager must manage and supervise elections flawlessly and with transparency, honoring and counting every vote. The incumbent will plan, organize and manage all general, primary, and special elections held in the county and ensure elections procedures and records comply with statutory requirements. Additionally, the position has supervision over four technical and clerical support personnel and, during elections, up to 100 temporary employees. The Elections Manager is responsible for managing the technical and administrative activities of the Elections Division, including voter registration, candidate filing, ballot preparation, voting, vote tallying, jurisdictional mapping, reporting, and other requirements related to conducting special, primary, and general elections in compliance with federal, state, and local statutes, regulations, and rules. Competitive applicants will be highly motivated, detail-oriented, and have well-developed management and supervisory skills. A demonstrated ability to maintain an environment of high integrity and dependability is critical in the role. Equity, diversity, and inclusion are at the core of everything we do. Clackamas County is committed to building a workforce that reflects the community we serve. In that spirit, we encourage applicants of diverse backgrounds and experiences to apply. **Salary:** \$ 78,088.26 – \$ 105,420.58. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 9:00:27 AM

To: [Janine Petty](#)

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 4, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 4, 2021

In Focus This Week

Election Officials Made Democracy Happen in 2020

A new report from the Center for Tech and Civic Life

By [Keegan Hughes](#), senior impact & learning manager

[Center for Tech and Civic Life](#)

It's been one year since the November 2020 elections, which also means it's been one year since the Center for Tech and Civic Life's [COVID-19 Response Grant program](#). You may recall the grant program — it's the one that distributed approximately \$350 million to [nearly 2,500 jurisdictions](#) across 49 states, in order to provide emergency funding during a pandemic.

CTCL wasn't a grantmaking organization before 2020, so we had to learn fast. Luckily, the grant process was straightforward: we awarded funding to every local election office that applied and was verified as legitimate, and they could spend the money on election-related costs that helped them administer the 2020 elections in a safe and secure way.

Awarding grants to 2,500 jurisdictions means we heard stories from 2,500 perspectives. Stories about what grantees were most proud of accomplishing with the funding, what 2020 would have looked like without it, and what grantees could accomplish if their election budgets permanently doubled. The stories were sometimes hopeful, sometimes heartbreaking, and always wildly passionate about serving voters

and keeping everyone safe.

Here at CTCL, we believe that these stories belong to the people who shared them. Each individual provided a tiny glimpse into their world, but collectively their stories burst with common themes, goals, challenges, frustrations, hopes, and dreams. We brainstormed ways to “close the loop” between the individual stories and the collective story of the grant program. We decided to curate the stories, memorialize them in [a designed report](#), and mail a physical copy to every grantee.

[Read More](#)

Election Day 2021

Few snags for Election Day 2021

Voters and officials test out new policies and procedures

By M. Mindy Moretti
Electionline.org

Voters in 30 states [headed to the polls](#) on Tuesday and faced a variety of new laws, new equipment and greater scrutiny fomented by 2020. What they found, was a fairly typical Election Day. Of course there were some technical issues—especially in New Jersey where new equipment was being used for the first time—but there were [no major issues](#) and so far (although it’s still early), losing candidates and their supporters seem to be accepting the fact that they lost.

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government’s effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

There's an App for That: This week, the West Virginia secretary of state's office launched a new election security campaign. "[See Something, Text Something](#)," leverages the technology of common communication devices to quickly and securely report allegations of wrongdoing directly into the WVSOS Investigations Division. Warner said the text to report technology makes it easier than ever to report possible violations. Warner sees a double benefit of this program: on one hand the easy-to-use text to report technology will encourage people to report possible election fraud, while on the other, the accessibility and speed of the ability to report will deter offenders from engaging in errant behavior. Possible violations of election law can be confidentially reported from any common texting device in three easy steps: Text WV to 45995; Click on the incoming text link; and Submit the Confidential Complaint. With investigators situated around the state, the immediate transfer of information will allow them to get to work quickly. Once submitted, the complaint is received immediately by the WVSOS Investigations Division for action with a notice of receipt upon submission. Complaints made to the WV Secretary of State's Office are kept confidential. By state law, employees of the WVSOS Office are not permitted to

discuss any election investigation or complaint. “In West Virginia, we’re continuing our effort in making it easy to vote and hard to cheat,” said Secretary Warner. “The general public plays a vital role in helping my office and our county clerks keep elections fair and secure.”

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Federal Legislation: Republicans on Wednesday blocked the Senate from starting debate on a [voting rights bill](#) named after the late Rep. John Lewis (D-Ga.), marking the latest setback for Democrats in their push for new elections legislation. Senators voted 50-49 on whether to bring up the bill, falling short of the 60 votes needed to move forward. Vice President Harris presided over part of the vote. Senate Majority Leader Charles Schumer (D-N.Y.) voted no, a procedural step that lets him bring the bill back up in the future for another vote. Unlike this year’s previous failed election reform votes, which were on bills that stretched well beyond bolstering the Voting Rights Act, Democrats picked up a GOP supporter: Sen. Lisa Murkowski (Alaska). All Senate Democrats except Manchin introduced the bill in October to update the 1965 Voting Rights Act (VRA) to strengthen sections that were gutted by the Supreme Court’s 2013 *Shelby County v. Holder* decision, which focused on Section 5 of the VRA and its required Justice Department preclearance before some states could change voting laws, and this year’s *Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee* decision, which advocates believe weakened Section 2, which is focused on racially targeted voting policies. The revised bill that Republicans blocked on Wednesday includes changes such as what factors courts can consider when determining if Section 2 of the VRA has been violated. The bill also drops a requirement for localities with growing minority populations to get preclearance for changes on offering food or drinks to people waiting in line to vote. The change has been included under the earlier version of the bill’s new requirement for “practice-based” preclearance.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Federal Lawsuits: Voting technology vendor [Smartmatic is suing conservative news outlets](#) One American News Network (OAN) and Newsmax for alleged slander and libel about its voting systems. The case is in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. “The first time it happened could be a mistake,” the new suit says of claims of election fraud. “The second, third, fourth and fiftieth times it happened were intentional choices.” The suit says OANN had “every opportunity” to do the right thing: “It

could have reported the truth. Instead, OANN chose to do the wrong thing every time. It reported a lie.” Before the 2020 election, Smartmatic’s business was valued in excess of \$3 billion based on a modest multiplier, the suit says. “Now ... Smartmatic’s business is valued at less than \$1 billion. The general and widespread publication and distribution of OANN’s defamatory statements about Smartmatic were a substantial cause of a portion of this business valuation decline.” Smartmatic had previously [filed a \\$2.7 billion lawsuit](#) against Fox News, Fox News hosts, as well as attorneys Rudy Giuliani and Sidney Powell, accusing them of harming the company’s brand with their accusations of the voting machines’ role in alleged widespread election fraud.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [2020 election](#) | [Voter registration](#) | [Voting rights](#) | [Democracy reforms, II](#) | [Accessibility](#) | [Federal election legislation](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Disinformation in American Elections Part III](#): This three-part online lunch series hosted by the Fair Elections and Free Speech Center at UCI Law explores the risk of disinformation in American elections, spread through social media and otherwise, and how to counter it. This session, Part III of the series, features a conversation among leading social scientists studying disinformation in American elections and our evolving understanding of how disinformation spreads and may be limited. Speakers include: Joan Donovan (Harvard), Brendan Nyhan (Dartmouth) and Renee DiResta (Stanford). The event will be moderated by former NPR correspondent Pam Fessler. **When:** November 10; 3:15pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Elections Manager](#), Clackamas County, Oregon— Our Election Manager must manage and supervise elections flawlessly and with transparency, honoring and counting every vote. The incumbent will plan, organize and manage all general, primary, and special elections held in the county and ensure elections procedures and records comply with statutory requirements. Additionally, the position has supervision over four technical and clerical support personnel and, during elections, up to 100 temporary employees. The Elections Manager is responsible for managing the technical and administrative activities of the Elections Division, including voter registration, candidate filing, ballot preparation, voting, vote tallying, jurisdictional mapping, reporting, and other requirements related to conducting special, primary, and general elections in compliance with federal, state, and local statutes, regulations, and rules. Competitive applicants will be highly motivated, detail-oriented, and have well-developed management and supervisory skills. A demonstrated ability to maintain an environment of high integrity and dependability is critical in the role. Equity, diversity, and inclusion are at the core of everything we do. Clackamas County is committed to building a workforce that reflects the community we serve. In that spirit, we encourage applicants of diverse backgrounds and experiences to apply. **Salary:** \$ 78,088.26 – \$ 105,420.58. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 9:00:32 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 4, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 4, 2021

In Focus This Week

Election Officials Made Democracy Happen in 2020

A new report from the Center for Tech and Civic Life

By [Keegan Hughes](#), senior impact & learning manager

[Center for Tech and Civic Life](#)

It's been one year since the November 2020 elections, which also means it's been one year since the Center for Tech and Civic Life's [COVID-19 Response Grant program](#). You may recall the grant program — it's the one that distributed approximately \$350 million to [nearly 2,500 jurisdictions](#) across 49 states, in order to provide emergency funding during a pandemic.

CTCL wasn't a grantmaking organization before 2020, so we had to learn fast. Luckily, the grant process was straightforward: we awarded funding to every local election office that applied and was verified as legitimate, and they could spend the money on election-related costs that helped them administer the 2020 elections in a safe and secure way.

Awarding grants to 2,500 jurisdictions means we heard stories from 2,500 perspectives. Stories about what grantees were most proud of accomplishing with the funding, what 2020 would have looked like without it, and what grantees could accomplish if their election budgets permanently doubled. The stories were sometimes hopeful, sometimes heartbreaking, and always wildly passionate about serving voters

and keeping everyone safe.

Here at CTCL, we believe that these stories belong to the people who shared them. Each individual provided a tiny glimpse into their world, but collectively their stories burst with common themes, goals, challenges, frustrations, hopes, and dreams. We brainstormed ways to “close the loop” between the individual stories and the collective story of the grant program. We decided to curate the stories, memorialize them in [a designed report](#), and mail a physical copy to every grantee.

[Read More](#)

Election Day 2021

Few snags for Election Day 2021

Voters and officials test out new policies and procedures

By M. Mindy Moretti
Electionline.org

Voters in 30 states [headed to the polls](#) on Tuesday and faced a variety of new laws, new equipment and greater scrutiny fomented by 2020. What they found, was a fairly typical Election Day. Of course there were some technical issues—especially in New Jersey where new equipment was being used for the first time—but there were [no major issues](#) and so far (although it’s still early), losing candidates and their supporters seem to be accepting the fact that they lost.

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government’s effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

There's an App for That: This week, the West Virginia secretary of state's office launched a new election security campaign. "[See Something, Text Something](#)," leverages the technology of common communication devices to quickly and securely report allegations of wrongdoing directly into the WVSOS Investigations Division. Warner said the text to report technology makes it easier than ever to report possible violations. Warner sees a double benefit of this program: on one hand the easy-to-use text to report technology will encourage people to report possible election fraud, while on the other, the accessibility and speed of the ability to report will deter offenders from engaging in errant behavior. Possible violations of election law can be confidentially reported from any common texting device in three easy steps: Text WV to 45995; Click on the incoming text link; and Submit the Confidential Complaint. With investigators situated around the state, the immediate transfer of information will allow them to get to work quickly. Once submitted, the complaint is received immediately by the WVSOS Investigations Division for action with a notice of receipt upon submission. Complaints made to the WV Secretary of State's Office are kept confidential. By state law, employees of the WVSOS Office are not permitted to

discuss any election investigation or complaint. “In West Virginia, we’re continuing our effort in making it easy to vote and hard to cheat,” said Secretary Warner. “The general public plays a vital role in helping my office and our county clerks keep elections fair and secure.”

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Federal Legislation: Republicans on Wednesday blocked the Senate from starting debate on a [voting rights bill](#) named after the late Rep. John Lewis (D-Ga.), marking the latest setback for Democrats in their push for new elections legislation. Senators voted 50-49 on whether to bring up the bill, falling short of the 60 votes needed to move forward. Vice President Harris presided over part of the vote. Senate Majority Leader Charles Schumer (D-N.Y.) voted no, a procedural step that lets him bring the bill back up in the future for another vote. Unlike this year’s previous failed election reform votes, which were on bills that stretched well beyond bolstering the Voting Rights Act, Democrats picked up a GOP supporter: Sen. Lisa Murkowski (Alaska). All Senate Democrats except Manchin introduced the bill in October to update the 1965 Voting Rights Act (VRA) to strengthen sections that were gutted by the Supreme Court’s 2013 *Shelby County v. Holder* decision, which focused on Section 5 of the VRA and its required Justice Department preclearance before some states could change voting laws, and this year’s *Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee* decision, which advocates believe weakened Section 2, which is focused on racially targeted voting policies. The revised bill that Republicans blocked on Wednesday includes changes such as what factors courts can consider when determining if Section 2 of the VRA has been violated. The bill also drops a requirement for localities with growing minority populations to get preclearance for changes on offering food or drinks to people waiting in line to vote. The change has been included under the earlier version of the bill’s new requirement for “practice-based” preclearance.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Federal Lawsuits: Voting technology vendor [Smartmatic is suing conservative news outlets](#) One American News Network (OAN) and Newsmax for alleged slander and libel about its voting systems. The case is in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. “The first time it happened could be a mistake,” the new suit says of claims of election fraud. “The second, third, fourth and fiftieth times it happened were intentional choices.” The suit says OANN had “every opportunity” to do the right thing: “It

could have reported the truth. Instead, OANN chose to do the wrong thing every time. It reported a lie.” Before the 2020 election, Smartmatic’s business was valued in excess of \$3 billion based on a modest multiplier, the suit says. “Now ... Smartmatic’s business is valued at less than \$1 billion. The general and widespread publication and distribution of OANN’s defamatory statements about Smartmatic were a substantial cause of a portion of this business valuation decline.” Smartmatic had previously [filed a \\$2.7 billion lawsuit](#) against Fox News, Fox News hosts, as well as attorneys Rudy Giuliani and Sidney Powell, accusing them of harming the company’s brand with their accusations of the voting machines’ role in alleged widespread election fraud.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [2020 election](#) | [Voter registration](#) | [Voting rights](#) | [Democracy reforms, II](#) | [Accessibility](#) | [Federal election legislation](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Disinformation in American Elections Part III](#): This three-part online lunch series hosted by the Fair Elections and Free Speech Center at UCI Law explores the risk of disinformation in American elections, spread through social media and otherwise, and how to counter it. This session, Part III of the series, features a conversation among leading social scientists studying disinformation in American elections and our evolving understanding of how disinformation spreads and may be limited. Speakers include: Joan Donovan (Harvard), Brendan Nyhan (Dartmouth) and Renee DiResta (Stanford). The event will be moderated by former NPR correspondent Pam Fessler. **When:** November 10; 3:15pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Elections Manager](#), Clackamas County, Oregon— Our Election Manager must manage and supervise elections flawlessly and with transparency, honoring and counting every vote. The incumbent will plan, organize and manage all general, primary, and special elections held in the county and ensure elections procedures and records comply with statutory requirements. Additionally, the position has supervision over four technical and clerical support personnel and, during elections, up to 100 temporary employees. The Elections Manager is responsible for managing the technical and administrative activities of the Elections Division, including voter registration, candidate filing, ballot preparation, voting, vote tallying, jurisdictional mapping, reporting, and other requirements related to conducting special, primary, and general elections in compliance with federal, state, and local statutes, regulations, and rules. Competitive applicants will be highly motivated, detail-oriented, and have well-developed management and supervisory skills. A demonstrated ability to maintain an environment of high integrity and dependability is critical in the role. Equity, diversity, and inclusion are at the core of everything we do. Clackamas County is committed to building a workforce that reflects the community we serve. In that spirit, we encourage applicants of diverse backgrounds and experiences to apply. **Salary:** \$ 78,088.26 – \$ 105,420.58. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 10:00:52 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 11, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 11, 2021

In Focus This Week

Military & Overseas Citizen Voting Rates Remained Steady in 2020

State of Military Voter and State of Overseas Voter Updated

An estimated 47% of active duty military and 8% of U.S. citizens abroad voted in 2020, compared to 46% and 8% in 2016 respectively.

These findings and more are part of the recent update of the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) [State of the Military Voter \(SOTMV\)](#) and [State of the Overseas Voter \(SOTOV\)](#) data, examining post-election research from the 2020 General Election.

UOCAVA voters face unique challenges compared to local voters. FVAP again found the ability to receive and submit an absentee ballot on time can be an obstacle, made more complex in 2020 by the COVID-19 pandemic.

"Our research shows the pandemic most notably affected UOCAVA voters' ability to receive direct voting assistance and use international mail," FVAP director David Beirne said. "We worked closely with partners at the Military Postal Service Agency, the U.S. Postal Service, and the State Department to monitor the situation as it evolved and to share the latest guidance to help make voting for military and overseas citizens as smooth as possible."

[Read More](#)

Bipartisan Principles For Election Audits

Bipartisan Principles for Election Audits

Rachel Orey, Christopher Thomas, Matthew Weil

The Bipartisan Policy Center

The function of audits is not to appease a losing candidate. Rather, audits provide a continual means of verifying the integrity of U.S. elections. Election officials have been conducting audits in a bipartisan manner with broad institutional trust for decades. “Audits” meeting certain basic, bipartisan standards are the primary means of increasing confidence in an election’s legitimacy.

When expanded audits are considered in tandem with the nearly universal use of paper ballots and bolstered cybersecurity, 2020 was the [most secure election in U.S. history](#). Even still, this year an increasing number of states have considered additional extralegal, unofficial election reviews examining the presidential election.

Politics and potential motives aside, the rise of unofficial election reviews prompts a need for a reckoning—begging the questions: What is the purpose of audits? How should audits be conducted? What makes an audit deserving of public trust?

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What’s the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you’ll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day’s news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you’ll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don’t have to!

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government's effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

New Secretary of State: Washington Gov. Jay Inslee has appointed Democratic state Sen. [Steve Hobbs](#) as the state's 16th secretary of state, marking the first time a Democrat will hold the office since the mid-1960s. He will replace Republican Secretary of State Kim Wyman, who will leave office Nov. 19. "Steve has demonstrated through his career as a senator the ability to act independently, to not to be swayed by any party," Inslee said in a video statement. Wyman echoed those statements, saying in a written statement that Hobbs "has a demonstrated record of seeking bipartisan solutions to complex problems, which is essential to the position of secretary of state." "It is imperative the secretary of state—the state's chief elections official—serve as a neutral arbiter in order to inspire confidence across the political spectrum in our election processes and results," Wyman wrote. Hobbs, 51, is considered a centrist in his caucus, which holds a 28-21 majority in the Senate.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

District of Columbia: Councilmember Charles Allen (D-Ward 6) introduced the [Elections Modernization Amendment Act of 2021](#), a bill he says is intended to make voting in D.C. accessible to more residents. The legislation, co-sponsored by six of Allen's colleagues, would give every registered voter access to mail-in voting, distribute more ballot drop boxes citywide, bring back the vote centers used during the 2020 election cycle, and make Election Day a holiday for D.C. public schools. The bill would also create a new election data portal on the D.C. Board of Elections' website and require the board to provide more support to Advisory Neighborhood Commissioners who are currently incarcerated (as one currently is, representing the residents of the D.C. Jail), among other measures. The Elections Modernization Amendment Act will be assigned to a committee and receive a hearing at a date to be announced. "There are times when necessity is the mother of invention, and that's exactly what we saw when a pandemic and a general election collided," Allen [wrote in a statement](#). "D.C. held a safe, secure, and accessible election by making it easier to vote and safe to vote from home. These are common sense and popular changes we need to make a permanent part of our elections moving forward."

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Texas: Disabled, elderly and non-English speaking voters risk disenfranchisement under Texas' new voting law passed by the GOP-controlled Legislature earlier this year, [the U.S. Department of Justice claims in a lawsuit](#) filed challenging the legislation known as Senate Bill 1. The suit, filed in San Antonio federal court, argues that both provisions "will curtail fundamental voting rights without advancing any legitimate state interest." "These vulnerable voters already confront barriers to the ballot box, and SB 1 will exacerbate the challenges they face in exercising their fundamental right to vote," the suit argues, saying that the law would negatively impact voters with disabilities, elderly voters, members of the military who are deployed, voters with limited English proficiency and voters residing outside of the country. "There is a history of discrimination against voters with disabilities in Texas," the lawsuit claims, noting estimates that 28% of Texans have conditions impairing their mobility, cognition or vision. The suit also takes aim at SB 1's new rules for mail-in voting. Texas traditionally has placed more limits on mail-in voting than other

states. The legitimacy of mail-in ballots was largely determined by comparing signatures on applications and ballots.

[Read More](#)

Tech Thursday

[Runbeck Election Services](#) announced it has purchased two W+D 410 Easy Envelopers to bring all of the company's vote-by-mail services within their production facility. With the addition of this new envelope converting machinery, Runbeck will be able to oversee each step in the process of producing vote-by-mail packets for their customers. "Envelopes are a critical part of the vote-by-mail process, whether we are mailing a ballot to a voter, or a voter is mailing their completed ballot for tabulation. We are pleased to be able to bring this final piece of the process under our control for the first time in our company's history," said Bryan Dandurand, Vice President of Operations at Runbeck. Runbeck expects the new W+D 410's to be fully installed by the end of 2021 and ready for the 2022 midterm election cycle.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Misinformation](#) | [Voting rights, II, III](#) | [Election sabotage](#) | [The Big Lie, II, III](#) | [Election security](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Foxes and Henhouses: Restoring Oversight and Accountability A Year After the 2020 Election](#): As we approach the one-year anniversary of the 2020 election, advocates and lawmakers are still debating how best to protect our democratic institutions and promote accountability for executive branch transgressions. Some are particularly concerned about the role that the Department of Justice and its Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) played in undermining congressional oversight during the Trump years, when it issued opinions that arguably distorted the separation of powers by brooking no recognition for Congress's

prerogatives as a co-equal branch. Others are focused on the need to protect and strengthen the roles of Inspectors General after former President Trump fired four IGs in the span of six weeks in what some called a “dangerous pattern of retaliation” against federal watchdogs. Recognizing that transparency and oversight is key to democratic survival, what are the best ways to achieve accountability for executive branch transgressions? What role should the Office of Legal Counsel play in reigning in executive branch illegality? And what reforms to the Inspector General system are needed so that these watchdogs can provide the independent nonpartisan oversight they are legislatively required to deliver? Moderated by Josh Gerstein, senior legal affairs reporter with Politico and featuring welcoming remarks from former Sen. Russ Feingold. **When:** Nov. 18, 2pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Director of Elections & Voter Services](#) (Assistant City Clerk), Minneapolis, Minnesota— We’re a division of the Office of the City Clerk. We administer local, state, and federal elections for Minneapolis voters. Our estimated population is 430,000 with about 270,000 registered voters. We champion civic participation for all Minneapolis citizens through accurate, fair, and accessible elections. We strive to be leaders in election administration through innovation and collaboration. As the largest and most vibrant city in the state, Minneapolis depends on purposeful, dedicated and innovative employees. Minneapolis has a large variety of careers for people of all experiences and backgrounds who come together for a singular purpose—serving the residents, businesses and visitors of Minneapolis. The Assistant City Clerk is responsible for the direction of a business line in the City Clerk’s Office, including acting as the Responsible Authority and Data Compliance Official, Chief Elections Official, or Chief Legislative Officer. Responsibilities includes: Direction of a business line, including developing strategic goals with the City Clerk Council/Council Leadership; and developing, implementing, and maintaining programs to implement those goals; Manage multiple competing projects of varying complexity across the department and enterprise; Manage the daily operations of the department, including budget, financial, personnel issues, departmental business plans, involvement in Council office matters, and various special projects; Develop, implement, and manage initiatives that improve the service delivery to City administration, and the public; Develop strategic goals with the City Clerk; and develop, implement, and maintain programs to implement those goals; Collaborate with the City Clerk on the preparation of annual budgets, budget presentations, emergency operations and continuity of operations plans; Oversee the preparation of reports, research efforts, planning documents and presentations to elected officials, stakeholder groups and the public; Supervise divisional staff, delegating, coaching, and developing the staff’s professional expertise, and fostering an atmosphere that values the rewards responsiveness, innovation, thoroughness and professionalism; Establish and maintain effective organizational and public relations through the development and implementation of outreach and engagement plans, including hosting events and

presenting to the public; Partner and provide professional consultation to City leadership, departments, and other partners, internal and external to the City enterprise; Research, and respond to Mayor and Council Member inquiries and issues independently, including complex requests from policy-makers, the media, and the public involving significant amounts of data or sensitive data; Manage multiple competing projects of varying complexity across the department and enterprise; Oversee and ensure the implementation of program goals and objectives; Work with the City Clerk regarding support, discipline, and discharge of staff from the department, and when handling controversial or politically sensitive issues; Provide leadership of department-wide initiatives and City-wide initiatives, and oversight of financial decisions for the City Clerk's Office; Establish and enforce administrative policies, procedures, and standards for the Office; and Act as the City Clerk as assigned. **Salary:** \$112,139.04 – \$132,934.88.

Deadline: December 5. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 10:00:48 AM

To: [Janine Petty](#)

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 11, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 11, 2021

In Focus This Week

Military & Overseas Citizen Voting Rates Remained Steady in 2020

State of Military Voter and State of Overseas Voter Updated

An estimated 47% of active duty military and 8% of U.S. citizens abroad voted in 2020, compared to 46% and 8% in 2016 respectively.

These findings and more are part of the recent update of the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) [State of the Military Voter \(SOTMV\)](#) and [State of the Overseas Voter \(SOTOV\)](#) data, examining post-election research from the 2020 General Election.

UOCAVA voters face unique challenges compared to local voters. FVAP again found the ability to receive and submit an absentee ballot on time can be an obstacle, made more complex in 2020 by the COVID-19 pandemic.

"Our research shows the pandemic most notably affected UOCAVA voters' ability to receive direct voting assistance and use international mail," FVAP director David Beirne said. "We worked closely with partners at the Military Postal Service Agency, the U.S. Postal Service, and the State Department to monitor the situation as it evolved and to share the latest guidance to help make voting for military and overseas citizens as smooth as possible."

[Read More](#)

Bipartisan Principles For Election Audits

Bipartisan Principles for Election Audits

Rachel Orey, Christopher Thomas, Matthew Weil

The Bipartisan Policy Center

The function of audits is not to appease a losing candidate. Rather, audits provide a continual means of verifying the integrity of U.S. elections. Election officials have been conducting audits in a bipartisan manner with broad institutional trust for decades. “Audits” meeting certain basic, bipartisan standards are the primary means of increasing confidence in an election’s legitimacy.

When expanded audits are considered in tandem with the nearly universal use of paper ballots and bolstered cybersecurity, 2020 was the [most secure election in U.S. history](#). Even still, this year an increasing number of states have considered additional extralegal, unofficial election reviews examining the presidential election.

Politics and potential motives aside, the rise of unofficial election reviews prompts a need for a reckoning—begging the questions: What is the purpose of audits? How should audits be conducted? What makes an audit deserving of public trust?

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What’s the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you’ll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day’s news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you’ll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don’t have to!

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government's effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

New Secretary of State: Washington Gov. Jay Inslee has appointed Democratic state Sen. [Steve Hobbs](#) as the state's 16th secretary of state, marking the first time a Democrat will hold the office since the mid-1960s. He will replace Republican Secretary of State Kim Wyman, who will leave office Nov. 19. "Steve has demonstrated through his career as a senator the ability to act independently, to not to be swayed by any party," Inslee said in a video statement. Wyman echoed those statements, saying in a written statement that Hobbs "has a demonstrated record of seeking bipartisan solutions to complex problems, which is essential to the position of secretary of state." "It is imperative the secretary of state—the state's chief elections official—serve as a neutral arbiter in order to inspire confidence across the political spectrum in our election processes and results," Wyman wrote. Hobbs, 51, is considered a centrist in his caucus, which holds a 28-21 majority in the Senate.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

District of Columbia: Councilmember Charles Allen (D-Ward 6) introduced the [Elections Modernization Amendment Act of 2021](#), a bill he says is intended to make voting in D.C. accessible to more residents. The legislation, co-sponsored by six of Allen's colleagues, would give every registered voter access to mail-in voting, distribute more ballot drop boxes citywide, bring back the vote centers used during the 2020 election cycle, and make Election Day a holiday for D.C. public schools. The bill would also create a new election data portal on the D.C. Board of Elections' website and require the board to provide more support to Advisory Neighborhood Commissioners who are currently incarcerated (as one currently is, representing the residents of the D.C. Jail), among other measures. The Elections Modernization Amendment Act will be assigned to a committee and receive a hearing at a date to be announced. "There are times when necessity is the mother of invention, and that's exactly what we saw when a pandemic and a general election collided," Allen [wrote in a statement](#). "D.C. held a safe, secure, and accessible election by making it easier to vote and safe to vote from home. These are common sense and popular changes we need to make a permanent part of our elections moving forward."

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Texas: Disabled, elderly and non-English speaking voters risk disenfranchisement under Texas' new voting law passed by the GOP-controlled Legislature earlier this year, [the U.S. Department of Justice claims in a lawsuit](#) filed challenging the legislation known as Senate Bill 1. The suit, filed in San Antonio federal court, argues that both provisions "will curtail fundamental voting rights without advancing any legitimate state interest." "These vulnerable voters already confront barriers to the ballot box, and SB 1 will exacerbate the challenges they face in exercising their fundamental right to vote," the suit argues, saying that the law would negatively impact voters with disabilities, elderly voters, members of the military who are deployed, voters with limited English proficiency and voters residing outside of the country. "There is a history of discrimination against voters with disabilities in Texas," the lawsuit claims, noting estimates that 28% of Texans have conditions impairing their mobility, cognition or vision. The suit also takes aim at SB 1's new rules for mail-in voting. Texas traditionally has placed more limits on mail-in voting than other

states. The legitimacy of mail-in ballots was largely determined by comparing signatures on applications and ballots.

[Read More](#)

Tech Thursday

[Runbeck Election Services](#) announced it has purchased two W+D 410 Easy Envelopers to bring all of the company's vote-by-mail services within their production facility. With the addition of this new envelope converting machinery, Runbeck will be able to oversee each step in the process of producing vote-by-mail packets for their customers. "Envelopes are a critical part of the vote-by-mail process, whether we are mailing a ballot to a voter, or a voter is mailing their completed ballot for tabulation. We are pleased to be able to bring this final piece of the process under our control for the first time in our company's history," said Bryan Dandurand, Vice President of Operations at Runbeck. Runbeck expects the new W+D 410's to be fully installed by the end of 2021 and ready for the 2022 midterm election cycle.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Misinformation](#) | [Voting rights, II, III](#) | [Election sabotage](#) | [The Big Lie, II, III](#) | [Election security](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Foxes and Henhouses: Restoring Oversight and Accountability A Year After the 2020 Election](#): As we approach the one-year anniversary of the 2020 election, advocates and lawmakers are still debating how best to protect our democratic institutions and promote accountability for executive branch transgressions. Some are particularly concerned about the role that the Department of Justice and its Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) played in undermining congressional oversight during the Trump years, when it issued opinions that arguably distorted the separation of powers by brooking no recognition for Congress's

prerogatives as a co-equal branch. Others are focused on the need to protect and strengthen the roles of Inspectors General after former President Trump fired four IGs in the span of six weeks in what some called a “dangerous pattern of retaliation” against federal watchdogs. Recognizing that transparency and oversight is key to democratic survival, what are the best ways to achieve accountability for executive branch transgressions? What role should the Office of Legal Counsel play in reigning in executive branch illegality? And what reforms to the Inspector General system are needed so that these watchdogs can provide the independent nonpartisan oversight they are legislatively required to deliver? Moderated by Josh Gerstein, senior legal affairs reporter with Politico and featuring welcoming remarks from former Sen. Russ Feingold. **When:** Nov. 18, 2pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Director of Elections & Voter Services](#) (Assistant City Clerk), Minneapolis, Minnesota— We’re a division of the Office of the City Clerk. We administer local, state, and federal elections for Minneapolis voters. Our estimated population is 430,000 with about 270,000 registered voters. We champion civic participation for all Minneapolis citizens through accurate, fair, and accessible elections. We strive to be leaders in election administration through innovation and collaboration. As the largest and most vibrant city in the state, Minneapolis depends on purposeful, dedicated and innovative employees. Minneapolis has a large variety of careers for people of all experiences and backgrounds who come together for a singular purpose—serving the residents, businesses and visitors of Minneapolis. The Assistant City Clerk is responsible for the direction of a business line in the City Clerk’s Office, including acting as the Responsible Authority and Data Compliance Official, Chief Elections Official, or Chief Legislative Officer. Responsibilities includes: Direction of a business line, including developing strategic goals with the City Clerk Council/Council Leadership; and developing, implementing, and maintaining programs to implement those goals; Manage multiple competing projects of varying complexity across the department and enterprise; Manage the daily operations of the department, including budget, financial, personnel issues, departmental business plans, involvement in Council office matters, and various special projects; Develop, implement, and manage initiatives that improve the service delivery to City administration, and the public; Develop strategic goals with the City Clerk; and develop, implement, and maintain programs to implement those goals; Collaborate with the City Clerk on the preparation of annual budgets, budget presentations, emergency operations and continuity of operations plans; Oversee the preparation of reports, research efforts, planning documents and presentations to elected officials, stakeholder groups and the public; Supervise divisional staff, delegating, coaching, and developing the staff’s professional expertise, and fostering an atmosphere that values the rewards responsiveness, innovation, thoroughness and professionalism; Establish and maintain effective organizational and public relations through the development and implementation of outreach and engagement plans, including hosting events and

presenting to the public; Partner and provide professional consultation to City leadership, departments, and other partners, internal and external to the City enterprise; Research, and respond to Mayor and Council Member inquiries and issues independently, including complex requests from policy-makers, the media, and the public involving significant amounts of data or sensitive data; Manage multiple competing projects of varying complexity across the department and enterprise; Oversee and ensure the implementation of program goals and objectives; Work with the City Clerk regarding support, discipline, and discharge of staff from the department, and when handling controversial or politically sensitive issues; Provide leadership of department-wide initiatives and City-wide initiatives, and oversight of financial decisions for the City Clerk's Office; Establish and enforce administrative policies, procedures, and standards for the Office; and Act as the City Clerk as assigned. **Salary:** \$112,139.04 – \$132,934.88.

Deadline: December 5. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 10:00:51 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 11, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 11, 2021

In Focus This Week

Military & Overseas Citizen Voting Rates Remained Steady in 2020

State of Military Voter and State of Overseas Voter Updated

An estimated 47% of active duty military and 8% of U.S. citizens abroad voted in 2020, compared to 46% and 8% in 2016 respectively.

These findings and more are part of the recent update of the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) [State of the Military Voter \(SOTMV\)](#) and [State of the Overseas Voter \(SOTOV\)](#) data, examining post-election research from the 2020 General Election.

UOCAVA voters face unique challenges compared to local voters. FVAP again found the ability to receive and submit an absentee ballot on time can be an obstacle, made more complex in 2020 by the COVID-19 pandemic.

"Our research shows the pandemic most notably affected UOCAVA voters' ability to receive direct voting assistance and use international mail," FVAP director David Beirne said. "We worked closely with partners at the Military Postal Service Agency, the U.S. Postal Service, and the State Department to monitor the situation as it evolved and to share the latest guidance to help make voting for military and overseas citizens as smooth as possible."

[Read More](#)

Bipartisan Principles For Election Audits

Bipartisan Principles for Election Audits

Rachel Orey, Christopher Thomas, Matthew Weil

The Bipartisan Policy Center

The function of audits is not to appease a losing candidate. Rather, audits provide a continual means of verifying the integrity of U.S. elections. Election officials have been conducting audits in a bipartisan manner with broad institutional trust for decades. “Audits” meeting certain basic, bipartisan standards are the primary means of increasing confidence in an election’s legitimacy.

When expanded audits are considered in tandem with the nearly universal use of paper ballots and bolstered cybersecurity, 2020 was the [most secure election in U.S. history](#). Even still, this year an increasing number of states have considered additional extralegal, unofficial election reviews examining the presidential election.

Politics and potential motives aside, the rise of unofficial election reviews prompts a need for a reckoning—begging the questions: What is the purpose of audits? How should audits be conducted? What makes an audit deserving of public trust?

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What’s the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you’ll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day’s news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you’ll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don’t have to!

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government's effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

New Secretary of State: Washington Gov. Jay Inslee has appointed Democratic state Sen. [Steve Hobbs](#) as the state's 16th secretary of state, marking the first time a Democrat will hold the office since the mid-1960s. He will replace Republican Secretary of State Kim Wyman, who will leave office Nov. 19. "Steve has demonstrated through his career as a senator the ability to act independently, to not to be swayed by any party," Inslee said in a video statement. Wyman echoed those statements, saying in a written statement that Hobbs "has a demonstrated record of seeking bipartisan solutions to complex problems, which is essential to the position of secretary of state." "It is imperative the secretary of state—the state's chief elections official—serve as a neutral arbiter in order to inspire confidence across the political spectrum in our election processes and results," Wyman wrote. Hobbs, 51, is considered a centrist in his caucus, which holds a 28-21 majority in the Senate.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

District of Columbia: Councilmember Charles Allen (D-Ward 6) introduced the [Elections Modernization Amendment Act of 2021](#), a bill he says is intended to make voting in D.C. accessible to more residents. The legislation, co-sponsored by six of Allen's colleagues, would give every registered voter access to mail-in voting, distribute more ballot drop boxes citywide, bring back the vote centers used during the 2020 election cycle, and make Election Day a holiday for D.C. public schools. The bill would also create a new election data portal on the D.C. Board of Elections' website and require the board to provide more support to Advisory Neighborhood Commissioners who are currently incarcerated (as one currently is, representing the residents of the D.C. Jail), among other measures. The Elections Modernization Amendment Act will be assigned to a committee and receive a hearing at a date to be announced. "There are times when necessity is the mother of invention, and that's exactly what we saw when a pandemic and a general election collided," Allen [wrote in a statement](#). "D.C. held a safe, secure, and accessible election by making it easier to vote and safe to vote from home. These are common sense and popular changes we need to make a permanent part of our elections moving forward."

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Texas: Disabled, elderly and non-English speaking voters risk disenfranchisement under Texas' new voting law passed by the GOP-controlled Legislature earlier this year, [the U.S. Department of Justice claims in a lawsuit](#) filed challenging the legislation known as Senate Bill 1. The suit, filed in San Antonio federal court, argues that both provisions "will curtail fundamental voting rights without advancing any legitimate state interest." "These vulnerable voters already confront barriers to the ballot box, and SB 1 will exacerbate the challenges they face in exercising their fundamental right to vote," the suit argues, saying that the law would negatively impact voters with disabilities, elderly voters, members of the military who are deployed, voters with limited English proficiency and voters residing outside of the country. "There is a history of discrimination against voters with disabilities in Texas," the lawsuit claims, noting estimates that 28% of Texans have conditions impairing their mobility, cognition or vision. The suit also takes aim at SB 1's new rules for mail-in voting. Texas traditionally has placed more limits on mail-in voting than other

states. The legitimacy of mail-in ballots was largely determined by comparing signatures on applications and ballots.

[Read More](#)

Tech Thursday

[Runbeck Election Services](#) announced it has purchased two W+D 410 Easy Envelopers to bring all of the company's vote-by-mail services within their production facility. With the addition of this new envelope converting machinery, Runbeck will be able to oversee each step in the process of producing vote-by-mail packets for their customers. "Envelopes are a critical part of the vote-by-mail process, whether we are mailing a ballot to a voter, or a voter is mailing their completed ballot for tabulation. We are pleased to be able to bring this final piece of the process under our control for the first time in our company's history," said Bryan Dandurand, Vice President of Operations at Runbeck. Runbeck expects the new W+D 410's to be fully installed by the end of 2021 and ready for the 2022 midterm election cycle.

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Misinformation](#) | [Voting rights, II, III](#) | [Election sabotage](#) | [The Big Lie, II, III](#) | [Election security](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Foxes and Henhouses: Restoring Oversight and Accountability A Year After the 2020 Election](#): As we approach the one-year anniversary of the 2020 election, advocates and lawmakers are still debating how best to protect our democratic institutions and promote accountability for executive branch transgressions. Some are particularly concerned about the role that the Department of Justice and its Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) played in undermining congressional oversight during the Trump years, when it issued opinions that arguably distorted the separation of powers by brooking no recognition for Congress's

prerogatives as a co-equal branch. Others are focused on the need to protect and strengthen the roles of Inspectors General after former President Trump fired four IGs in the span of six weeks in what some called a “dangerous pattern of retaliation” against federal watchdogs. Recognizing that transparency and oversight is key to democratic survival, what are the best ways to achieve accountability for executive branch transgressions? What role should the Office of Legal Counsel play in reigning in executive branch illegality? And what reforms to the Inspector General system are needed so that these watchdogs can provide the independent nonpartisan oversight they are legislatively required to deliver? Moderated by Josh Gerstein, senior legal affairs reporter with Politico and featuring welcoming remarks from former Sen. Russ Feingold. **When:** Nov. 18, 2pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Director of Elections & Voter Services](#) (Assistant City Clerk), Minneapolis, Minnesota— We’re a division of the Office of the City Clerk. We administer local, state, and federal elections for Minneapolis voters. Our estimated population is 430,000 with about 270,000 registered voters. We champion civic participation for all Minneapolis citizens through accurate, fair, and accessible elections. We strive to be leaders in election administration through innovation and collaboration. As the largest and most vibrant city in the state, Minneapolis depends on purposeful, dedicated and innovative employees. Minneapolis has a large variety of careers for people of all experiences and backgrounds who come together for a singular purpose—serving the residents, businesses and visitors of Minneapolis. The Assistant City Clerk is responsible for the direction of a business line in the City Clerk’s Office, including acting as the Responsible Authority and Data Compliance Official, Chief Elections Official, or Chief Legislative Officer. Responsibilities includes: Direction of a business line, including developing strategic goals with the City Clerk Council/Council Leadership; and developing, implementing, and maintaining programs to implement those goals; Manage multiple competing projects of varying complexity across the department and enterprise; Manage the daily operations of the department, including budget, financial, personnel issues, departmental business plans, involvement in Council office matters, and various special projects; Develop, implement, and manage initiatives that improve the service delivery to City administration, and the public; Develop strategic goals with the City Clerk; and develop, implement, and maintain programs to implement those goals; Collaborate with the City Clerk on the preparation of annual budgets, budget presentations, emergency operations and continuity of operations plans; Oversee the preparation of reports, research efforts, planning documents and presentations to elected officials, stakeholder groups and the public; Supervise divisional staff, delegating, coaching, and developing the staff’s professional expertise, and fostering an atmosphere that values the rewards responsiveness, innovation, thoroughness and professionalism; Establish and maintain effective organizational and public relations through the development and implementation of outreach and engagement plans, including hosting events and

presenting to the public; Partner and provide professional consultation to City leadership, departments, and other partners, internal and external to the City enterprise; Research, and respond to Mayor and Council Member inquiries and issues independently, including complex requests from policy-makers, the media, and the public involving significant amounts of data or sensitive data; Manage multiple competing projects of varying complexity across the department and enterprise; Oversee and ensure the implementation of program goals and objectives; Work with the City Clerk regarding support, discipline, and discharge of staff from the department, and when handling controversial or politically sensitive issues; Provide leadership of department-wide initiatives and City-wide initiatives, and oversight of financial decisions for the City Clerk's Office; Establish and enforce administrative policies, procedures, and standards for the Office; and Act as the City Clerk as assigned. **Salary:** \$112,139.04 – \$132,934.88. **Deadline:** December 5. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:55:12 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 18, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 18, 2021

In Focus This Week

More Choice, Less Overwhelm

Introducing Ranked Choice Voting in NYC

By Charlotte Levitt

Director of Marketing & Digital Communications, New York City Campaign Finance Board

On June 22, New York City held its largest local primary election in a generation, with over 500 candidates running for office. NYC also became the largest locality in the United States to adopt [Ranked Choice Voting](#). For the first time, New Yorkers could list their top five candidates for local offices, in order of preference, instead of choosing just one.

But some candidates and community leaders worried that Ranked Choice Voting was too complicated and could disenfranchise voters, especially in the midst of a pandemic that limited in-person outreach. They had a point. The counting process was too complex to fully explain in a 30-second PSA or on a poster. Tasked with leading the voter education effort, our team faced a communications conundrum: how to equip voters with the information they needed and wanted, without overwhelming them with details?

The answer: provide lots of ways in, and let the voter choose how deep they want to go.

[Read More](#)

Temporary Schedule Changes and Site Maintenance

Temporary Schedule Changes

electionline Weekly will not publish on Thursday November 25 due to the Thanksgiving holiday.

From December 1-10 electionline Daily News will publish each day, but due to international travel it may post later than 6 a.m. Eastern. electionline Weekly will post on December 2 and 9 but times may vary.

Site Maintenance

We are currently in the process of improving the user experience of the Training and Resources page on electionline.org. Features on this page may be down through Monday, November 29th. We are sorry for the inconvenience.

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government's effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

2021 Election: The 2021 election season is not quite over. In [Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania](#), officials will break ties in 39 local races. Officials in [Erie County, New York](#) have started the arduous task of counting 34,000 write-in votes in the Buffalo mayor's race. In Connecticut, complaints/contests have been filed in several local races including in [West Haven](#), [New Canaan](#), and [South Windsor](#). In [Ada County, Idaho](#) a recount will be conducted for a Meridian City council seat. In [Lehigh County, Pennsylvania](#), Republicans in the state Legislature are threatening to remove the county board of elections after it decided to allow mail-in ballots that weren't dated to be counted in a county judicial race. The Democratic incumbents in two close [Virginia](#) House of Delegates elections filed court petitions for recounts in their races Wednesday. [Louisiana](#) held its storm-delayed elections this week and while there were no major issues, turnout was light, especially in those communities that were impacted the most by Hurricane Ida. During a runoff election in [Richland County, South Carolina](#) this week, a number of issues were reported with voting equipment causing the state to order a hand-count audit.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Pennsylvania: The state House of Representatives is poised to vote this week on legislation aimed at [changing the way Pennsylvania runs its elections](#) — although without changes, it appears headed for a gubernatorial veto. The [multi-faceted 183-page bill](#) would alter the voter identification rules, impose additional security requirements on ballot drop boxes and limit the number of these boxes to one for every

100,000 residents. It would move up the deadline to register to vote to 30 days before an election from the current 15 days. It would allow county election officials to begin preparing mailed ballots for counting five days before election day. It would introduce early voting to Pennsylvania starting in 2025, when voters would be allowed to cast ballots over a six-day period ending the Wednesday before the election. And, among other changes, it would create a Bureau of Election Audits in the auditor general's office and install additional auditing requirements to confirm election results. This legislation, dubbed the "Pennsylvania Voting Rights Protect Act," closely mimics one that was vetoed by Gov. Tom Wolf earlier this year. The House voted [110-90](#) to include in the bill some [deadlines for counties](#) to report their vote tallies or risk not receiving a state reimbursement for the election that the bill would offer. Counties would have until 9 o'clock on election night to count the mail-in and absentee ballots received prior to Election Day. By 2 a.m. the next day, the mail-in and absentee ballots received on Election Day would have to be tallied. Then by 6 o'clock the morning after the election, counties would have to compute all in-person and mailed returns and post the results with exceptions for provisional ballots, those set aside for compliance with the law, and military and overseas ballots. The bill is now in a position to be considered for final passage by the House when it returns to session on Dec. 13.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Georgia: A [court settlement](#) requires the Georgia secretary of state's office to improve its responsiveness to records requests after a government watchdog organization alleged it failed to turn over public election documents. The agreement resolves a [lawsuit](#) filed by American Oversight that said state election officials stalled or ignored requests for information about an absentee ballot fraud task force, communications with the Republican National Committee, coronavirus response and election operations. Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger's office must produce readily available records within three business days of open records requests, improve training, require prepayment only when records retrieval costs exceed \$500 and make a \$35,000 payment to American Oversight, according to the Oct. 20 settlement agreement in Fulton County Superior Court. "The secretary of state's office cannot ignore Georgia's robust open records law," said Austin Evers, executive director of American Oversight. "This agreement includes much-needed reforms designed to remedy the inconsistencies, delays and breakdowns in communication that prevent records requesters from accessing what is rightfully theirs." State election officials said last year that responses to open records requests were at times delayed because American Oversight didn't accept estimated charges in a timely manner. "We hold ourselves to the principles of transparency," Deputy Secretary of State Jordan Fuchs said. "Our team will continue to answer requests as quickly as possible and believe that we would have won this case if the state had chosen to expend its limited resources to fight this frivolous lawsuit."

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Voting rights, II](#) | [Democracy](#) | [Federal election legislation](#) | [Election security](#) | [CISA](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Democracy Fund Language Access for Voters Summit](#): We hope you will join our summit on the importance of language access for voters. With the newest set of Section 203 determinations likely to be released in early December, this virtual convening of election officials, voting rights advocates, and translation experts will feature discussions on a variety of language needs and the services necessary to meet those needs, to meet voters where they are. Join us on December 13-14th at 2pm ET/11am PT to share ideas, tools, and best practices with a focus on practical ideas about what needs to be done between now and November 2022 in order to provide effective language assistance in communities across the United States. Please stay tuned for more information about our program, panelists, and workshops. **When:** December 13-14, 2pm-5pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Elections Deputy Director](#), Oregon Secretary of State's Office— In this critical management role in the Elections Division, you will be responsible for directing coordination, accessibility, and execution of Oregon's nationally recognized vote by mail system. The primary purpose of this position is to assist the Division Director in the execution of the statutory responsibilities and general operations of the Elections Division. You will collaborate with the Elections Director and Elections Manager to develop the Division's budget, organizational goals and objectives as well as advance the direction of the Division. As the subject matter expert, you will coordinate the conduct of elections at the state and local level. You will perform supervisory functions including, but not limited to: hiring, training/coaching, planning, assigning, prioritizing and reviewing work, evaluating performance, implementing disciplinary action and responding

to complaints. In conjunction with the Director, you will act as liaison to the 36 counties across the state and oversee units closely related to public elections management. These are primarily: systems and databases required for elections management as well as processes, statutes, rules, and staff involved in management of the elections cycle, with a focus on initiative, referendum, and referral, and candidate procedures. **Salary:** \$7,597 - \$12,341/month. **Deadline:** November 23. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[MACCEA Executive Director](#) Missouri Association of County Clerks and Election Authorities— The Missouri Association of County Clerks and Election Authorities (MACCEA) is a professional association of county clerks and election authorities from Missouri's 116 counties and election authority jurisdictions. MACCEA's Executive Board is comprised of elected county clerks and appointed election directors whose full-time jobs are to manage county offices, conduct high profile elections, and work in the challenging environment of county government administration. The Board is a volunteer position and officers are elected by the association membership with additional members appointed by the Board President. The new Executive Director position will help the Board better support our membership in their roles as county clerks and election authorities, as well as support the association's vision, mission, and goals. MACCEA seeks a contract Executive Director with diverse non-profit management, governance, conference planning, and communications experience. The Executive Director reports to the 12-member MACCEA Executive Board. Duties and association management are delegated to the Executive Director by the MACCEA Bylaws and under the direction of the Executive Board. Routine and recurring work includes: In cooperation with the Board President, prepares minutes and agendas for meetings; Facilitate logistics (in-person and virtual) for meetings and conferences throughout the year; Organize and lead necessary follow-up actions from Board and Association meetings; Develop new initiatives, with input and guidance of the Board, to further MACCEA objectives; Manage the content of the MACCEA website; Proactively initiate and maintain regular communications with the 12-member Executive Board; Work with the Executive Board to implement MACCEA's Strategic Plan; Research funding sources, including sponsorships, grants, etc.; Work directly with county clerks and election directors to address concerns, answer questions, and support them in their offices; and, Exhibit knowledge and expertise in the content areas of county government for which county clerks and election directors are responsible. **Salary:** \$55,000 – \$65,000. **Deadline:** November 19. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and

are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:55:12 AM

To: [Janine Petty](#)

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 18, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 18, 2021

In Focus This Week

More Choice, Less Overwhelm

Introducing Ranked Choice Voting in NYC

By Charlotte Levitt

Director of Marketing & Digital Communications, New York City Campaign Finance Board

On June 22, New York City held its largest local primary election in a generation, with over 500 candidates running for office. NYC also became the largest locality in the United States to adopt [Ranked Choice Voting](#). For the first time, New Yorkers could list their top five candidates for local offices, in order of preference, instead of choosing just one.

But some candidates and community leaders worried that Ranked Choice Voting was too complicated and could disenfranchise voters, especially in the midst of a pandemic that limited in-person outreach. They had a point. The counting process was too complex to fully explain in a 30-second PSA or on a poster. Tasked with leading the voter education effort, our team faced a communications conundrum: how to equip voters with the information they needed and wanted, without overwhelming them with details?

The answer: provide lots of ways in, and let the voter choose how deep they want to go.

[Read More](#)

Temporary Schedule Changes and Site Maintenance

Temporary Schedule Changes

electionline Weekly will not publish on Thursday November 25 due to the Thanksgiving holiday.

From December 1-10 electionline Daily News will publish each day, but due to international travel it may post later than 6 a.m. Eastern. electionline Weekly will post on December 2 and 9 but times may vary.

Site Maintenance

We are currently in the process of improving the user experience of the Training and Resources page on electionline.org. Features on this page may be down through Monday, November 29th. We are sorry for the inconvenience.

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government's effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

2021 Election: The 2021 election season is not quite over. In [Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania](#), officials will break ties in 39 local races. Officials in [Erie County, New York](#) have started the arduous task of counting 34,000 write-in votes in the Buffalo mayor's race. In Connecticut, complaints/contests have been filed in several local races including in [West Haven](#), [New Canaan](#), and [South Windsor](#). In [Ada County, Idaho](#) a recount will be conducted for a Meridian City council seat. In [Lehigh County, Pennsylvania](#), Republicans in the state Legislature are threatening to remove the county board of elections after it decided to allow mail-in ballots that weren't dated to be counted in a county judicial race. The Democratic incumbents in two close [Virginia](#) House of Delegates elections filed court petitions for recounts in their races Wednesday. [Louisiana](#) held its storm-delayed elections this week and while there were no major issues, turnout was light, especially in those communities that were impacted the most by Hurricane Ida. During a runoff election in [Richland County, South Carolina](#) this week, a number of issues were reported with voting equipment causing the state to order a hand-count audit.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Pennsylvania: The state House of Representatives is poised to vote this week on legislation aimed at [changing the way Pennsylvania runs its elections](#) — although without changes, it appears headed for a gubernatorial veto. The [multi-faceted 183-page bill](#) would alter the voter identification rules, impose additional security requirements on ballot drop boxes and limit the number of these boxes to one for every

100,000 residents. It would move up the deadline to register to vote to 30 days before an election from the current 15 days. It would allow county election officials to begin preparing mailed ballots for counting five days before election day. It would introduce early voting to Pennsylvania starting in 2025, when voters would be allowed to cast ballots over a six-day period ending the Wednesday before the election. And, among other changes, it would create a Bureau of Election Audits in the auditor general's office and install additional auditing requirements to confirm election results. This legislation, dubbed the "Pennsylvania Voting Rights Protect Act," closely mimics one that was vetoed by Gov. Tom Wolf earlier this year. The House voted [110-90](#) to include in the bill some [deadlines for counties](#) to report their vote tallies or risk not receiving a state reimbursement for the election that the bill would offer. Counties would have until 9 o'clock on election night to count the mail-in and absentee ballots received prior to Election Day. By 2 a.m. the next day, the mail-in and absentee ballots received on Election Day would have to be tallied. Then by 6 o'clock the morning after the election, counties would have to compute all in-person and mailed returns and post the results with exceptions for provisional ballots, those set aside for compliance with the law, and military and overseas ballots. The bill is now in a position to be considered for final passage by the House when it returns to session on Dec. 13.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Georgia: A [court settlement](#) requires the Georgia secretary of state's office to improve its responsiveness to records requests after a government watchdog organization alleged it failed to turn over public election documents. The agreement resolves a [lawsuit](#) filed by American Oversight that said state election officials stalled or ignored requests for information about an absentee ballot fraud task force, communications with the Republican National Committee, coronavirus response and election operations. Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger's office must produce readily available records within three business days of open records requests, improve training, require prepayment only when records retrieval costs exceed \$500 and make a \$35,000 payment to American Oversight, according to the Oct. 20 settlement agreement in Fulton County Superior Court. "The secretary of state's office cannot ignore Georgia's robust open records law," said Austin Evers, executive director of American Oversight. "This agreement includes much-needed reforms designed to remedy the inconsistencies, delays and breakdowns in communication that prevent records requesters from accessing what is rightfully theirs." State election officials said last year that responses to open records requests were at times delayed because American Oversight didn't accept estimated charges in a timely manner. "We hold ourselves to the principles of transparency," Deputy Secretary of State Jordan Fuchs said. "Our team will continue to answer requests as quickly as possible and believe that we would have won this case if the state had chosen to expend its limited resources to fight this frivolous lawsuit."

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Voting rights, II](#) | [Democracy](#) | [Federal election legislation](#) | [Election security](#) | [CISA](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Democracy Fund Language Access for Voters Summit](#): We hope you will join our summit on the importance of language access for voters. With the newest set of Section 203 determinations likely to be released in early December, this virtual convening of election officials, voting rights advocates, and translation experts will feature discussions on a variety of language needs and the services necessary to meet those needs, to meet voters where they are. Join us on December 13-14th at 2pm ET/11am PT to share ideas, tools, and best practices with a focus on practical ideas about what needs to be done between now and November 2022 in order to provide effective language assistance in communities across the United States. Please stay tuned for more information about our program, panelists, and workshops. **When:** December 13-14, 2pm-5pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Elections Deputy Director](#), Oregon Secretary of State's Office— In this critical management role in the Elections Division, you will be responsible for directing coordination, accessibility, and execution of Oregon's nationally recognized vote by mail system. The primary purpose of this position is to assist the Division Director in the execution of the statutory responsibilities and general operations of the Elections Division. You will collaborate with the Elections Director and Elections Manager to develop the Division's budget, organizational goals and objectives as well as advance the direction of the Division. As the subject matter expert, you will coordinate the conduct of elections at the state and local level. You will perform supervisory functions including, but not limited to: hiring, training/coaching, planning, assigning, prioritizing and reviewing work, evaluating performance, implementing disciplinary action and responding

to complaints. In conjunction with the Director, you will act as liaison to the 36 counties across the state and oversee units closely related to public elections management. These are primarily: systems and databases required for elections management as well as processes, statutes, rules, and staff involved in management of the elections cycle, with a focus on initiative, referendum, and referral, and candidate procedures. **Salary:** \$7,597 - \$12,341/month. **Deadline:** November 23. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[MACCEA Executive Director](#) Missouri Association of County Clerks and Election Authorities— The Missouri Association of County Clerks and Election Authorities (MACCEA) is a professional association of county clerks and election authorities from Missouri's 116 counties and election authority jurisdictions. MACCEA's Executive Board is comprised of elected county clerks and appointed election directors whose full-time jobs are to manage county offices, conduct high profile elections, and work in the challenging environment of county government administration. The Board is a volunteer position and officers are elected by the association membership with additional members appointed by the Board President. The new Executive Director position will help the Board better support our membership in their roles as county clerks and election authorities, as well as support the association's vision, mission, and goals. MACCEA seeks a contract Executive Director with diverse non-profit management, governance, conference planning, and communications experience. The Executive Director reports to the 12-member MACCEA Executive Board. Duties and association management are delegated to the Executive Director by the MACCEA Bylaws and under the direction of the Executive Board. Routine and recurring work includes: In cooperation with the Board President, prepares minutes and agendas for meetings; Facilitate logistics (in-person and virtual) for meetings and conferences throughout the year; Organize and lead necessary follow-up actions from Board and Association meetings; Develop new initiatives, with input and guidance of the Board, to further MACCEA objectives; Manage the content of the MACCEA website; Proactively initiate and maintain regular communications with the 12-member Executive Board; Work with the Executive Board to implement MACCEA's Strategic Plan; Research funding sources, including sponsorships, grants, etc.; Work directly with county clerks and election directors to address concerns, answer questions, and support them in their offices; and, Exhibit knowledge and expertise in the content areas of county government for which county clerks and election directors are responsible. **Salary:** \$55,000 – \$65,000. **Deadline:** November 19. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and

are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [electionline](#)

Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 9:55:13 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: electionline Weekly--November 18, 2021

Sensitivity: Normal



electionline Weekly

November 18, 2021

In Focus This Week

More Choice, Less Overwhelm

Introducing Ranked Choice Voting in NYC

By Charlotte Levitt

Director of Marketing & Digital Communications, New York City Campaign Finance Board

On June 22, New York City held its largest local primary election in a generation, with over 500 candidates running for office. NYC also became the largest locality in the United States to adopt [Ranked Choice Voting](#). For the first time, New Yorkers could list their top five candidates for local offices, in order of preference, instead of choosing just one.

But some candidates and community leaders worried that Ranked Choice Voting was too complicated and could disenfranchise voters, especially in the midst of a pandemic that limited in-person outreach. They had a point. The counting process was too complex to fully explain in a 30-second PSA or on a poster. Tasked with leading the voter education effort, our team faced a communications conundrum: how to equip voters with the information they needed and wanted, without overwhelming them with details?

The answer: provide lots of ways in, and let the voter choose how deep they want to go.

[Read More](#)

Temporary Schedule Changes and Site Maintenance

Temporary Schedule Changes

electionline Weekly will not publish on Thursday November 25 due to the Thanksgiving holiday.

From December 1-10 electionline Daily News will publish each day, but due to international travel it may post later than 6 a.m. Eastern. electionline Weekly will post on December 2 and 9 but times may vary.

Site Maintenance

We are currently in the process of improving the user experience of the Training and Resources page on electionline.org. Features on this page may be down through Monday, November 29th. We are sorry for the inconvenience.

[Read More](#)

electionline Daily News Email

What's the best part of waking up? electionline Daily News in your inbox of course so be sure to sign up for your daily dose.

Each morning you'll receive the top headlines of the day, plus a listing of states featured in that day's news round up.

To sign up, simply [visit our site](#) and provide us with your email and you'll begin receiving the news in your inbox each morning.

We Google so you don't have to!

[Read More](#)

NIST Comment Period

NIST Draft Report on Promoting Access to Voting

Comment period open until November 22

As part of the federal government's effort to improve access to voting, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has released a draft publication outlining barriers that voters with disabilities may encounter during the election process — as well as potential approaches for addressing them. NIST is requesting comments from the public on the draft by Nov. 22, 2021, to inform a final version expected in December.

The draft publication, formally titled Promoting Access to Voting: Recommendations for Addressing Barriers to Private and Independent Voting for People with Disabilities ([NIST Special Publication 1273](#)), forms part of NIST's response to the March 7, 2021, [Executive Order \(EO\) 14019 on Promoting Access to Voting](#).

[Read More](#)

Election News This Week

2021 Election: The 2021 election season is not quite over. In [Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania](#), officials will break ties in 39 local races. Officials in [Erie County, New York](#) have started the arduous task of counting 34,000 write-in votes in the Buffalo mayor's race. In Connecticut, complaints/contests have been filed in several local races including in [West Haven](#), [New Canaan](#), and [South Windsor](#). In [Ada County, Idaho](#) a recount will be conducted for a Meridian City council seat. In [Lehigh County, Pennsylvania](#), Republicans in the state Legislature are threatening to remove the county board of elections after it decided to allow mail-in ballots that weren't dated to be counted in a county judicial race. The Democratic incumbents in two close [Virginia](#) House of Delegates elections filed court petitions for recounts in their races Wednesday. [Louisiana](#) held its storm-delayed elections this week and while there were no major issues, turnout was light, especially in those communities that were impacted the most by Hurricane Ida. During a runoff election in [Richland County, South Carolina](#) this week, a number of issues were reported with voting equipment causing the state to order a hand-count audit.

[Read More](#)

Legislative Updates

Pennsylvania: The state House of Representatives is poised to vote this week on legislation aimed at [changing the way Pennsylvania runs its elections](#) — although without changes, it appears headed for a gubernatorial veto. The [multi-faceted 183-page bill](#) would alter the voter identification rules, impose additional security requirements on ballot drop boxes and limit the number of these boxes to one for every

100,000 residents. It would move up the deadline to register to vote to 30 days before an election from the current 15 days. It would allow county election officials to begin preparing mailed ballots for counting five days before election day. It would introduce early voting to Pennsylvania starting in 2025, when voters would be allowed to cast ballots over a six-day period ending the Wednesday before the election. And, among other changes, it would create a Bureau of Election Audits in the auditor general's office and install additional auditing requirements to confirm election results. This legislation, dubbed the "Pennsylvania Voting Rights Protect Act," closely mimics one that was vetoed by Gov. Tom Wolf earlier this year. The House voted [110-90](#) to include in the bill some [deadlines for counties](#) to report their vote tallies or risk not receiving a state reimbursement for the election that the bill would offer. Counties would have until 9 o'clock on election night to count the mail-in and absentee ballots received prior to Election Day. By 2 a.m. the next day, the mail-in and absentee ballots received on Election Day would have to be tallied. Then by 6 o'clock the morning after the election, counties would have to compute all in-person and mailed returns and post the results with exceptions for provisional ballots, those set aside for compliance with the law, and military and overseas ballots. The bill is now in a position to be considered for final passage by the House when it returns to session on Dec. 13.

[Read More](#)

Legal Updates

Georgia: A [court settlement](#) requires the Georgia secretary of state's office to improve its responsiveness to records requests after a government watchdog organization alleged it failed to turn over public election documents. The agreement resolves a [lawsuit](#) filed by American Oversight that said state election officials stalled or ignored requests for information about an absentee ballot fraud task force, communications with the Republican National Committee, coronavirus response and election operations. Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger's office must produce readily available records within three business days of open records requests, improve training, require prepayment only when records retrieval costs exceed \$500 and make a \$35,000 payment to American Oversight, according to the Oct. 20 settlement agreement in Fulton County Superior Court. "The secretary of state's office cannot ignore Georgia's robust open records law," said Austin Evers, executive director of American Oversight. "This agreement includes much-needed reforms designed to remedy the inconsistencies, delays and breakdowns in communication that prevent records requesters from accessing what is rightfully theirs." State election officials said last year that responses to open records requests were at times delayed because American Oversight didn't accept estimated charges in a timely manner. "We hold ourselves to the principles of transparency," Deputy Secretary of State Jordan Fuchs said. "Our team will continue to answer requests as quickly as possible and believe that we would have won this case if the state had chosen to expend its limited resources to fight this frivolous lawsuit."

[Read More](#)

Opinions This Week

National Opinions: [Voting rights, II](#) | [Democracy](#) | [Federal election legislation](#) | [Election security](#) | [CISA](#)

[Read More](#)

Upcoming Events

[Democracy Fund Language Access for Voters Summit](#): We hope you will join our summit on the importance of language access for voters. With the newest set of Section 203 determinations likely to be released in early December, this virtual convening of election officials, voting rights advocates, and translation experts will feature discussions on a variety of language needs and the services necessary to meet those needs, to meet voters where they are. Join us on December 13-14th at 2pm ET/11am PT to share ideas, tools, and best practices with a focus on practical ideas about what needs to be done between now and November 2022 in order to provide effective language assistance in communities across the United States. Please stay tuned for more information about our program, panelists, and workshops. **When:** December 13-14, 2pm-5pm Eastern. **Where:** Online.

[Read More](#)

Job Postings This Week

[Elections Deputy Director](#), Oregon Secretary of State's Office— In this critical management role in the Elections Division, you will be responsible for directing coordination, accessibility, and execution of Oregon's nationally recognized vote by mail system. The primary purpose of this position is to assist the Division Director in the execution of the statutory responsibilities and general operations of the Elections Division. You will collaborate with the Elections Director and Elections Manager to develop the Division's budget, organizational goals and objectives as well as advance the direction of the Division. As the subject matter expert, you will coordinate the conduct of elections at the state and local level. You will perform supervisory functions including, but not limited to: hiring, training/coaching, planning, assigning, prioritizing and reviewing work, evaluating performance, implementing disciplinary action and responding

to complaints. In conjunction with the Director, you will act as liaison to the 36 counties across the state and oversee units closely related to public elections management. These are primarily: systems and databases required for elections management as well as processes, statutes, rules, and staff involved in management of the elections cycle, with a focus on initiative, referendum, and referral, and candidate procedures. **Salary:** \$7,597 - \$12,341/month. **Deadline:** November 23. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[MACCEA Executive Director](#) Missouri Association of County Clerks and Election Authorities— The Missouri Association of County Clerks and Election Authorities (MACCEA) is a professional association of county clerks and election authorities from Missouri's 116 counties and election authority jurisdictions. MACCEA's Executive Board is comprised of elected county clerks and appointed election directors whose full-time jobs are to manage county offices, conduct high profile elections, and work in the challenging environment of county government administration. The Board is a volunteer position and officers are elected by the association membership with additional members appointed by the Board President. The new Executive Director position will help the Board better support our membership in their roles as county clerks and election authorities, as well as support the association's vision, mission, and goals. MACCEA seeks a contract Executive Director with diverse non-profit management, governance, conference planning, and communications experience. The Executive Director reports to the 12-member MACCEA Executive Board. Duties and association management are delegated to the Executive Director by the MACCEA Bylaws and under the direction of the Executive Board. Routine and recurring work includes: In cooperation with the Board President, prepares minutes and agendas for meetings; Facilitate logistics (in-person and virtual) for meetings and conferences throughout the year; Organize and lead necessary follow-up actions from Board and Association meetings; Develop new initiatives, with input and guidance of the Board, to further MACCEA objectives; Manage the content of the MACCEA website; Proactively initiate and maintain regular communications with the 12-member Executive Board; Work with the Executive Board to implement MACCEA's Strategic Plan; Research funding sources, including sponsorships, grants, etc.; Work directly with county clerks and election directors to address concerns, answer questions, and support them in their offices; and, Exhibit knowledge and expertise in the content areas of county government for which county clerks and election directors are responsible. **Salary:** \$55,000 – \$65,000. **Deadline:** November 19. **Application:** For the complete job listing and to apply, [click here](#).

[Read More](#)

Marketplace

electionline provides no guarantees as to the quality of the items being sold and the accuracy of the information provided about the sale items in the Marketplace. Ads are provided directly by sellers and

are not verified by electionline. If you have an ad for Marketplace, please email it to:

██████████@electionline.org

[Read More](#)

electionline is the nation's only nonpartisan, non-advocacy clearinghouse for election reform news and information.



[Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#)

www.electionline.org

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:32:22 PM
To: [Amy Chan](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

That would be brilliant!

From: Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:30 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: Re: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

What a fantastic idea!! Happy to do over teams. Let me review the email & attachments & I can work with you to formalize it. We can get Colleen's final say when she's back later this week if that timing works?

Amy B. Chan (She | Her | Hers)
General Counsel
Arizona Secretary of State
Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:26:44 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon

and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:15:48 PM
To: [Marshall, Joshua \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Cc: [Celina Olivas](#);
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Hi Josh,
Thank you for sharing this information with us. Currently, we are looking for examples of what other states have done to codify/memorize the designation. Do you have examples of that?
Did they use an IGA or a Proclamation? We know that we can enter into this arrangement, but we are looking for direction on how to operationalize it.
Thanks!
Murphy

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:57:11 PM
To: [Marshall, Joshua \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Cc: [Celina Olivas](#);
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Thanks so much!

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:50 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hello Murphy,

Let me check with our nonprofit partners if they came across examples in other states regarding this work.

Josh

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 4:16 PM
To: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi Josh,
Thank you for sharing this information with us. Currently, we are looking for examples of what other states have done to codify/memorize the designation. Do you have examples of that?
Did they use an IGA or a Proclamation? We know that we can enter into this arrangement, but we are looking for direction on how to operationalize it.
Thanks!
Murphy

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2023 10:44:03 AM
To: [Tonia Tunnell](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#);
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Unique Url is the way to go... just waiting on MVD... still.

From: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 1:03 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

It is all becoming such a blur for me, sorry that I didn't remember you were there! The documents that they included in their email are very good, by the way!

I think the procedure has to be a cooperative/inter agency agreement of some kind, so this is an Amy question. However, I do think as part of the agreement we should set them up with the unique URL program so as many of these registrations as possible could be online. Good idea?

Best regards,

Tonia

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:52 PM
To: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hiya – I was at the meeting – I don't know that we landed on the exact procedure for how to make this arrangement official, and that is now basically all Native Health needs to know from us at this point.
Also worth considering – there could be both an "official" way of making it official and a ceremonial way to do it as well.
(I like the idea of proclamation even if there isn't a legal need for one)

From: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:40 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

We (I think George, Keely, SOS and me- I can't remember who all were there) had a meeting with the ACLU's Darrell Hill about this issue on July 6th. At that meeting we identified the Native American health organizations as perfect partners for this. I think the "how to make it official" requires an agreement between our two offices. Amy?

Best regards,

Tonia

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:27 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Tonia Tunnell](#)
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 2:55:29 PM
To: [Amy Chan](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

When I get out of classes this evening let me search my notes. I seem to recall this was exactly who we wanted to target and in that meeting I thought we discussed how it worked.

Best regards,

Tonia

From: Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:30 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: Re: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

What a fantastic idea!! Happy to do over teams. Let me review the email & attachments & I can work with you to formalize it. We can get Colleen's final say when she's back later this week if that timing works?

Amy B. Chan (She | Her | Hers)
General Counsel
Arizona Secretary of State
Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:26:44 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Amy Chan](#)
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:30:24 PM
To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)
Subject: Re: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Response requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

What a fantastic idea!! Happy to do over teams. Let me review the email & attachments & I can work with you to formalize it. We can get Colleen's final say when she's back later this week if that timing works?

Amy B. Chan (She | Her | Hers)
General Counsel
Arizona Secretary of State
Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:26:44 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Marshall, Joshua \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 11:58:48 AM
To: [Celina Olivas](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Hello,

I am trying to get a response from the NPO partners. We have a meeting tomorrow afternoon, but I hope we can get it before then. I share Susan's enthusiasm.

Thanks
Josh

From: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 2:53 PM
To: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi, I wanted to follow up to see if you've had an opportunity to check with your nonprofit partners. I had a conversation with Susan on Monday, and she really wants to have this program initiated before the end of September. Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Thank you.

Best,
Celina

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:50 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hello Murphy,

Let me check with our nonprofit partners if they came across examples in other states regarding this work.

Josh

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 4:16 PM
To: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi Josh,
Thank you for sharing this information with us. Currently, we are looking for examples of what other states have done to

confidly/memorize the designation. Do you have examples of that?

Did they use an IGA or a Proclamation? We know that we can enter into this arrangement, but we are looking for direction on how to operationalize it.

Thanks!

Murphy

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM

To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager

2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration

4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation

6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 1:18:24 PM
To: [Marshall, Joshua \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Cc: [Celina Olivas](#);
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Just a designation letter... well I like the simplicity of that!! Thank you! Look forward to any other examples you can share!

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 1:01 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hello, here are a couple examples of designations. I will be meeting with the NPO partners tomorrow and can get more information or additional examples if needed.

From: Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 3:41 PM
To: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; [REDACTED]@ncai.org;
Saundra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>; Allison Neswood <[REDACTED]@narf.org>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting


Hi Josh,

Thanks for following up. Attached is a letter that demonstrates how Kansas effectuated the designation. The process can be as simple or as formal as the state chooses. For example, in Kansas this letter sufficed and we think it's a good example of how to simply and expeditiously move forward with designation.

Alternatively, next week on August 22 we are having a ceremony at the tribally run healthcare facility in Shingle Springs where the California Secretary of State is going to the Rancheria to formally issue a declaration issuing the designation and where the tribe is passing a tribal resolution accepting the designation. Mostly, the point of this August 22 ceremony is to publicize the designation. Here is a link to how California designates – the Secretary issues a declaration: [NVRA Designated Agencies \(ca.gov\)](#). So following the ceremony, Shingle Springs Health and Wellness center will be added to this Declaration.

Hope that helps. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Jacqueline

From: [Marshall, Joshua \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 1:01:28 PM
To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Cc: [Celina Olivas](#);
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:
[designation letter from Kansas.pdf](#) 

Hello, here are a couple examples of designations. I will be meeting with the NPO partners tomorrow and can get more information or additional examples if needed.

From: Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 3:41 PM
To: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; [REDACTED]@ncai.org;
Saundra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>; Allison Neswood <[REDACTED]@narf.org>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi Josh,

Thanks for following up. Attached is a letter that demonstrates how Kansas effectuated the designation. The process can be as simple or as formal as the state chooses. For example, in Kansas this letter sufficed and we think it's a good example of how to simply and expeditiously move forward with designation.

Alternatively, next week on August 22 we are having a ceremony at the tribally run healthcare facility in Shingle Springs where the California Secretary of State is going to the Rancheria to formally issue a declaration issuing the designation and where the tribe is passing a tribal resolution accepting the designation. Mostly, the point of this August 22 ceremony is to publicize the designation. Here is a link to how California designates – the Secretary issues a declaration: [NVRA Designated Agencies \(ca.gov\)](#). So following the ceremony, Shingle Springs Health and Wellness center will be added to this Declaration.

Hope that helps. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Jacqueline

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:52:11 PM
To: [Tonia Tunnell](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Hiya – I was at the meeting – I don't know that we landed on the exact procedure for how to make this arrangement official, and that is now basically all Native Health needs to know from us at this point.
Also worth considering – there could be both an "official" way of making it official and a ceremonial way to do it as well. (I like the idea of proclamation even if there isn't a legal need for one)

From: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:40 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

We (I think George, Keely, SOS and me- I can't remember who all were there) had a meeting with the ACLU's Darrell Hill about this issue on July 6th. At that meeting we identified the Native American health organizations as perfect partners for this. I think the "how to make it official" requires an agreement between our two offices. Amy?

Best regards,

Tonia

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:27 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>;

[REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Tonia Tunnell](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 1:02:49 PM
To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#);
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

It is all becoming such a blur for me, sorry that I didn't remember you were there! The documents that they included in their email are very good, by the way!

I think the procedure has to be a cooperative/inter agency agreement of some kind, so this is an Amy question. However, I do think as part of the agreement we should set them up with the unique URL program so as many of these registrations as possible could be online. Good idea?

Best regards,

Tonia

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:52 PM
To: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hiya – I was at the meeting – I don't know that we landed on the exact procedure for how to make this arrangement official, and that is now basically all Native Health needs to know from us at this point.
Also worth considering – there could be both an "official" way of making it official and a ceremonial way to do it as well.
(I like the idea of proclamation even if there isn't a legal need for one)

From: Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:40 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

We (I think George, Keely, SOS and me- I can't remember who all were there) had a meeting with the ACLU's Darrell Hill about this issue on July 6th. At that meeting we identified the Native American health organizations as perfect partners for this. I think the "how to make it official" requires an agreement between our two offices. Amy?

Best regards,

Tonia

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:27 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM

To: C. Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Marshall, Joshuah \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:49:53 PM
To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Cc: [Celina Olivas](#);
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Hello Murphy,

Let me check with our nonprofit partners if they came across examples in other states regarding this work.

Josh

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 4:16 PM
To: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi Josh,
Thank you for sharing this information with us. Currently, we are looking for examples of what other states have done to codify/memorize the designation. Do you have examples of that?
Did they use an IGA or a Proclamation? We know that we can enter into this arrangement, but we are looking for direction on how to operationalize it.
Thanks!
Murphy

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Tonia Tunnell](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2023 12:40:25 PM
To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#);
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

We (I think George, Keely, SOS and me- I can't remember who all were there) had a meeting with the ACLU's Darrell Hill about this issue on July 6th. At that meeting we identified the Native American health organizations as perfect partners for this. I think the "how to make it official" requires an agreement between our two offices. Amy?

Best regards,

Tonia

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:27 PM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Tonia Tunnell <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Hi folks, Celina and I are following up on the meeting we had with the ACLU about designating the Native Health Spaces as voter hubs (request attached). There is so much alignment on this project that I hope it will come together quickly. While I was out on Friday, Josh from HIS, sent over some materials that have been used in the past to set up this designation.

Celina and I can take the lead on getting this set up but we would need information about how to make the designation official.

What's the best way to have this conversation? Should I find a time for us to meet or is this something we can hammer out on email/teams?

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Celina Olivas](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 12:05:00 PM
To: [Marshall, Joshuah \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Sounds good, thanks! ☐

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 11:59 AM
To: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hello,

I am trying to get a response from the NPO partners. We have a meeting tomorrow afternoon, but I hope we can get it before then. I share Susan's enthusiasm.

Thanks
Josh

From: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 2:53 PM
To: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi, I wanted to follow up to see if you've had an opportunity to check with your nonprofit partners. I had a conversation with Susan on Monday, and she really wants to have this program initiated before the end of September. Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Thank you.

Best,
Celina

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:50 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hello Murphy,

Let me check with our nonprofit partners if they came across examples in other states regarding this work.

Josh

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 4:16 PM
To: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi Josh,
Thank you for sharing this information with us. Currently, we are looking for examples of what other states have done to codify/memorize the designation. Do you have examples of that?
Did they use an IGA or a Proclamation? We know that we can enter into this arrangement, but we are looking for direction on how to operationalize it.
Thanks!
Murphy

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C. Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM
To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be

adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)

Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 1:23:00 PM

To: [Marshall, Joshuah \(IHS/HQ\)](#)

Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Sensitivity: Normal

Thank you!!

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM

To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Marshall, Joshua \(IHS/HQ\)](#)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:38:14 PM

To: [C. Murphy Hebert](#)

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[2022 NCAI IHS Resolution.pdf](#) [Executive Order One Page Explainer.pdf](#) [Explainer For IHS Voter Registration.pdf](#) [Sample NVRA Training Materials.pdf](#) [Summary of NVRA Compliance.pdf](#)

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [Celina Olivas](#)
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2023 11:53:00 AM
To: [Marshall, Joshuah \(IHS/HQ\)](#)
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Sensitivity: Normal

Hi, I wanted to follow up to see if you've had an opportunity to check with your nonprofit partners. I had a conversation with Susan on Monday, and she really wants to have this program initiated before the end of September. Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Thank you.

Best,
Celina

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 1:50 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hello Murphy,

Let me check with our nonprofit partners if they came across examples in other states regarding this work.

Josh

From: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 14, 2023 4:16 PM
To: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Cc: Celina Olivas <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>; Amy Chan <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: RE: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Hi Josh,
Thank you for sharing this information with us. Currently, we are looking for examples of what other states have done to codify/memorize the designation. Do you have examples of that?
Did they use an IGA or a Proclamation? We know that we can enter into this arrangement, but we are looking for direction on how to operationalize it.
Thanks!
Murphy

From: Marshall, Joshuah (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 2:37 PM
To: C.Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting
Importance: High

Sharing with you the information I referenced on our call.

Also, here are the emails of the staff from the nonprofit implementation partners we are working with. Both Sarah Brannon and Jaqueline De Leon are full of helpful information.

Ryan Seelau <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Ashley Tjhung <[REDACTED]@demos.org>; Jacqueline De Leon <[REDACTED]@narf.org>; Sandra Mitrovich <[REDACTED]@ncai.org>; Sarah Brannon <[REDACTED]@aclu.org>

From: Marshall, Joshua (IHS/HQ)

Sent: Friday, August 4, 2023 4:59 PM

To: Cotton, Beverly (IHS/NAS/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Amiotte, Joe (IHS/ABR/AO) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; [REDACTED], Marcus C (IHS/POR) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Cc: Driving Hawk, James (IHS/PHX) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>; Buchanan, Chris (IHS/HQ) <[REDACTED]@ihs.gov>

Subject: FW: EO 14019 - Promoting Access to Voting

Importance: High

Sharing the information I referenced.

Also, I attached a few pieces of information about the whole Executive Order and IHS role in it.

Two Quick One-Pagers:

1. IHS as NVRA Designated Site One Pager
2. Executive Order One Page Explainer

Two Longer Documents Explaining in more depth designation of IHS facilities:

3. Explainer for IHS Voter Registration
4. Summary of NVRA Compliance

Indian Country documents in support of designation of IHS facilities:

5. The 2022 NCAI tribal resolution in support of IHS designation
6. A link to the petition in support of IHS designation with over 8,000 signatures:

<https://actionnetwork.org/forms/support-voter-registration-at-indian-health-service-facilities-now/>

Sample documents related to NVRA designation such as sample forms, checklists, and other training materials that can be adapted to training for IHS:

7. Sample NVRA Training documents

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 12:07:37 PM
To: [REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov;
Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)
Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter
Response requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:
[DOELetter.docx](#) [REDACTED] [Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter_final.pdf](#) [REDACTED] [University Letter_5-23.docx](#) [REDACTED]

With Hannah's corrected email address.

From: C.Murphy Hebert
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 12:02 PM
To: [REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov; Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Hannah,
Thanks for sending these over. Keely is out of the office today, so I am looping in Alex who will make sure you get the electronic signature later today.
Thanks again,
Murphy



Murphy Hebert (she/her/hers)
Deputy Assistant Secretary of State
Arizona Secretary Of State

Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 8:30:00 PM
To: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Keely,

I hope you are doing well! I work with Secretary of State Sarah Godlewski in Wisconsin – who spoke with Secretary Fontes about a federal work study letter we are sending to the Department of Education. He said he is happy to sign on but wanted to be sure you saw this and we were wondering if you could send along an e-signature?

Below is some background information for your awareness:

Work Study Democracy Funding Overview: *Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.*

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds as well - only universities, colleges, and technical schools.

Request: *We are asking for the Secretary's signature with two letters. The first, is a letter to the Department of Education asking to make this administrative change. No legislative action is needed. The second, is a letter that would be sent to college, university, and tech school presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.*

Timeline: *Our goal is to send this letter to the Department of Education by the following week in hopes of being included in the July deadline for financial aid information.*

Background: *I have also included the background letter that was sent on behalf of many important national organizations that made the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts. Note: Our signed letter will also be shared with them, and they are enthusiastic that we are taking action.*

Thank you so much,

Hannah Menchhoff

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 12:03:00 PM

To: [REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov;

Cc: [Keely Varvel](#)

Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Response requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

DOELetter.docx [REDACTED] Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter_final.pdf [REDACTED] University Letter_5-23.docx [REDACTED]

Hi Hannah,

Thanks for sending these over. Keely is out of the office today, so I am looping in Alex who will make sure you get the electronic signature later today.

Thanks again,

Murphy



Murphy Hebert (she/her/hers)
Deputy Assistant Secretary of State
Arizona Secretary Of State

Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov
Office: [REDACTED]

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 8:30:00 PM

To: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Keely,

I hope you are doing well! I work with Secretary of State Sarah Godlewski in Wisconsin – who spoke with Secretary Fontes about a federal work study letter we are sending to the Department of Education. He said he is happy to sign on but wanted to be sure you saw this and we were wondering if you could send along an e-signature?

Below is some background information for your awareness:

Work Study Democracy Funding Overview: *Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.*

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could

be beneficiaries of these funds as well - only universities, colleges, and technical schools.

Request: *We are asking for the Secretary's signature with two letters. The first, is a letter to the Department of Education asking to make this administrative change. No legislative action is needed. The second, is a letter that would be sent to college, university, and tech school presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.*

Timeline: *Our goal is to send this letter to the Department of Education by the following week in hopes of being included in the July deadline for financial aid information.*

Background: *I have also included the background letter that was sent on behalf of many important national organizations that made the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts. Note: Our signed letter will also be shared with them, and they are enthusiastic that we are taking action.*

Thank you so much,

Hannah Menchhoff

From: [Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS](#)

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 8:30:42 PM

To: [Keely Varvel](#)

Subject: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[DOELetter.docx](#) [Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter_final.pdf](#) [University Letter_5-23.docx](#)

Hi Keely,

I hope you are doing well! I work with Secretary of State Sarah Godlewski in Wisconsin – who spoke with Secretary Fontes about a federal work study letter we are sending to the Department of Education. He said he is happy to sign on but wanted to be sure you saw this and we were wondering if you could send along an e-signature?

Below is some background information for your awareness:

Work Study Democracy Funding Overview: *Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.*

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds as well - only universities, colleges, and technical schools.

Request: *We are asking for the Secretary's signature with two letters. The first, is a letter to the Department of Education asking to make this administrative change. No legislative action is needed. The second, is a letter that would be sent to college, university, and tech school presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.*

Timeline: *Our goal is to send this letter to the Department of Education by the following week in hopes of being included in the July deadline for financial aid information.*

Background: *I have also included the background letter that was sent on behalf of many important national organizations that made the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts. Note: Our signed letter will also be shared with them, and they are enthusiastic that we are taking action.*

Thank you so much,

Hannah Menchhoff

From: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 10:45:00 AM

To: [Tonia Tunnell](#)

Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Response requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

DOELetter.docx  Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter_final.pdf  University Letter_5-23.docx 

Hey Tonia – this seems like an easy yes so I was going to flag this for the secretary to sign today. Just wanted to know if you have any concerns before we proceed.

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 8:30:00 PM

To: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Keely,

I hope you are doing well! I work with Secretary of State Sarah Godlewski in Wisconsin – who spoke with Secretary Fontes about a federal work study letter we are sending to the Department of Education. He said he is happy to sign on but wanted to be sure you saw this and we were wondering if you could send along an e-signature?

Below is some background information for your awareness:

Work Study Democracy Funding Overview: *Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.*

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds as well - only universities, colleges, and technical schools.

Request: *We are asking for the Secretary's signature with two letters. The first, is a letter to the Department of Education asking to make this administrative change. No legislative action is needed. The second, is a letter that would be sent to college, university, and tech school presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.*

Timeline: *Our goal is to send this letter to the Department of Education by the following week in hopes of being included in the July deadline for financial aid information.*

Background: *I have also included the background letter that was sent on behalf of many important national organizations that made the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts. Note: Our signed letter will also be shared with them, and they are enthusiastic that we are taking action.*

Thank you so much,

Hannah Menchhoff

From: Alex [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 12:15:42 PM
To: Angelek Larkins
Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter
Response requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Attachments:

DOELetter.docx [REDACTED] Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter_final.pdf [REDACTED] University Letter_5-23.docx [REDACTED]

Alex [REDACTED]
Administrative Assistant



Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov

Office: [REDACTED]

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Arizona Secretary of State | <https://azsos.gov>

1700 W. Washington St., 7th Fl. | Phoenix, AZ 85007

This message and any messages in response to the sender of this message may be subject to a public records request.

From: C. Murphy Hebert <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 12:05 PM
To: [REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov; Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter

With Hannah's corrected email address.

From: C. Murphy Hebert
Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 12:02 PM
To: [REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov; Alex [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Cc: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: FW: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Hannah,

Thanks for sending these over. Keely is out of the office today, so I am looping in Alex who will make sure you get the electronic signature later today.

Thanks again,
Murphy



Murphy Hebert (she/her/hers)
Deputy Assistant Secretary of State
Arizona Secretary Of State

Email: [REDACTED]@azsos.gov

Office: [REDACTED]

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 8:30:00 PM
To: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>
Subject: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Keely,

I hope you are doing well! I work with Secretary of State Sarah Godlewski in Wisconsin – who spoke with Secretary Fontes about a federal work study letter we are sending to the Department of Education. He said he is happy to sign on but wanted to be sure you saw this and we were wondering if you could send along an e-signature?

Below is some background information for your awareness:

Work Study Democracy Funding Overview: *Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.*

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds as well - only universities, colleges, and technical schools.

Request: *We are asking for the Secretary's signature with two letters. The first, is a letter to the Department of Education asking to make this administrative change. No legislative action is needed. The second, is a letter that would be sent to college, university, and tech school presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.*

Timeline: *Our goal is to send this letter to the Department of Education by the following week in hopes of being included in the July deadline for financial aid information.*

Background: *I have also included the background letter that was sent on behalf of many important national organizations that made the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts. Note: Our signed letter will also be shared with them, and they are enthusiastic that we are taking action.*

Thank you so much,

Hannah Menchhoff

From: [Keely Varvel](#)

Sent: Thursday, June 15, 2023 8:40:11 AM

To: [C.Murphy Hebert](#)

Subject: Fwd: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Sensitivity: Normal

Attachments:

[DOELetter.docx](#) [Groups' Work Study & Civics Letter_final.pdf](#) [University Letter_5-23.docx](#)

Could you talk to Adrian about this and send the signature if you all decide it's ok? Tonia may be able to help if you're busy but I know she's been busy with the EOC training this week (and planning for next week's in Flag) so didn't want to put this on her plate if I didn't have to.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Menchhoff, Hannah - SOS <[REDACTED]@wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 8:30:00 PM

To: Keely Varvel <[REDACTED]@azsos.gov>

Subject: Federal Work Study DOE letter

Hi Keely,

I hope you are doing well! I work with Secretary of State Sarah Godlewski in Wisconsin – who spoke with Secretary Fontes about a federal work study letter we are sending to the Department of Education. He said he is happy to sign on but wanted to be sure you saw this and we were wondering if you could send along an e-signature?

Below is some background information for your awareness:

Work Study Democracy Funding Overview: *Approximately \$1.2 billion dollars of Federal work-study funding could be available to support non-partisan, voter registration efforts led by government agencies and non-profits.*

The Department of Education in Dec 2022 updated/clarified a rule that would allow work study funds to be used for non-partisan voter registration; however, in making this change they did not clarify that non-profits and government agencies could be beneficiaries of these funds as well - only universities, colleges, and technical schools.

Request: *We are asking for the Secretary's signature with two letters. The first, is a letter to the Department of Education asking to make this administrative change. No legislative action is needed. The second, is a letter that would be sent to college, university, and tech school presidents letting them know about the change and encouraging them to facilitate this opportunity.*

Timeline: *Our goal is to send this letter to the Department of Education by the following week in hopes of being included in the July deadline for financial aid information.*

Background: *I have also included the background letter that was sent on behalf of many important national organizations that made the original ask to allow work study funding to support nonpartisan voter registration efforts. Note: Our signed letter will also be shared with them, and they are enthusiastic that we are taking action.*

Thank you so much,

Hannah Menchhoff

From: [Federal Voting Assistance Program](#)

Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 6:06:27 AM

To: [Tanner](#) [REDACTED]

Subject: FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

Response requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal



*Voting assistance for Service members,
their families and overseas citizens*

FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

The Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress confirms that the program's efforts continue to contribute to voter success among absent military members, their families, and U.S. citizens abroad in the 2020 General Election. During the presidential election year, FVAP continued to expand awareness and use of voting assistance resources while reducing voting obstacles for active duty military personnel and overseas citizens covered by the *Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA)*.

After every federal general election, FVAP conducts post-election surveys and analyzes state and federal election data to evaluate the experience of military and overseas voters — and the impact that FVAP and DoD voting resources have on their experience. This research provides key indicators about military voters, U.S. citizens living overseas, Voting Assistance Officers, and election officials during the 2020 General Election.

“The 2020 election cycle represented a unique set of challenges and opportunities for FVAP, just as it did for the community of election administrators across the United States,” said FVAP Director David Beirne. “We were pleased to see that FVAP assistance continued to bolster *UOCAVA* voters’ success and that voters heeded calls to request and return their absentee ballots earlier.”

Highlights from the 2020 Report to Congress

- The 2020 voter registration rate for ADM was 69 percent, a three-point increase from 2016.
- The 2020 voter participation rate for ADM was 47 percent, on par with the 46 percent voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- The 2020 estimated voter participation rate for overseas citizens was 7.8 percent, equivalent to the estimated voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- Active duty military (ADM) who received assistance from a DoD resource (FVAP).

... have any family member who received assistance from a DoD resource (i.e., Unit Voting Assistance Officers, Installation Voter Assistance Offices) were significantly more likely to submit an absentee ballot than ADM who did not receive DoD assistance. This consistent finding across the last five General Elections (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020) speaks to the importance and effectiveness of efforts by FVAP and the military Services to raise awareness of available resources and provide direct assistance.

- UOCAVA voters requested their ballots earlier than they did in 2016, and general election voted ballots were returned earlier than they were in the 2016 and 2018 General Elections.
- The most frequently reported challenge experienced by U.S. voters living overseas was difficulty with international mail systems.

For 2022, FVAP will continue its work to reduce barriers for UOCAVA voters to successfully vote absentee and increase awareness about voting among the UOCAVA population. Pursuant to Executive Order 14019, FVAP will support expanded opportunities to raise awareness on voting resources for all DoD personnel to include both absentee voting and traditional registration and voting opportunities, including offering assistance materials in alternative languages as appropriate.

View the full 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress [here](#).

###

For more information on FVAP or assistance with the absentee voting process, visit [FVAP.gov](https://fvap.gov), call FVAP at 1-800-438-VOTE or DSN [REDACTED] (CONUS) [REDACTED] (OCONUS), or email [REDACTED]@fvap.gov. Remember, you also can contact your unit or installation voting assistance officers for assistance during any step of the process. Find us on Facebook at Facebook.com/DoDFVAP, on Twitter [@FVAP](https://twitter.com/FVAP), and on Instagram at [fvapgov](https://www.instagram.com/fvapgov)



Questions? [Contact Us](#)



[Manage Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Help](#)

From: [Federal Voting Assistance Program](#)

Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 6:11:51 AM

To: [Janine Petty](#)

Subject: FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

Sensitivity: Normal



Voting assistance for Service members,
their families and overseas citizens

FVAP Releases 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress

The Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress confirms that the program's efforts continue to contribute to voter success among absent military members, their families, and U.S. citizens abroad in the 2020 General Election. During the presidential election year, FVAP continued to expand awareness and use of voting assistance resources while reducing voting obstacles for active duty military personnel and overseas citizens covered by the *Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA)*.

After every federal general election, FVAP conducts post-election surveys and analyzes state and federal election data to evaluate the experience of military and overseas voters — and the impact that FVAP and DoD voting resources have on their experience. This research provides key indicators about military voters, U.S. citizens living overseas, Voting Assistance Officers, and election officials during the 2020 General Election.

“The 2020 election cycle represented a unique set of challenges and opportunities for FVAP, just as it did for the community of election administrators across the United States,” said FVAP Director David Beirne. “We were pleased to see that FVAP assistance continued to bolster *UOCAVA* voters’ success and that voters heeded calls to request and return their absentee ballots earlier.”

Highlights from the 2020 Report to Congress

- The 2020 voter registration rate for ADM was 69 percent, a three-point increase from 2016.
- The 2020 voter participation rate for ADM was 47 percent, on par with the 46 percent voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- The 2020 estimated voter participation rate for overseas citizens was 7.8 percent, equivalent to the estimated voter participation rate for the 2016 General Election.
- Active duty military (ADM) who received assistance from a DoD resource (FVAP).

Unit Voting Assistance Officers, Installation Voter Assistance Offices) were significantly more likely to submit an absentee ballot than ADM who did not receive DoD assistance. This consistent finding across the last five General Elections (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020) speaks to the importance and effectiveness of efforts by FVAP and the military Services to raise awareness of available resources and provide direct assistance.

- UOCAVA voters requested their ballots earlier than they did in 2016, and general election voted ballots were returned earlier than they were in the 2016 and 2018 General Elections.
- The most frequently reported challenge experienced by U.S. voters living overseas was difficulty with international mail systems.

For 2022, FVAP will continue its work to reduce barriers for UOCAVA voters to successfully vote absentee and increase awareness about voting among the UOCAVA population. Pursuant to Executive Order 14019, FVAP will support expanded opportunities to raise awareness on voting resources for all DoD personnel to include both absentee voting and traditional registration and voting opportunities, including offering assistance materials in alternative languages as appropriate.

View the full 2020 Post-Election Report to Congress [here](#).

###

For more information on FVAP or assistance with the absentee voting process, visit [FVAP.gov](https://fvap.gov), call FVAP at 1-800-438-VOTE or DSN [REDACTED] (CONUS) [REDACTED] (OCONUS), or email [REDACTED]@fvap.gov. Remember, you also can contact your unit or installation voting assistance officers for assistance during any step of the process. Find us on Facebook at Facebook.com/DoDFVAP, on Twitter [@FVAP](https://twitter.com/FVAP), and on Instagram at [fvapgov](https://www.instagram.com/fvapgov)



FVAP.GOV
FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Questions? [Contact Us](#)



[Manage Preferences](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Help](#)